



JUN 2 3 2010

Gary Quinsenberry **Central Valley Community** 7100 North Financial Drive Suite 101 Fresno, CA 93720

Re:

**Notice of Preliminary Decision - Authority to Construct** 

**Project Number: C-1102192** 

Dear Mr. Quinsenberry:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of Central Valley Community's application for an Authority to Construct for natural gas fired 97.5 BHP emergency IC engine, at 7100 North Financial Drive.

The notice of preliminary decision for this project will be published approximately three days from the date of this letter. Please submit your written comments on this project within the 30-day public comment period which begins on the date of publication of the public notice.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Ms. Suzanne Medina of Permit Services at (559) 230-5883.

Sincerely,

DW:srm

**Enclosures** 

Seyed Sadredin **Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer** 





JUN 2 3 2010

Mike Tollstrup, Chief Project Assessment Branch Stationary Source Division California Air Resources Board PO Box 2815 Sacramento, CA 95812-2815

**Notice of Preliminary Decision - Authority to Construct** 

Project Number: C-1102192

Dear Mr. Tollstrup:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of Central Valley Community's application for an Authority to Construct for natural gas fired 97.5 BHP emergency IC engine, at 7100 North Financial Drive.

The notice of preliminary decision for this project will be published approximately three days from the date of this letter. Please submit your written comments on this project within the 30-day public comment period which begins on the date of publication of the public notice.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Ms. Suzanne Medina of Permit Services at (559) 230-5883.

Sincerely.

David Warner

Director of Permit Services

DW:srm

**Enclosure** 

Seved Sadredin

**Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer** 

Fresno Bee Fresno Bee

#### NOTICE OF PRELIMINARY DECISION FOR THE PROPOSED ISSUANCE OF AN AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District solicits public comment on the proposed issuance of Authority to Construct to Central Valley Community for natural gas fired 97.5 BHP emergency IC engine, at 7100 North Financial Drive.

The analysis of the regulatory basis for this proposed action, Project #C-1102192, is available for public inspection at http://www.valleyair.org/notices/public\_notices\_idx.htm and the District office at the address below. Written comments on this project must be submitted within 30 days of the publication date of this notice to DAVID WARNER, DIRECTOR OF PERMIT SERVICES, SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY UNIFIED AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT, 1990 EAST GETTYSBURG AVENUE, FRESNO, CA 93726.

### San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District **Authority to Construct Application Review** Natural Gas-Fired Emergency Standby IC Engine

Facility Name: Central Valley Community Bank

Date: 6/9/10

Mailing Address: 7100 North Financial Drive #101

Specialist: Suzanne Medina

Fresno, CA 93720

Lead Engineer: Esteban Gutierrez

Contact Person:

Gary Quisenberry

Telephone: (559) 323-3340

Application #:

C-8003-1-0

Project #:

1102192

Complete: 6/9/10

#### I. Proposal

Central Valley Community Bank is proposing to install a 97.5 bhp natural gas-fired emergency standby internal combustion (IC) engine powering an electric generator.

#### II. Applicable Rules

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule (9/21/06)

Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits (6/21/01)

Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (4/14/99)

Rule 4101 Visible Emissions (2/17/05)

Rule 4102 Nuisance (12/17/92)

Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration (12/17/92)

Rule 4701 Stationary Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 1 (8/21/03)

Rule 4702 Stationary Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 2 (1/18/07)

Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds (12/17/92)

**Health Risk Assessment** CH&SC 41700

CH&SC 42301.6 **School Notice** 

Title 17 CCR, Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary

Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines

Public Resources Code 21000-21177: California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15000-15387:

**CEQA Guidelines** 

#### III. Project Location

The project is located at 7100 North Financial Drive, Suite #101 in Fresno, CA. The District has verified that the equipment is not located within 1,000 feet of the outer boundary of a K-12 school. Therefore, the public notification requirement of California Health and Safety Code 42301.6 is not applicable to this project.

#### **IV. Process Description**

The emergency standby engine powers an electric generator. Other than emergency operation, the engine may be operated up to 100 hours per year for maintenance and testing purposes.

#### V. Equipment Listing

C-8003-1-0:

97.5 BHP FORD MODEL WSG-1068 RICH-BURN NATURAL GAS-

FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING AN

**ELECTRICAL GENERATOR** 

#### VI. Emission Control Technology Evaluation

The engine is equipped with:

[X] Positive Crankcase Ventilation (PCV) or 90% efficient control device

[ ] Non-Selective Catalytic Reduction

[X] Air/Fuel Ratio or an O2 Controller

[ ] Lean Burn Technology

The PCV system reduces crankcase VOC and PM<sub>10</sub> emissions by at least 90% over an uncontrolled crankcase vent.

The fuel/air ratio controller, (oxygen controller) is used in conjunction with the NSCR to maintain the amount of oxygen in the exhaust stream to optimize catalyst function.

#### VII. General Calculations

#### A. Assumptions

Emergency operating schedule:

Non-emergency operating schedule:

EPA F-factor (adjusted to 60 °F):

Fuel heating value:

24 hours/day 100 hours/year

8,578 dscf/MMBtu (40 CFR 60 Appendix B) 1,000 Btu/dscf (District Policy APR-1720, dated

12/20/01)

BHP to Btu/hr conversion:

Sulfur concentration:

2,542.5 Btu/bhp-hr

2.85 lb-S/MMscf (District Policy APR-1720,

dated 12/20/01)

Thermal efficiency of engine: co

commonly ≈ 35%

Catalyst control efficiencies:

90% for NO<sub>X</sub>, 80% for CO, and 50% for VOC (*Update On Emissions - Form 960*, Second Edition, Waukesha Engine Division, Dresser Industries, October, 1991)

#### **B.** Emission Factors

Emission Factors					
Pollutant	Emission Factor (lb/MMBtu)	Emission Factor (g/bhp-hr)*	Source		
NO <sub>x</sub>	-	6.1	MFG Data Sheet		
SO <sub>X</sub>	ı	0.0094	Mass Balance Equation Below**		
PM <sub>10</sub> ***	-	0.063	AP-42 (7/00) Table 3.2-3		
СО	-	50.3	MFG Data Sheet		
VOC	-	1.2	MFG Data Sheet		

\*\*SO<sub>X</sub> is calculated as follows:

$$0.00285 \quad \frac{lb - SO_x}{MMBtu} \times \frac{1 \, MMBtu}{1,000,000 \, Btu} \times \frac{2,542.5 \, Btu}{bhp - hr} \times \frac{1 \, bhp \, input}{0.35 \, bhp \, out} \times \frac{453.6 \, g}{lb} = 0.0094 \quad \frac{g - SO_x}{bhp - hr}$$

#### C. Calculations

#### 1. Pre-Project Emissions (PE1)

Since this is a new emissions unit, PE1 = 0.

#### 2. Post Project PE (PE2)

The daily and annual PE are calculated as follows:

	Daily Post Project Emissions					
Pollutant	Emissions Factor (g/bhp-hr)	octor Rating Operation Conversion PE2 10				
NOx	6.1	97.5	24	453.6	31.5	
SO <sub>x</sub>	0.0094	97.5	24	453.6	0.0	
PM <sub>10</sub>	0.063	97.5	24	453.6	0.3	
CO	50.3	97.5	24	453.6	259.5	
VOC	1.2	97.5	24	453.6	6.2	

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>PM<sub>10</sub> value includes both filterable (9.50x10<sup>-3</sup> lb/MMBtu) and condensable (9.91x10<sup>-3</sup> lb/MMBtu) emissions.

	Annual Post Project Emissions							
Pollutant	Emissions Factor (g/bhp-hr)	Rating (bhp)	Conversion (g/lb)	PE2 Total (lb/yr)				
NOx	6.1	97.5	100	453.6	131			
SO <sub>x</sub>	0.0094	97.5	100	453.6	0			
PM <sub>10</sub>	0.063	97.5	100	453.6	1			
СО	50.3	97.5	100	453.6	1081			
VOC	1.2	97.5	100	453.6	26			

#### 3. Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1)

Pursuant to Section 4.9 of District Rule 2201, the Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1) is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs at the Stationary Source and the quantity of Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

Since this is a new facility, there are no existing permit units or any ERCs banked at this facility. Thus:

#### SSPE1 = 0 lb/yr for all criteria pollutants

#### 4. Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2)

Pursuant to Section 4.10 of District Rule 2201, the Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2) is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs, except for emissions units proposed to be shut down as part of the Stationary Project, at the Stationary Source and the quantity of Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

Since this is a new facility, SSPE2 is equal to the change in emissions for the facility due to the installation of the new emergency standby IC engine, permit unit -1-0, as previously determined in Section VII.C.2. Thus:

SSPE2					
Permit Unit	NO <sub>X</sub> (lb/yr)	SO <sub>X</sub> (lb/yr)	PM <sub>10</sub> (lb/yr)	CO (lb/yr)	VOC (lb/yr)
C-8003-1-0, emergency IC engine	131	0	1	1081	26
SSPE2 Total	131	0	1	1081	26

#### 5. Major Source Determination

Pursuant to Section 3.24 of District Rule 2201, a Major Source is a stationary source with post project emissions or a Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2), equal to or exceeding one or more of the following threshold values. However, Section 3.24.2 states, "for the purposes of determining major source status, the SSPE2 shall not include the quantity of emission reduction credits (ERC) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site."

This facility does not contain ERCs which have been banked at the source; therefore, no adjustment to SSPE2 is necessary.

	Major Source Determination						
Pollutant SSPE1 SSPE2 Major Source Threshold (lb/yr) Source? Major Source? Major Source?							
NO <sub>X</sub>	0	131	50,000	No	No		
SO <sub>X</sub>	0	0	140,000	No	No		
PM <sub>10</sub>	0	1	140,000	No	No		
СО	0	1081	200,000	No	No		
VOC	0	26	50,000	No	No		

#### 6. Baseline Emissions (BE)

BE = Pre-project Potential to Emit for:

- Any unit located at a non-Major Source,
- Any Highly-Utilized Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source,
- Any Fully-Offset Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source, or
- Any Clean Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source.

otherwise.

BE = Historic Actual Emissions (HAE), calculated pursuant to Section 3.22

Since this is a new emissions unit, BE = PE1 = 0 for all criteria pollutants.

#### 7. Major Modification

Major Modification is defined in 40 CFR Part 51.165 as "any physical change in or change in the method of operation of a major stationary source that would result in a significant net emissions increase of any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act."

As discussed in Section VII.C.5 previously, the facility is not a Major Source for any criteria pollutant; therefore, the project does not constitute a Major Modification.

#### 8. Federal Major Modification

As shown in the previous section, this project does not constitute a Major Modification. Therefore, in accordance with District Rule 2201, Section 3.17, this project does not constitute a Federal Major Modification and no further discussion is required.

#### 9. Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The QNEC is calculated solely to establish emissions that are used to complete the District's PAS emissions profile screen. Detailed QNEC calculations are included in Appendix C.

#### VIII. Compliance

#### Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule

#### A. Best Available Control Technology (BACT)

#### 1. BACT Applicability

BACT requirements are triggered on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis and on an emissions unit-by-emissions unit basis for the following\*:

- a) Any new emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day.
- b) The relocation from one Stationary Source to another of an existing emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,

- c) Modifications to an existing emissions unit with a valid Permit to Operate resulting in an AIPE exceeding two pounds per day, and/or
- d) Any new or modified emissions unit, in a stationary source project, which results in a Major Modification.

#### New emissions units - PE > 2 lb/day

Since this engine is a new emissions unit, the daily emissions are compared to the BACT thresholds in the following table:

New Emissions Unit BACT Applicability						
Pollutant	Daily Emissions for unit -1-0 (lb/day)  BACT Threshold SSPE2 BACT Triggered?					
NO <sub>X</sub>	31.5	> 2.0	n/a	Yes		
SO <sub>X</sub>	0.0	> 2.0	n/a	No		
PM <sub>10</sub>	0.3	> 2.0	n/a	No		
со	259.5	> 2.0 and SSPE2 ≥ 200,000 lb/yr	1081	No		
VOC	6.2	> 2.0	n/a	Yes		

Thus BACT will be triggered for  $NO_X$ , and VOC emissions from the engine for this project.

#### b. Relocation of emissions units - PE > 2 lb/day

As discussed previously in Section I, this engine is not being relocated from one stationary source to another as a result of this project. Therefore, BACT is not triggered for the relocation of emissions units with a PE > 2 lb/day.

# c. Modification of emissions units – Adjusted Increase in Permitted Emissions (AIPE) > 2 lb/day

As discussed previously in Section I, this engine is not being modified as a result of this project. Therefore, BACT is not triggered for the modification of emissions units with an AIPE > 2 lb/day.

#### d. Major Modification

As discussed previously in Section VII.C.7, this project does not constitute a Major Modification. Therefore BACT is not triggered for a Major Modification.

<sup>\*</sup>Except for CO emissions from a new or modified emissions unit at a Stationary Source with an SSPE2 of less than 200,000 pounds per year of CO.

#### 2. BACT Guideline

BACT Guideline 3.1.5, 2 quarter 2010, which appears in Appendix A of this report, covers rich burn gas-fired emergency IC engines of less than 132 brake horsepower.

#### 3. Top Down BACT Analysis

Per District Policy APR 1305, Section IX, "A top-down BACT analysis shall be performed as a part of the Application Review for each application subject to the BACT requirements pursuant to the District's NSR Rule for source categories or classes covered in the BACT Clearinghouse, relevant information under each of the following steps may be simply cited from the Clearinghouse without further analysis."

Pursuant to the attached Top-Down BACT Analysis, which appears in Appendix A of this report, BACT is satisfied with:

NO<sub>X</sub>: No control technology (No technologically feasible option was

determined to be cost effective)

VOC: Positive crankcase ventilation

- Therefore, the following conditions will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:
- {edited 3501} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 6.1 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 0.06 g-PM10/bhp-hr, 50.3 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 1.2 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201]
- {3491} This IC engine shall be fired on Public Utility Commission (PUC) regulated natural gas only. [District Rules 2201 and 4801]
- {1897} This engine shall be equipped with either a positive crankcase ventilation (PCV) system which recirculates crankcase emissions into the air intake system for combustion, or a crankcase emissions control device of at least 90% control efficiency. [District Rule 2201]

#### **B.** Offsets

Since emergency IC engines are exempt from the offset requirements of Rule 2201, per Section 4.6.2, offsets are not required for this engine, and no offset calculations are required.

#### C. Public Notification

#### 1. Applicability

Public noticing is required for:

- a. New Major Sources, which is a new facility that is also a Major Source,
- b. Major Modifications,
- c. Any new emissions unit with a Potential to Emit greater than 100 pounds during any one day for any one pollutant,
- d. Any project which results in the offset thresholds being surpassed, and/or
- e. Any project with an SSIPE of greater than 20,000 lb/year for any pollutant.

#### a. New Major Source

New Major Sources are new facilities, which are also Major Sources. As shown previously in Section VII.C.5, the SSPE2 is not greater than the Major Source threshold for any criteria pollutant. Therefore, public noticing is not required for this project for new Major Source purposes.

#### b. Major Modification

As demonstrated previously in Section VII.C.7, this project does not constitute a Major Modification; therefore, public noticing for Major Modification purposes is not required.

#### c. PE > 100 lb/day

The Daily PE for this new emissions unit is compared to the daily PE Public Notice Thresholds in the following table:

PE > 100 lb/day Public Notice Thresholds						
Pollutant Daily PE for Public Notice Public Notice Threshold Triggered?						
NO <sub>x</sub>	31.5	100	No			
SO <sub>x</sub>	0.0	100	No			
PM <sub>10</sub>	0.3	100	No			
СО	259.5	100	Yes			
VOC	6.2	100	No			

As detailed in the preceding table, the CO 100 lb/day threshold was surpassed with this project. Therefore, public noticing is required for daily emissions greater than 100 lb/day for a new emissions unit.

#### d. Offset Threshold

The following table compares the SSPE1 with the SSPE2 to the offset thresholds in order to determine if any offset thresholds have been surpassed with this project.

	Offset Threshold					
Pollutant SSPE1 SSPE2 Offset Threshold Public Notice (lb/yr) (lb/yr) (lb/yr) Required?						
NO <sub>X</sub>	0	131	20,000	No		
SO <sub>X</sub>	0	0	54,750	No		
PM <sub>10</sub>	0	1	29,200	No		
co	0	1081	200,000	No		
VOC	0	26	20,000	No		

As detailed in the preceding table, there were no offset thresholds surpassed with this project. Therefore, public noticing is not required for this project for surpassing the SSPE2 offset thresholds.

#### e. SSIPE > 20,000 lb/year

Public notification is required for any permitting action that results in a Stationary Source Increase in Permitted Emissions (SSIPE) of more than 20,000 lb/year of any affected pollutant. According to District policy, the SSIPE is calculated as the Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2) minus the Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1), i.e. SSIPE = SSPE2 – SSPE1. The values for SSPE2 and SSPE1 are calculated according to Rule 2201, Sections 4.9 and 4.10, respectively. The SSIPE is compared to the SSIPE Public Notice thresholds in the following table:

	SSIPE Public Notice Threshold						
					Public Notice Required?		
NO <sub>x</sub>	131	0	131	20,000	No		
SO <sub>x</sub>	0.0	0	0.0	20,000	No		
PM <sub>10</sub>	1.0	0	1.0	20,000	No		
co	1081	0	1081	20,000	No		
VOC	26	0	26	20,000	No		

As detailed in the preceding table, there were no SSIPE thresholds surpassed with this project. Therefore, public noticing is not required for exceeding the SSIPE thresholds.

#### 2. Public Notice Action

As discussed above, public noticing is required for this project for surpassing the PE > 100 lb/day for a new emissions unit threshold for CO emissions. Therefore, public notice documents will be submitted to the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and a public notice will be published in a local newspaper of general circulation prior to the issuance of the ATC for this equipment.

#### D. Daily Emissions Limits

- Daily Emissions Limitations (DELs) and other enforceable conditions are required by Section 3.15 to restrict a unit's maximum daily emissions, to a level at or below the emissions associated with the maximum design capacity. Per Sections 3.15.1 and 3.15.2, the DEL must be contained in the latest ATC and contained in or enforced by the latest PTO and enforceable, in a practicable manner, on a daily basis. DELs are also required to enforce the applicability of BACT. For this emergency standby IC engine, the DELs are stated in the form of emission factors, the maximum engine horsepower rating, and the maximum operational time of 24 hours per day. Therefore, the following condition (previously proposed in this engineering evaluation) will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:
- {edited 3501} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 6.1 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 0.06 g-PM10/bhp-hr, 50.3 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 1.2 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201]

#### E. Compliance Assurance

#### 1. Source Testing

Pursuant to District Policy APR 1705, source testing is not required for emergency standby IC engines to demonstrate compliance with Rule 2201.

#### 2. Monitoring

No monitoring is required to demonstrate compliance with Rule 2201.

#### 3. Recordkeeping

Recordkeeping is required to demonstrate compliance with the offset, public notification, and daily emission limit requirements of Rule 2201. As required by District Rule 4702, *Stationary Internal Combustion Engines - Phase 2*, this IC engine is subject to recordkeeping requirements. Recordkeeping requirements, in accordance with District Rule 4702, will be discussed in Section VIII, *District Rule 4702*, of this evaluation.

#### 4. Reporting

No reporting is required to ensure compliance with Rule 2201.

#### F. Ambient Air Quality Analysis

Section 4.14.1 of this Rule requires that an ambient air quality analysis (AAQA) be conducted for the purpose of determining whether a new or modified Stationary Source will cause or make worse a violation of a State or National ambient air quality standard. An AAQA is required to be performed for all New Source Review (NSR) public notice projects. As previously discussed in Section VIII.C this project requires that a public notice be performed before issuance of the ATC for this project. Therefore, the District is required to perform an AAQA for this project.

The Technical Services Division of the SJVAPCD conducted the required AAQA for this project. The results of the AAQA are presented in the following two tables. Refer to Appendix B of this document for the AAQA summary sheet and  $PM_{10}$  24 hour and annual emissions contribution levels for this project.

	AAQA Results						
Pollutant	Do the Calculated Contributions Violate the Ambient Air Quality Standard?						
Politicant	1 hr Average	1 hr Average 3 hr Average 8 hr Average 24 hr Average Annual Average					
СО	Pass	N/A	Pass	N/A	N/A		
NO <sub>X</sub>	Pass <sup>2</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pass		
SO <sub>x</sub>	Pass	Pass	N/A	Pass	Pass		
PM <sub>10</sub>	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pass <sup>1</sup>	Pass <sup>1</sup>		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The criteria pollutants are below EPA's level of significance as found in 40 CFR Part 51.165 (b)(2).

The proposed location of installation of the natural gas-fired IC engine is in an attainment area for  $NO_X$ , CO, and  $SO_X$ . As shown by the preceding table of AAQA results the proposed installation of the natural gas-fired IC engine will not cause a violation of a State or National ambient air quality standard for  $NO_X$ , CO, or  $SO_X$ .

The proposed location for installation of the natural gas-fired IC engine is in a non-attainment area for  $PM_{10}$ . The levels of significance, from 40 CFR Part 51.165 (b)(2), and the increase in the ambient  $PM_{10}$  concentration due to the installation of the proposed equipment are presented in the following table.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The Nox pollutant passed using TEIR II modeling OLM method.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The criteria pollutants are below EPA's level of significance as found in 40 CFR Part 51.165 (b)(2).

EPA PM <sub>10</sub> Significance Level and the Calculated Contribution for this Project							
	_	Calculated Contributions (μg/m³)					
1 hr Average 3 hr Average 8 hr Average 24 hr Average				24 hr Average	Annual Average		
EPA PM <sub>10</sub> Significance Level	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.0	1.0		
Project Total PM <sub>10</sub> Concentration	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.5	0.002		
Does this project violate the standard?	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes		

As shown in the preceding table, the calculated contribution of  $PM_{10}$  from the proposed installation of the natural gas-fired IC engine will not exceed any ambient air quality standard or exceed an EPA significance level.

Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

#### Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits

Since this facility's potential to emit does not exceed any major source thresholds of Rule 2201, this facility is not a major source, and Rule 2520 does not apply.

#### Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)

This rule incorporates NSPS from Part 60, Chapter 1, Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR); and applies to all new sources of air pollution and modifications of existing sources of air pollution listed in 40 CFR Part 60. However, no subparts of 40 CFR Part 60 apply to reciprocating natural gas-fired IC engines.

#### Rule 4101 Visible Emissions

Rule 4101 states that no air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

 {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]

#### Rule 4102 Nuisance

Rule 4102 states that no air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. Public nuisance conditions are not expected as a result of these operations, provided the equipment is well maintained. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

• {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]

#### California Health & Safety Code 41700 (Health Risk Assessment)

District Policy APR 1905 - Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources (dated 3/2/01) specifies that for an increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification, the District perform an analysis to determine the possible impact to the nearest resident or worksite.

District policy APR 1905 specifies that for an increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification, the District perform an analysis to determine the possible impact to the nearest resident or worksite. A Health Risk Assessment (HRA) is not required for a project with a total facility prioritization score of less than or equal to one. According to the Technical Services Memo for this project (see Appendix B), the total facility prioritization score including this project was less than or equal to one. Therefore, no further analysis is required to determine the impact from this project.

As demonstrated previously, T-BACT is not required for this project because the HRA indicates that the risk is not above the District's thresholds for triggering T-BACT requirements; therefore, compliance with the District's Risk Management Policy is expected.

#### Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration

Particulate matter emissions from the engine will be less than or equal to the rule limit of 0.1 grain per cubic foot of gas at dry standard conditions as shown by the following:

$$0.063 \quad \frac{g - PM_{10}}{bhp - hr} \times \frac{1g - PM}{0.96g - PM_{10}} \times \frac{1bhp - hr}{2.542.5 \text{ Btu}} \times \frac{10^6 \text{ Btu}}{8.578 \text{ dscf}} \times \frac{0.35 \text{ Btu}_{out}}{1 \text{ Btu}_{in}} \times \frac{15.43 \text{ grain}}{g} = 0.0163 \quad \frac{\text{grain} - PM}{\text{dscf}}$$

Since 0.0163 grain-PM/dscf is  $\leq$  to 0.1 grain per dscf, compliance with Rule 4201 is expected.

• {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]

#### Rule 4701 Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 1

Pursuant to Section 7.6.3.3 of District Rule 4702, as of June 1, 2006 District Rule 4701 is no longer applicable to natural gas-fired emergency standby or emergency IC engines. Therefore, this natural gas-fired emergency IC engine will comply with the requirements of District Rule 4702 and no further discussion is required.

#### Rule 4702 Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 2

The purpose of this rule is to limit the emissions of nitrogen oxides  $(NO_X)$ , carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compounds (VOC) from internal combustion engines.

This rule applies to any internal combustion engine with a rated brake horsepower greater than 50 horsepower.

Pursuant to Section 4.2, except for the requirements of Sections 5.7 and 6.2.3, the requirements of this rule shall not apply to an internal combustion engine that meets the following condition:

1) An emergency standby engine as defined in Section 3.0 of this rule, and provided that it is operated with a nonresettable elapsed operating time meter. In lieu of a nonresettable time meter, the owner of an emergency engine may use an alternative device, method, or technique, in determining operating time provided that the alternative is approved by the APCO. The owner of the engine shall properly maintain and operate the time meter or alternative device in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

Section 3.15 defines an "Emergency Standby Engine" as an internal combustion engine which operates as a temporary replacement for primary mechanical or electrical power during an unscheduled outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the operator. An engine shall be considered to be an emergency standby engine if it is used only for the following purposes: (1) periodic maintenance, periodic readiness testing, or readiness testing during and after repair work; (2) unscheduled outages, or to supply power while maintenance is performed or repairs are made to the primary power supply; and (3) if it is limited to operate 100 hours or less per calendar year for non-emergency purposes. An engine shall not be considered to be an emergency standby engine if it is used: (1) to reduce the demand for electrical power when normal electrical power line service has not failed, or (2) to produce power for the utility electrical distribution system, or (3) in conjunction with a voluntary utility demand reduction program or interruptible power contract.

Therefore, the emergency standby IC engine involved with this project will only have to meet the requirements of Sections 5.7 and 6.2.3 of this Rule.

Section 5.7 of this Rule requires that the owner of an emergency standby engine shall comply with the requirements specified in Section 5.7.2 through Section 5.7.5 below:

- 1) Properly operate and maintain each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier.
- 2) Monitor the operational characteristics of each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier.
- 3) Install and operate a nonresettable elapsed operating time meter. In lieu of installing a nonresettable time meter, the owner of an engine may use an alternative device, method, or technique, in determining operating time provided that the alternative is approved by the APCO and is allowed by Permit-to-Operate or Stationary Equipment Registration condition. The owner of the engine shall properly maintain and operate the time meter or alternative device in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

Therefore, the following conditions will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {3405} This engine shall be operated and maintained in proper operating condition as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emissions control system supplier. [District Rule 4702]
- {3478} During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rule 4702]
- {3404} This engine shall be equipped with an operational non-resettable elapsed time meter or other APCO approved alternative. [District Rule 4702]
- {3807} An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702]
- {3808} This engine shall not be used to produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rule 4702]

• {3806} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per calendar year. [District Rule 4702]

Section 6.2.3 requires that an owner claiming an exemption under Section 4.2 or Section 4.3 shall maintain annual operating records. This information shall be retained for at least five years, shall be readily available, and submitted to the APCO upon request and at the end of each calendar year in a manner and form approved by the APCO. Therefore, the following condition (previously proposed in this engineering evaluation) will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {3496} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702]
- {3497} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702]

#### Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds

Rule 4801 requires that sulfur compound emissions (as SO<sub>2</sub>) shall not exceed 0.2% by volume. Using the ideal gas equation, the sulfur compound emissions are calculated as follows:

Volume 
$$SO_2 = (n \times R \times T) \div P$$
  
 $n = moles SO_2$   
T (standard temperature) = 60 °F or 520 °R  
R (universal gas constant) =  $\frac{10.73 \text{ psi} \cdot \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb} \cdot \text{mol} \cdot \text{°R}}$ 

$$\frac{lb - S}{MMscf - gas} \times \frac{1 \, scf - gas}{1,000 \, Btu} \times \frac{1 \, MMBtu}{8,578 \, scf} \times \frac{1 \, lb - mol}{64 \, lb - S} \times \frac{10.73 \, psi - ft^3}{lb - mol - {}^{\circ}R} \times \frac{520 \, {}^{\circ}R}{14.7 \, psi} \times 1,000,000 = 1.97 \quad \text{ppmv}$$

Since 1.97 ppmv is  $\leq$  2,000 ppmv, this engine is expected to comply with Rule 4801. Therefore, the following condition (previously proposed in this engineering evaluation) will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

• {3491} This IC engine shall be fired on Public Utility Commission (PUC) regulated natural gas only. [District Rules 2201 and 4801]

#### California Health & Safety Code 42301.6 (School Notice)

The District has verified that this site is not located within 1,000 feet of a school. Therefore, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code 42301.6, a school notice is not required.

# Title 17 California Code of Regulations (CCR), Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines

This regulation applies to any new or in-use stationary diesel-fueled compression ignition (CI) emergency standby engine. The engine involved with this project is fired on natural gas and is not compression ignited. Therefore, this regulation is not applicable to the engine involved with this project.

#### California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires each public agency to adopt objectives, criteria, and specific procedures consistent with CEQA Statutes and the CEQA Guidelines for administering its responsibilities under CEQA, including the orderly evaluation of projects and preparation of environmental documents. The San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (District) adopted its *Environmental Review Guidelines* (ERG) in 2001.

#### The basic purposes of CEQA are to:

- Inform governmental decision-makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of proposed activities.
- Identify the ways that environmental damage can be avoided or significantly reduced.
- Prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment by requiring changes in projects through the use of alternatives or mitigation measures when the governmental agency finds the changes to be feasible.
- Disclose to the public the reasons why a governmental agency approved the project in the manner the agency chose if significant environmental effects are involved.

Consistent with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and CEQA Guidelines requirements, the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (District) has adopted procedures and guidelines for implementing CEQA. The District's Environmental Review Guidelines (ERG) establishes procedures for avoiding unnecessary delay during the District's permitting process while ensuring that significant environmental impacts are thoroughly and consistently addressed. The ERG includes policies and procedures to be followed when processing permits for projects that are exempt under CEQA.

The State Legislature granted a number of exemptions from CEQA, including projects that require only ministerial approval. Based upon analysis of its own laws and consideration of CEQA provisions, the District has identified a limited number of District permitting activities considered to be ministerial approvals. As set forth in §4.2.1 of the ERG, projects permitted consistent with the District's *Guidelines for Expedited Application Review* (GEAR) are standard application reviews in which little or no discretion is used in issuing Authority to Construct (ATC) documents.

For the proposed project, the District performed an Engineering Evaluation (this document) and determined that the project qualifies for processing under the procedures set forth in the District's Permit Services Procedures Manual in the Guidelines for Expedited Application Review (GEAR). Thus, as discussed above, this issuance of such ATC(s) is a ministerial approval for the District and is not subject to CEQA provisions.

On December 17, 2009, the District's Governing Board adopted the first comprehensive regional policy and guidance on addressing and mitigating GHG emission impacts caused by industrial, commercial, and residential development in the San Joaquin Valley. The adopted District policy – Addressing GHG Emission Impacts for Stationary Source Projects Under CEQA When Serving as the Lead Agency applies to projects for which the District has discretionary approval authority over the project and serves as the lead agency for CEQA purposes. The policy relies on the use of performance based standards, otherwise known as Best Performance Standards (BPS) to assess significance of project specific greenhouse gas emissions on global climate change during the environmental review process, as required by CEQA.

Use of BPS is a method of streamlining the CEQA process of determining significance and is not a required emission reduction measure. However, consistent with the District's objective to achieve the GHG emission reduction targets established pursuant to AB 32, BPS will be incorporated into the District's GEAR application review process. In the interim, projects meeting the existing GEAR requirements will continue to be processed as ministerial approvals.

#### IX. Recommendation

Pending a successful NSR Public Noticing period, issue Authority to Construct C-8003-1-0 subject to the permit conditions on the attached draft Authority to Construct in Appendix D.

### X. Billing Information

Billing Schedule					
Permit Number   Fee Schedule   Fee Description   Fee Amou					
C-8003-1-0	3020-10-A	97.5 bhp IC engine	\$80.00		

#### **List of Appendixes**

- A. BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis
- B. HRA Summary
- C. QNEC Calculations
- D. Draft ATC

# Appendix A BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis

#### Top Down BACT Analysis for the Emergency IC Engine(s)

Oxides of nitrogen  $(NO_X)$  are generated from the high temperature combustion of the natural gas fuel. A majority of the  $NO_X$  emissions are formed from the high temperature reaction of nitrogen and oxygen in the inlet air. The rest of the  $NO_X$  emissions are formed from the reaction of fuel-bound nitrogen with oxygen in the inlet air.

#### 1. BACT Analysis for NO<sub>X</sub> Emissions:

#### a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse guideline 3.1.5, 2 quarter 2010, identifies technologically feasible BACT for  $NO_X$  emissions from rich-burn emergency natural gas IC engines < 132 bhp as follows:

1) NO<sub>X</sub> catalyst (three-way catalyst)

No achieved in practice alternatives or control alternatives identified as alternate basic equipment for this class and category of source are listed.

#### b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

There are no technologically infeasible options to eliminate from step 1.

#### c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

1) NO<sub>X</sub> catalyst (three-way catalyst)

#### d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

This facility is classified as a small emitter, per the District's BACT Policy (dated 11/9/99) Section III.D, as facility-wide emissions are less than two tons per year of each affected pollutant. Therefore, per the District's BACT Policy (dated 11/9/99) Section IX.E.1, technologically feasible BACT and a cost effective analysis is not required.

#### e. Step 5 - Select BACT

There is no control technology that is cost effective for BACT for  $NO_X$  emissions from this rich-burn emergency standby natural gas IC engine < 132 bhp. The applicant has proposed to install a 97.5 bhp rich-burn emergency standby natural gas IC engine without a  $NO_X$  catalyst (three-way catalyst); therefore BACT for  $NO_X$  emissions is satisfied.

#### **BACT Analysis for VOC Emissions:**

#### 5. BACT Analysis for VOC Emissions:

Volatile organic compounds (VOC) emissions are generated from the incomplete combustion of the fuel. Some VOCs are emitted from the crankcase of the engine as a result of piston ring blow-by.

#### a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse guideline 3.1.5, 2 quarter 2010, identifies achieved in practice BACT for VOC emissions from rich-burn emergency natural gas IC engines < 132 bhp as follows:

1) Positive crankcase ventilation

In addition, the SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse guideline 3.1.5, 2 quarter 2010, identifies technologically feasible BACT for VOC emissions from rich-burn emergency natural gas IC engines < 132 bhp as follows:

1) VOC catalyst (three-way catalyst) and positive crankcase ventilation

#### b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

There are no technologically infeasible options to eliminate from step 1.

#### c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

- 1) VOC catalyst (three-way catalyst) and positive crankcase ventilation
- 2) Positive crankcase ventilation

#### d. Step 4 - Cost effectiveness analysis

A cost effective analysis must be performed for all control options in the list from Step 3 in the order of their ranking to determine the cost effective option with the lowest emissions

This facility is classified as a small emitter, per the District's BACT Policy (dated 11/9/99) Section III.D, as facility-wide emissions are less than two tons per year of each affected pollutant. Therefore, per the District's BACT Policy (dated 11/9/99) Section IX.E.1, technologically feasible BACT and a cost effective analysis is not required.

The only remaining control technology alternative in the ranking list from Step 3 has been achieved in practice. Therefore, per SJVUAPCD BACT policy, the cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

#### e. Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for VOC emissions from this rich-burn emergency standby natural gas IC engines < 132 bhp is positive crankcase ventilation. The applicant has proposed to install a 97.5 bhp rich-burn emergency standby natural gas IC engine with positive crankcase ventilation; therefore BACT for VOC emissions is satisfied.

# Appendix B HRA Summary

# San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Risk Management Review

To:

File-Permit Services

From:

Suzanne Medina- Technical Services

Date:

6/15/2010

Facility Name:

**Central Valley Community Bank** 

Location:

7100 North Financial Drive, Fresno, CA 93720

Application #(s):

C-8003-1-0

Project #:

1102192

#### A. RMR SUMMARY

Categories	Type of Unit (Unit 1-0)	Project Totals	Facility Totals
Prioritization Score*	0.96	0.96	0.96
Acute Hazard Index	N/A	N/A	N/A
Chronic Hazard Index	N/A	N/A	N/A
Maximum Individual Cancer Risk (10 <sup>-6</sup> )	N/A	N/A	N/A
T-BACT Required?	No		
Special Permit Conditions?	No		

<sup>1</sup> Public Notice project for AAQA must be completed.

#### **Proposed Permit Conditions**

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the following permit conditions must be included for:

#### Unit # 1-0

1. This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per calendar year. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

#### **B. RMR REPORT**

#### I. Project Description

Technical Services received a request on June 10, 2004, to perform an Ambient Air Quality Analysis and a Risk Management Review for a Ford Model WSG-1068 Natural Gas fired Emergency Standby IC engine rated at 97.5 bhp and powering an electrical generator.

#### II. Analysis

Toxic emissions for this proposed unit were calculated using Ventura County's emission factors for internal combustion sources. In accordance with the District's *Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources* (APR 1905, March 2, 2001), risks from the proposed unit's toxic emissions were prioritized using the procedure in the 1990 CAPCOA Facility Prioritization Guidelines and incorporated in the District's HEARTs database. The prioritization score for this proposed unit was less than 1.0 (see RMR Summary Table). Therefore, no further analysis was necessary.

The following parameters were used for the review:

Analysis Parameters Unit 1-0				
Throughput (scf/hr)	861	Max Hours per Year	100	
Closest Receptor (m)	21			

Technical Services performed modeling for criteria pollutants CO, NOx, SOx and PM<sub>10</sub>; as well as a RMR. The emission rates used for criteria pollutant modeling were 10.81 lb/hr CO, 1.31 lb/hr NOx, 0 lb/hr SOx, and 0.125 lb/hr PM<sub>10</sub>. The engineer supplied the maximum fuel rate for the IC engine used during the analysis.

The results from the Criteria Pollutant Modeling are as follows:

#### Criteria Pollutant Modeling Results\*

Diesel ICE	1 Hour	3 Hours	8 Hours.	24 Hours	Annual
CO	Pass	X	Pass	X	X
NO <sub>x</sub>	Pass <sup>2</sup>	X	X	X	Pass
SO <sub>x</sub>	Pass	Pass	X	Pass	Pass
PM <sub>10</sub>	X	X	Х	Pass <sup>1</sup>	Pass <sup>1</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>Results were taken from the attached PSD spreadsheet.

#### III. Conclusion

The prioritization score is less than 1.0. In accordance with the District's Risk Management Policy, the project is approved without Toxic Best Available Control Technology (T-BACT).

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the permit conditions listed on page 1 of this report must be included for this proposed unit.

These conclusions are based on the data provided by the applicant and the project engineer. Therefore, this analysis is valid only as long as the proposed data and parameters do not change.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The criteria pollutants are below EPA's level of significance as found in 40 CFR Part 51.165 (b)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The Nox pollutant passed using TEIR II modeling. See attached spreadsheet.

# Central Valley Community Bank, Project # 1102192 Page 3 of 6

The emissions from the proposed equipment will not cause or contribute significantly to a violation of the State and National AAQS.

#### Attachments:

- A. Prioritization score
- B. Results from AAQA
- C. TEIR II Emissions Spreadsheet for NOx

# Appendix C QNEC Calculations

#### **Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)**

The Quarterly Net Emissions Change is used to complete the emission profile screen for the District's PAS database. The QNEC shall be calculated as follows:

QNEC = PE2 - BE, where:

QNEC = Quarterly Net Emissions Change for each emissions unit, lb/qtr.

PE2 = Post Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr.

BE = Baseline Emissions (per Rule 2201) for each emissions unit, lb/qtr.

Using the values in Sections VII.C.2 and VII.C.6 in the evaluation above, PE2<sub>quarterly</sub> and BE<sub>quarterly</sub> can be calculated as follows:

Quarterly Post Project Emissions			
Pollutant	PE2 Total (lb/yr)	Quarterly PE2 (lb/qtr)	
NO <sub>x</sub>	131	32.8	
SO <sub>x</sub>	0	0.0	
PM <sub>10</sub>	1	0.3	
СО	1081	270.3	
VOC	26	6.5	

BEquarterly = BE<sub>annual</sub> ÷ 4 quarters/year

= 0 lb/year ÷ 4 qtr/year

= 0 lb/qtr (for all criteria pollutants)

QNEC				
Pollutant	Quarterly PE2 (lb/qtr)	Quarterly BE (lb/qtr)	QNEC (lb/qtr)	
NO <sub>x</sub>	32.8	0	32.8	
SO <sub>X</sub>	0.0	0	0.0	
PM <sub>10</sub>	0.3	0	0.3	
co	270.3	0	270.3	
VOC	6.5	0	6.5	

## Appendix D Draft ATC

# San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District

AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT

**PERMIT NO:** C-8003-1-0

LEGAL OWNER OR OPERATOR: CENTRAL VALLEY COMMUNITY BANK.

MAILING ADDRESS:

7100 NORTH FINANCIAL DRIVE STUITE 101

FRESNO, CA 93720-2900

LOCATION:

7100 NORTH FINANCIAL DRIVE STUITE 101

FRESNO, CA 93720-2900

#### **EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:**

97.5 BHP FORD MODEL WSG-1068 RICH-BURN NATURAL GAS-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR

#### CONDITIONS

- 1. {1897} This engine shall be equipped with either a positive crankcase ventilation (PCV) system that recirculates crankcase emissions into the air intake system for combustion, or a crankcase emissions control device of at least 90% control efficiency. [District Rule 2201]
- 2. {1898} The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]
- 3. {3404} This engine shall be equipped with an operational non-resettable elapsed time meter or other APCO approved alternative. [District Rule 4702]
- 4. {3405} This engine shall be operated and maintained in proper operating condition as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emissions control system supplier. [District Rule 4702]
- 5. {3491} This IC engine shall be fired on Public Utility Commission (PUC) regulated natural gas only. [District Rules 2201 and 4801]
- 6. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 6.1 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 0.063 g-PM10/bhp-hr, 50.3 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 1.2 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201]
- 7. {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]
- 8. {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]

#### CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

YOU MUST NOTIFY THE DISTRICT COMPLIANCE DIVISION AT (559) 230-5950 WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED AND PRIOR TO OPERATING THE EQUIPMENT OR MODIFICATIONS AUTHORIZED BY THIS AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT. This is NOT a PERMIT TO OPERATE. Approval or denial of a PERMIT TO OPERATE will be made after an inspection to verify that the equipment has been constructed in accordance with the approved plans, specifications and conditions of this Authority to Construct, and to determine if the equipment can be operated in compliance with all Rules and Regulations of the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. Unless construction has commenced pursuant to Rule 2050, this Authority to Construct shall expire and application shall be cancelled two years from the date of issuance. The applicant is responsible for complying with all laws, ordinances and regulations of all-other governmental agencies which may pertain to the above equipment.

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Directory APCO

DAVID WARNER Director of Permit Services

- 9. {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]
- 10. {3478} During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rule 4702]
- 11. {3806} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per calendar year. [District Rule 4702]
- 12. {3807} An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702]
- 13. {3808} This engine shall not be used to produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rule 4702]
- 14. {3496} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702]
- 15. {3497} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702]

