

## ***Appendix O ♦ TPA Conformity Support***

---



2100 Tulare Street, Suite 619  
Fresno, California 93721-2111

Telephone: (559) 233-4148 • Fax: (559) 233-9645  
Website Address: [www.fresnocog.org](http://www.fresnocog.org)

May 2, 2003

Mr. Dave Crow  
Executive Director/APCO  
San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District  
1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue  
Fresno, CA 93726-0244

Dear Mr. Crow:

The San Joaquin Valley Regional Transportation Planning Agencies have been working cooperatively with the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District and California Air Resources Board to develop conformity budgets for the San Joaquin Valley 2003 PM-10 Plan.

Section 93.124 of the federal conformity rule, in particular 93.124(c), allows for the SIP to establish trading mechanisms between budgets for pollutants or precursors, or among budgets allocated to mobile and other sources. This SIP allows trading from the motor vehicle emissions budget for the PM-10 precursor NOx to the motor vehicle emissions budget for primary PM-10 using a 1.5 to 1 ratio. The trading mechanism will allow the agencies responsible for demonstrating transportation conformity in the San Joaquin Valley to supplement the 2010 budget for PM-10 with a portion of the 2010 budget for NOx, and use these adjusted motor vehicle emissions budgets for PM-10 and NOx to demonstrate transportation conformity with the PM-10 SIP for analysis years after 2010.

The San Joaquin Valley Transportation Planning Agencies will clearly document the calculations used in the trading, along with any additional reductions of NOx or PM10 emissions in transportation conformity demonstrations. In addition, in light of the role that growth in travel plays in PM10 emissions in the Valley, the San Joaquin Valley COG Directors commit to conduct feasibility analyses as part of each new Regional Transportation Plan, excluding revisions (i.e., amendments). The analysis will identify and evaluate potential control measures that could be included in the Regional Transportation Plans. Any additional PM-10 or NOx reductions achieved in the RTPs shall be credited in the transportation conformity demonstration. Reductions achieved after 2010 shall be credited prior to implementing the trading mechanism.

The San Joaquin Valley Transportation Planning Agencies appreciate being included as a stakeholder during the development of the Draft 2003 PM-10 Plan. If you have any questions or need additional

**Member Agencies:** The cities of Clovis, Coalinga, Firebaugh, Fowler, Fresno, Huron, Kerman, Kingsburg, Mendota, Orange Cove, Parlier, Reedley, San Joaquin, Sanger, Selma & Fresno County

May 2, 2003  
Mr. Dave Crow  
Page Two

information please contact the San Joaquin Valley TPA Director's air quality consultant Cari Anderson, of Earth Matters at (602) 277-1640, or Barbara Goodwin, Council of Fresno County Governments Executive Director at (559) 233-4148.

Sincerely,

*Barbara Goodwin*

BARBARA GOODWIN, Executive Director  
Council of Fresno County Governments

cc:

Valley COG Directors  
Catherine Witherspoon, ARB  
David Mitchell, SJVUAPCD  
Jack Broadbent, US EPA-Region 9  
K. Sue Kiser, FHWA Region 9  
Brian Smith, Caltrans Headquarters



**Kern Council  
of Governments**

May 6, 2003

Mr. Dave Crow  
Executive Director/APCO  
San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District  
1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue  
Fresno, CA 93726-0244

Date: 5/6	# of Pages: 1	<b>QUICK FAX™ OfficeMax</b>	
To: Dave Mitchell	From: Ron		
Co./Dept: Air Dist.	Co./Dept: Kern COG		
Fax: 559-236-6004	Fax:		
Phone:	Phone: 661-861-2191		
Note:	E-Mail:		

Dear Mr. Crow:

The San Joaquin Valley Regional Transportation Planning Agencies have been working cooperatively with the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District and California Air Resources Board to develop conformity budgets for the San Joaquin Valley 2003 PM-10 Plan.

Section 93.124 of the federal conformity rule, in particular 93.124(c), allows for the SIP to establish trading mechanisms between budgets for pollutants or precursors, or among budgets allocated to mobile and other sources. This SIP allows trading from the motor vehicle emissions budget for the PM-10 precursor NOx to the motor vehicle emissions budget for primary PM-10 using a 1.5 to 1 ratio. The trading mechanism will allow the agencies responsible for demonstrating transportation conformity in the San Joaquin Valley to supplement the 2010 budget for PM-10 with a portion of the 2010 budget for NOx, and use these adjusted motor vehicle emissions budgets for PM-10 and NOx to demonstrate transportation conformity with the PM-10 SIP for analysis years after 2010.

The San Joaquin Valley Transportation Planning Agencies will clearly document the calculations used in the trading, along with any additional reductions of NOx or PM10 emissions in transportation conformity demonstrations. In addition, in light of the role that growth in travel plays in PM10 emissions in the Valley, the San Joaquin Valley COG Directors commit to conduct feasibility analyses as part of each new Regional Transportation Plan, excluding revisions (i.e., amendments). The analysis will identify and evaluate potential control measures that could be included in the Regional Transportation Plans. Any additional PM-10 or NOx reductions achieved in the RTPs shall be credited in the transportation conformity demonstration. Reductions achieved after 2010 shall be credited prior to implementing the trading mechanism.

The San Joaquin Valley Transportation Planning Agencies appreciate being included as a stakeholder during the development of the Draft 2003 PM-10 Plan. If you have any questions or need additional information please contact the San Joaquin Valley TPA Director's air quality consultant Cari Anderson of Earth Matters at (602) 277-1640, or Barbara Goodwin, Council of Fresno County Governments Executive Director at (559) 233-4148.

Sincerely,

  
RONALD E. BRUMMETT  
Executive Director

cc: Valley COG Directors  
Catherine Witherspoon, ARB

David Mitchell, SJVUAPCD

Jack Broadbent, US EPA-Reg. 9B

K. Sue Kiser, FHWA Reg. 9

Brian Smith, Caltrans Hdqtr

**Kern Council of Governments**

1401 19th Street, Suite 300, Bakersfield, California 93301 (661) 861-2191 Facsimile (661) 324-8215 TTY (661) 832-7433 www.kerncog.org



## Kings County Association of Governments

Kings County Government Center ❖ 1400 W. Lacey Boulevard ❖ Hanford, California 93230

☎ (559) 582-3211 extension 2670 FAX (559) 584-8989

🌐 www.countyofkings.com/kcag

Member Agencies: Cities of Avenal, Corcoran, Hanford and Lemoore, County of Kings

May 2, 2003

Mr. Dave Crow  
Executive Director/APCO  
San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District  
1990 East Gettysburg Avenue  
Fresno, CA 93726-0244

Dear Mr. Crow:

The San Joaquin Valley Regional Transportation Planning Agencies have been working cooperatively with the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District and California Air Resources Board to develop conformity budgets for the San Joaquin Valley 2003 PM-10 Plan.

Section 93.124 of the federal conformity rule, in particular 93.124(c), allows for the SIP to establish trading mechanisms between budgets for pollutants or precursors, or among budgets allocated to mobile and other sources. This SIP allows trading from the motor vehicle emissions budget for the PM-10 precursor NOx to the motor vehicle emissions budget for primary PM-10 using a 1.5 to 1 ratio. The trading mechanism will allow the agencies responsible for demonstrating transportation conformity in the San Joaquin Valley to supplement the 2010 budget for PM-10 with a portion of the 2010 budget for NOx, and use these adjusted motor vehicle emissions budgets for PM-10 and NOx to demonstrate transportation conformity with the PM-10 SIP for analysis years after 2010.

The San Joaquin Valley Transportation Planning Agencies will clearly document the calculations used in the trading, along with any additional reductions of NOx or PM10 emissions in transportation conformity demonstrations. In addition, in light of the role that growth in travel plays in PM10 emissions in the Valley, the San Joaquin Valley COG Directors commit to conduct feasibility analyses as part of each new Regional Transportation Plan, excluding revisions (i.e., amendments). The analysis will identify and evaluate potential control measures that could be included in the Regional Transportation Plans. Any additional PM-10 or NOx reductions achieved in the RTPs shall be credited in the transportation conformity demonstration. Reductions achieved after 2010 shall be credited prior to implementing the trading mechanism.

The San Joaquin Valley Transportation Planning Agencies appreciate being included as a stakeholder during the development of the Draft 2003 PM-10 Plan. If you have any questions or need additional information please contact the San Joaquin Valley TPA Director's air quality consultant Cari Anderson of Earth Matters at (602) 277-1640, or Barbara Goodwin, Council of Fresno County Governments Executive Director at (559) 233-4148.

Sincerely,  
KINGS COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS

William R. Zumwalt  
Executive Secretary

# Madera County Transportation Commission

## Commission Members

Chairman  
Frank Bigelow  
Madera County  
District 1

May 2, 2003

Vice Chairman  
Gordon Skeels  
City of Madera

Mr. Dave Crow  
Executive Director/APCO  
San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District  
1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue  
Fresno, CA 93726-0244

Ronn Dominici  
Madera County  
District 3

Dear Mr. Crow:

Gary Gilbert  
Madera County  
District 5

The San Joaquin Valley Regional Transportation Planning Agencies have been working cooperatively with the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District and California Air Resources Board to develop conformity budgets for the San Joaquin Valley 2003 PM-10 Plan.

M.J. Nabors  
City of Madera

Alfred Ginsburg  
City of Chowchilla

Section 93.124 of the federal conformity rule, in particular 93.124(c), allows for the SIP to establish trading mechanisms between budgets for pollutants or precursors, or among budgets allocated to mobile and other sources. This SIP allows trading from the motor vehicle emissions budget for the PM-10 precursor NOx to the motor vehicle emissions budget for primary PM-10 using a 1.5 to 1 ratio. The trading mechanism will allow the agencies responsible for demonstrating transportation conformity in the San Joaquin Valley to supplement the 2010 budget for PM-10 with a portion of the 2010 budget for NOx, and use these adjusted motor vehicle emissions budgets for PM-10 and NOx to demonstrate transportation conformity with the PM-10 SIP for analysis years after 2010.

The San Joaquin Valley Transportation Planning Agencies will clearly document the calculations used in the trading, along with any additional reductions of NOx or PM10 emissions in transportation conformity demonstrations. In addition, in light of the role that growth in travel plays in PM10 emissions in the Valley, the San Joaquin Valley COG Directors commit to conduct feasibility analyses as part of each new Regional Transportation Plan, excluding revisions (i.e., amendments). The analysis will identify and evaluate potential control measures that could be included in the Regional Transportation Plans. Any additional PM-10 or NOx reductions achieved in the RTPs shall be credited in the transportation conformity demonstration. Reductions achieved after 2010 shall be credited prior to implementing the trading mechanism.

MCTC  
Executive Director,  
Patricia Taylor-Malcy  
1816 Howard Rd., Suite 8  
Madera, California 93637  
(559) 675-0721  
(559) 675-9328 FAX

The San Joaquin Valley Transportation Planning Agencies appreciate being included as a stakeholder during the development of the Draft 2003 PM-10 Plan. If you have any questions or need additional information please contact the San Joaquin Valley TPA Director's air quality consultant Cari Anderson, of Earth Matters at (602) 277-1640, or Barbara Goodwin, Council of Fresno County Governments Executive Director at (559) 233-4148.

Sincerely,



**PATRICIA TAYLOR-MALEY**

Director, Madera County Transportation Commission

cc:

Valley COG Directors  
Catherine Witherspoon, ARB  
David Mitchell, SJVUAPCD  
Jack Broadbent, US EPA-Region 9  
K. Sue Kiser, FHWA Region 9  
Brian Smith, Caltrans Headquarters



## SAN JOAQUIN COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

6 South El Dorado St., Suite 400 • Stockton, California 95202

209.468.3913 • 209.468.1084 (fax)

[www.sjco.org](http://www.sjco.org)

May 2, 2003

Michael P. Restuccia  
CHAIRMAN

Jack Siegluck  
VICE CHAIRMAN

Julia E. Greene  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

*Member Agencies*

CITIES OF  
ESCALON,  
LATHROP,  
LODI,  
MANTEC,  
RIPON,  
STOCKTON,  
TRACY,  
AND  
THE COUNTY OF  
SAN JOAQUIN

Mr. Dave Crow  
Executive Director/APCO  
San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District  
1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue  
Fresno, CA 93726-0244

Dear Mr. Crow:

The San Joaquin Valley Regional Transportation Planning Agencies have been working cooperatively with the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District and California Air Resources Board to develop conformity budgets for the San Joaquin Valley 2003 PM-10 Plan.

Section 93.124 of the federal conformity rule, in particular 93.124(c), allows for the SIP to establish trading mechanisms between budgets for pollutants or precursors, or among budgets allocated to mobile and other sources. This SIP allows trading from the motor vehicle emissions budget for the PM-10 precursor NOx to the motor vehicle emissions budget for primary PM-10 using a 1.5 to 1 ratio. The trading mechanism will allow the agencies responsible for demonstrating transportation conformity in the San Joaquin Valley to supplement the 2010 budget for PM-10 with a portion of the 2010 budget for NOx, and use these adjusted motor vehicle emissions budgets for PM-10 and NOx to demonstrate transportation conformity with the PM-10 SIP for analysis years after 2010.


The San Joaquin Valley Transportation Planning Agencies will clearly document the calculations used in the trading, along with any additional reductions of NOx or PM10 emissions in transportation conformity demonstrations. In addition, in light of the role that growth in travel plays in PM10 emissions in the Valley, the San Joaquin Valley COG Directors commit to conduct feasibility analyses as part of each new Regional Transportation Plan, excluding revisions (i.e., amendments). The analysis will identify and evaluate potential control measures that could be included in the Regional Transportation Plans. Any additional PM-10 or NOx reductions achieved in the RTPs shall be credited in the transportation conformity demonstration. Reductions achieved after 2010 shall be credited prior to implementing the trading mechanism.



Page 2  
May 2, 2003  
Conformity Letter

The San Joaquin Valley Transportation Planning Agencies appreciate being included as a stakeholder during the development of the Draft 2003 PM-10 Plan. If you have any questions or need additional information please contact the San Joaquin Valley TPA Director's air quality consultant Cari Anderson, of Earth Matters at (602) 277-1640, or Barbara Goodwin, Council of Fresno County Governments Executive Director at (559) 233-4148.

Sincerely,



JULIA E. GREENE  
Executive Director

cc:

Valley COG Directors  
Catherine Witherspoon, ARB  
David Mitchell, SJVUAPCD  
Jack Broadbent, US EPA-Region 9  
K. Sue Kiser, FHWA Region 9  
Brian Smith, Caltrans Headquarters

P  
D  
H  
r  
S



## Merced County Association of Governments

369 W. 18th Street • Merced, CA 95340 • Phone (209)723-3153 • FAX (209)723-0322

May 2, 2003

Mr. Dave Crow  
Executive Director/APCO  
San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District  
1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue  
Fresno, CA 93726-0244

Dear Mr. Crow:

The San Joaquin Valley Regional Transportation Planning Agencies have been working cooperatively with the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District and California Air Resources Board to develop conformity budgets for the San Joaquin Valley 2003 PM-10 Plan.


Section 93.124 of the federal conformity rule, in particular 93.124(c), allows for the SIP to establish trading mechanisms between budgets for pollutants or precursors, or among budgets allocated to mobile and other sources. This SIP allows trading from the motor vehicle emissions budget for the PM-10 precursor NOx to the motor vehicle emissions budget for primary PM-10 using a 1.5 to 1 ratio. The trading mechanism will allow the agencies responsible for demonstrating transportation conformity in the San Joaquin Valley to supplement the 2010 budget for PM-10 with a portion of the 2010 budget for NOx, and use these adjusted motor vehicle emissions budgets for PM-10 and NOx to demonstrate transportation conformity with the PM-10 SIP for analysis years after 2010.

The San Joaquin Valley Transportation Planning Agencies will clearly document the calculations used in the trading, along with any additional reductions of NOx or PM10 emissions in transportation conformity demonstrations. In addition, in light of the role that growth in travel plays in PM10 emissions in the Valley, the San Joaquin Valley COG Directors commit to conduct feasibility analyses as part of each new Regional Transportation Plan, excluding revisions (i.e., amendments). The analysis will identify and evaluate potential control measures that could be included in the Regional Transportation Plans. Any additional PM-10 or NOx reductions achieved in the RTPs shall be credited in the transportation conformity demonstration. Reductions achieved after 2010 shall be credited prior to implementing the trading mechanism.

The San Joaquin Valley Transportation Planning Agencies appreciate being included as a stakeholder during the development of the Draft 2003 PM-10 Plan. If you have any questions or need additional information please contact the San Joaquin Valley TPA

Director's air quality consultant Cari Anderson, of Earth Matters at (602) 277-1640, or Barbara Goodwin, Council of Fresno County Governments Executive Director at (559) 233-4148.

Sincerely,



Jesse B. Brown  
Executive Director

cc: Valley COG Directors  
Catherine Witherspoon, ARB  
David Mitchell, SJVUAPCD  
Jack Broadbent, US EPA-Region 9  
K. Sue Kiser, FHWA Region 9  
Brian Smith, Caltrans Headquarters



City of Ceres • City of Hughson • City of Modesto • City of Newman • City of Oakdale • City of Patterson  
City of Riverbank • City of Turlock • City of Waterford • County of Stanislaus

May 14, 2003

Mr. Dave Crow  
Executive Director/APCO  
San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District  
1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue  
Fresno, CA 93726-0244

Dear Mr. Crow:

The San Joaquin Valley Regional Transportation Planning Agencies have been working cooperatively with the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District and California Air Resources Board to develop conformity budgets for the San Joaquin Valley 2003 PM-10 Plan.


Section 93.124 of the federal conformity rule, in particular 93.124(c), allows for the SIP to establish trading mechanisms between budgets for pollutants or precursors, or among budgets allocated to mobile and other sources. This SIP allows trading from the motor vehicle emissions budget for the PM-10 precursor NOx to the motor vehicle emissions budget for primary PM-10 using a 1.5 to 1 ratio. The trading mechanism will allow the agencies responsible for demonstrating transportation conformity in the San Joaquin Valley to supplement the 2010 budget for PM-10 with a portion of the 2010 budget for NOx, and use these adjusted motor vehicle emissions budgets for PM-10 and NOx to demonstrate transportation conformity with the PM-10 SIP for analysis years after 2010.

The San Joaquin Valley Transportation Planning Agencies will clearly document the calculations used in the trading, along with any additional reductions of NOx or PM10 emissions in transportation conformity demonstrations. In addition, in light of the role that growth in travel plays in PM10 emissions in the Valley, the San Joaquin Valley COG Directors commit to conduct feasibility analyses as part of each new Regional Transportation Plan, excluding revisions (i.e., amendments). The analysis will identify and evaluate potential control measures that could be included in the Regional Transportation Plans. Any additional PM-10 or NOx reductions achieved in the RTPs shall be credited in the transportation

conformity demonstration. Reductions achieved after 2010 shall be credited prior to implementing the trading mechanism.

The San Joaquin Valley Transportation Planning Agencies appreciate being included as a stakeholder during the development of the Draft 2003 PM-10 Plan. If you have any questions or need additional information please contact the San Joaquin Valley TPA Director's air quality consultant Cari Anderson, of Earth Matters at (602) 277-1640, or Lark Downs, Stanislaus Council of Governments Senior Planner at (209) 558-7830.

Sincerely,



**Lark Downs, SENIOR PLANNER**  
Stanislaus Council of Governments

cc:

Valley COG Directors  
Catherine Witherspoon, ARB  
David Mitchell, SJVUAPCD  
Jack Broadbent, US EPA-Region 9  
K. Sue Kiser, FHWA Region 9  
Brian Smith, Caltrans Headquarters

tcag

5961 S. Mooney Blvd.  
Visalia, California 93277  
(559)733-6291  
FAX (559)730-2653

## Tulare County Association of Governments

May 9, 2003

Mr. Dave Crow  
Executive Director/APCO  
San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District  
1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue  
Fresno, CA 93726-0244

Dear Mr. Crow:


The San Joaquin Valley Regional Transportation Planning Agencies have been working cooperatively with the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District and California Air Resources Board to develop conformity budgets for the San Joaquin Valley 2003 PM-10 Plan.

Section 93.124 of the federal conformity rule, in particular 93.124(c), allows for the SIP to establish trading mechanisms between budgets for pollutants or precursors, or among budgets allocated to mobile and other sources. This SIP allows trading from the motor vehicle emissions budget for the PM-10 precursor NOx to the motor vehicle emissions budget for primary PM-10 using a 1.5 to 1 ratio. The trading mechanism will allow the agencies responsible for demonstrating transportation conformity in the San Joaquin Valley to supplement the 2010 budget for PM-10 with a portion of the 2010 budget for NOx, and use these adjusted motor vehicle emissions budgets for PM-10 and NOx to demonstrate transportation conformity with the PM-10 SIP for analysis years after 2010.

The San Joaquin Valley Transportation Planning Agencies will clearly document the calculations used in the trading, along with any additional reductions of NOx or PM10 emissions in transportation conformity demonstrations. In addition, in light of the role that growth in travel plays in PM10 emissions in the Valley, the San Joaquin Valley COG Directors commit to conduct feasibility analyses as part of each new Regional Transportation Plan, excluding revisions (i.e., amendments). The analysis will identify and evaluate potential control measures that could be included in the Regional Transportation Plans. Any additional PM-10 or NOx reductions achieved in the RTPs shall be credited in the transportation conformity demonstration. Reductions achieved after 2010 shall be credited prior to implementing the trading mechanism.

The San Joaquin Valley Transportation Planning Agencies appreciate being included as a stakeholder during the development of the Draft 2003 PM-10 Plan. If you have any questions or need additional information please contact the San Joaquin Valley TPA Director's air quality consultant Cari Anderson, of Earth Matters at (602) 277-1640, or Barbara Goodwin, Council of Fresno County Governments Executive Director at (559) 233-4148.

Sincerely,



George Pinney  
Executive Secretary

GF:mm

cc: Valley COG Directors  
Catherine Witherspoon, ARB  
David Mitchell, SJVUAPCD  
Jack Broadbent, US EPA-Region 9  
K. Sue Kiser, FHWA Region 9  
Brian Smith, Caltrans Headquarters

Dinuba Exeter Farmersville Lindsay Porterville Tulare Visalia Woodlake County of Tulare