



NOV **2 9** 2011

Feije Slauerhoff G-3 Enterprises, Label Division 2612 Crows Landing Road Modesto, CA 95358

Re: Notice of Preliminary Decision - Authority to Construct

Project Number: N-1113446

Dear Mr. Slauerhoff:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of G-3 Enterprises, Label Division's application for an Authority to Construct for a printing press, at 2612 Crows Landing Road in Modesto, CA.

The notice of preliminary decision for this project will be published approximately three days from the date of this letter. Please submit your written comments on this project within the 30-day public comment period which begins on the date of publication of the public notice.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Mark Schonhoff of Permit Services at (209) 557-6448.

Sincerely,

Director of Permit Services

DW:MJS

Enclosures

Seyed Sadredin

Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer





NOV 2 9 2011

Mike Tollstrup, Chief Project Assessment Branch Stationary Source Division California Air Resources Board PO Box 2815 Sacramento, CA 95812-2815

Re: Notice of Preliminary Decision - Authority to Construct

Project Number: N-1113446

Dear Mr. Tollstrup:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of G-3 Enterprises. Label Division's application for an Authority to Construct for a printing press, at 2612 Crows Landing Road in Modesto, CA.

The notice of preliminary decision for this project will be published approximately three days from the date of this letter. Please submit your written comments on this project within the 30-day public comment period which begins on the date of publication of the public notice.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Mark Schonhoff of Permit Services at (209) 557-6448.

Sincerely,

David Warner

Director of Permit Services

DW:MJS

Enclosure

Seyed Sadredin

Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer





NOV 2 9 2011

Gerardo C. Rios (AIR 3) Chief, Permits Office Air Division U.S. E.P.A. - Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

Re: Notice of Preliminary Decision - Authority to Construct

Project Number: N-1113446

Dear Mr. Rios:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of G-3 Enterprises, Label Division's application for an Authority to Construct for a printing press, at 2612 Crows Landing Road in Modesto, CA.

The notice of preliminary decision for this project will be published approximately three days from the date of this letter. Please submit your written comments on this project within the 30-day public comment period which begins on the date of publication of the public notice.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Mark Schonhoff of Permit Services at (209) 557-6448.

Sincerely.

David Warner

Director of Permit Services

DW:MJS

Enclosure

Seyed Sadredin

Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

Southern Region

Modesto Bee Modesto Bee

NOTICE OF PRELIMINARY DECISION FOR THE PROPOSED ISSUANCE OF AN AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District solicits public comment on the proposed issuance of Authority to Construct to G-3 Enterprises, Label Division for a printing press, at 2612 Crows Landing Road in Modesto, CA.

The analysis of the regulatory basis for this proposed action, Project #N-1113446, is available for public inspection at http://www.valleyair.org/notices/public_notices_idx.htm and the District office at the address below. Written comments on this project must be submitted within 30 days of the publication date of this notice to DAVID WARNER, DIRECTOR OF PERMIT SERVICES, SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY UNIFIED AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT, REGION'S ADDRESS.

Authority to Construct **Application Review**

Facility Name:

G-3 Enterprises

Date: November 10, 2011

Mailing Address:

2612 Crows Landing Road

Modesto, CA 95358

Contact Person:

Mr. Feije Slauerhoff

Telephone:

(209) 341-3082

Engineer:

Mark Schonhoff

Application #:

N-3309-22-0

Project #:

N-1113446

Deemed Complete: October 10, 2011

I. **Proposal**

The applicant has proposed to receive an Authority to Construct Permit authorizing the installation of a non-heatset type flexographic printing press. The facility graphic arts equipment currently operates under a combined VOC emission limit, which they are proposing to retain. That emission limit may be referred to as a Specific Limiting Condition (SLC) elsewhere in this document.

11. **Applicable Rules**

- 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule (4/21/11)
- 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits (6/21/01)
- 4001 New Source Performance Standards (4/14/99)
- 4002 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (5/20/04) 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart KK (National Emission Standards for the Printing and Publishing Industry)
- 4101 Visible Emissions (2/17/05)
- 4102 Nuisance (12/17/92)
- 4607 Graphic Arts (12/18/08)

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

CH&SC 41700

CH&SC 42301.6

III. Project Location

2612 Crows Landing Road Modesto, CA

The equipment will not be located within 1,000 feet of a K-12 school.

IV. Process Description

Paper, plastic and other substrates will be web fed into the proposed presses where UV curable inks and coatings will be applied. Following ink and coating application, the web will be cured by exposure to UV light.

V. Equipment Listing

N-3309-22-0:

GRAPHIC ARTS PRINTING OPERATION SERVED BY A MARK ANDY XP5000 FLEXOGRAPHIC TYPE PRINTING PRESS (SERIAL NUMBER 1459025) AND A UV CURING STATION

VI. Emission Control Technology Evaluation

The applicant is proposing the use of inks and coatings that will cure when exposed to ultraviolet light. Such materials are commonly called "UV curable" and cure by a mechanical reaction as opposed to the more traditional VOC evaporation method. VOC emissions are minimized by all but eliminating evaporative losses.

VII. General Calculations

A. Assumptions

Assumptions will be stated as they are made.

B. Emission Factors

EF_{VOC} (inks): 0.031 lb/gal EF_{VOC} (coatings): 0.07 lb/gal EF_{VOC} (solvent): 0.184 lb/gal

C. Potential to Emit (PE)

1. Daily PE

Ink: 80 gal/day (applicant)
Coatings: 70 gal/day (applicant)
Solvent: 6 gal/day (applicant)

EF_{VOC} (inks): 0.031 lb/gal EF_{VOC} (coatings): 0.07 lb/gal EF_{VOC} (solvent): 0.184 lb/gal

 $PE_{VOC} = (80 \text{ gal/day})(0.031 \text{ lb/gal}) + (70 \text{ gal/day})(0.07 \text{ lb/gal}) + (6 \text{ gal/day})(0.184 \text{ lb/gal}) = 8.5 \text{ lb/day}$

2. Annual PE

 $PE_{VOC} = [(80 \text{ gal/day})(0.031 \text{ lb/gal}) + (70 \text{ gal/day})(0.07 \text{ lb/gal}) + (6 \text{ gal/day})(0.184 \text{ lb/gal})](365 \text{ days/yr}) = 3,097 \text{ lb/yr}$

D. Increase in Permitted Emissions (IPE)

1. Quarterly IPE

Annual PE: 3,097 lb/yr (774.25 lb/qtr)

The emission profile will include the following:

	NOx (lb)	SOx (lb)	PM10 (lb)	CO(lb)	VOC (lb)
Annual PE	0	0	0	0	3,097
Daily PE	0	0	0	0	8.5
Δ PE (Qtr 1)	0	0	0	0	774
Δ PE (Qtr 2)	0	0	0	0	774
Δ PE (Qtr 3)	0	0	0	0	774
Δ PE (Qtr 4)	0	0	0	0	775

2. Adjusted Increase in Permitted Emissions (AIPE)

AIPE is used to determine whether or not Best Available Control Technology (BACT) is required for modified units. The unit currently under consideration is new, therefore AIPE calculations are not necessary.

E. Facility Emissions

1. Pre Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1)

The VOC emissions from the graphic arts equipment (all permit units except for N-3309-17) are limited by an SLC to 35,933 lb/yr. The SSPE contribution of unit N-3309-19, which is an emergency engine is from the application review document for project N-1084505.

		SSPE2 (lb/yr)		
	NOx	CO	VOC	SOx	PM10
N-3309-1-2	0	0		0	0
N-3309-14-0	0	0		0	0
N-3309-20-0	0	0	35,933	0	0
N-3309-21-0	0	0		0	0
N-3309-17-0	862	186	70	10	61
Total	862	186	36,003	10	61

2. Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2)

The press currently under consideration will be included in the SLC for the graphic arts equipment.

		SSPE2 (lb/yr)		
	NOx	CO	VOC	SOx	PM10
N-3309-1-2	0	0		0	0
N-3309-14-0	0	0		0	0
N-3309-20-0	0	0		0	0
N-3309-21-0	0	0	35,933	0	0
N-3309-22-0	0	0		0	0
N-3309-17-0	862	186	70	10	61
Total	862	186	36,003	10	61

3. Stationary Source Increase in Permitted Emissions (SSIPE)

SSIPE = SSPE2 - SSPE1

The SSPE1 and SSPE2 balances are from sections VII.E.1 and VII.E.2 of this document.

	SSPE2 (lb/yr)	SSPE1 (lb/yr)	SSIPE (lb/yr)
NOx	862	862	0
CO	186	186	0
VOC	36,003	36,003	0
SOx	10	10	0
PM10	61	61	0

4. Baseline Emissions

The proposed equipment will have only VOC emissions, therefore, it is necessary to determine the Baseline Emissions only for VOC.

The facility is a Major Source for VOC. Section 3.8.1.4 of Rule 2201 states that for Major Source pollutants, the Baseline Emissions are equal to the premodification potential to emit if all units in the SLC are Clean Emission Units.

The following table shows the applicable BACT guideline number, the Achieved-in-Practice BACT requirement and whether or not Achieved-in-Practice BACT was met.

Permit	Description	BACT Guideline	Requirement	Achieved-in-Practice BACT Met
N-3309-1-2 N-3309-14-0	Non heatset lithographic printing (high-end)	4.7.2	Inks: ≤ 30% VOC by wt (less water and exempts) Fountain Soln: ≤ 8% VOC by vol	Yes (condition 13 of PTO's)
N-3309-20 N-3309-21	Flexographic Printing (UV cure)	4.7.14	Ink: ≤ 1% VOC by wt (less water and exempts) Coatings: ≤ 8% VOC by wt (less water and exempts) Evaporative loss minimization	Yes Conditions 4 and 5 limit inks and coatings to 0.031 lb VOC/gal and 0.07 lb VOC/gal (less water and exempts) respectively – equivalent to 0.3451% by wt and 0.777% by wt respectively Condition 9 requires evaporative loss minimization

All of the units included in the SLC for VOC are Clean Emission Units, therefore, the Baseline Emissions for VOC are equal to the SLC.

 $BE_{VOC} = 35,933 \text{ lb/yr}$

F. Major Source Determination

Per Section 3.2.4 of District rule 2201, the Major Source thresholds are as follows:

Pollutant	Threshold [lb/yr]
NOx	20,000
CO	200,000
VOC	20,000
SOx	140,000
PM10	140,000

Post-modification Potential to Emit:

Since no emission reduction credits have been generated at this facility, the postmodification potential to emit is equivalent to the SSPE2.

Pollutant	Potential to Emit [lb/yr]	Major Source
NOx	862	No
СО	186	No
VOC	36,003	Yes
SOx	10	No
PM10	61	No

G. Major Modification Determination

SB-288 Major Modification:

The purpose of SB-288 Major Modification calculations is to determine the following:

If Best Available Control Technology (BACT) is required for a Major Source pollutant from a new or modified emission unit involved in a permitting action that is a Major Modification (District Rule 2201, §4.1.3); and

If a public notification is triggered (District Rule 2201, §5.4.1).

Per section 3.36 of Rule 2201 and the District's draft policy titled <u>Implementation of Rule 2201</u> (as amended on 12/18/08 and effective on 6/10/10) for SB288 Major <u>Modifications and Federal Major Modifications</u>, a permitting action is an SB-288 Major Modification if the Net Emission Increase (NEI) for the new and modified units involved in the project exceed the thresholds shown on the following table. The equipment currently under consideration will emit only VOC, therefore, only VOC will be addressed.

Pollutant	Threshold (lb/yr)
VOC	50,000

As shown in section VII.C.2 of this document, the potential to emit of VOC is less than its SB-288 Major Modification threshold. Therefore, this permitting action is not an SB-288 Major Modification.

Federal Major Modification:

Per section 3.18 of Rule 2201 and the District's draft policy titled <u>Implementation of Rule 2201</u> (as amended on 12/18/08 and effective on 6/10/10) for SB288 Major <u>Modifications and Federal Major Modifications</u>, a permitting action is a Federal Major Modification if the Net Emission Increase (NEI) for the new and modified units involved in the project exceed the thresholds shown on the following table. The equipment currently under consideration will emit only VOC, therefore, only VOC will be addressed.

Pollutant	Threshold (lb/yr)
VOC	0

NEI = PE - BAE

Where: PE is the potential to emit (3,097 lb/yr – section VII.C.2 of this document)
BAE is zero for new units

NEI = 3,097 lb/yr - 0 lb/yr = 3,097 lb/yr

As can be seen, the NEI of VOC is in excess of its Major Source threshold. Therefore, this permitting action is a Federal Major Modification.

VIII. Compliance

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule

A. BACT

1. BACT Applicability

New or Relocated Units:

Except for CO, BACT is required for each pollutant with a PE of greater than 2.0 pounds per day. For CO, BACT is triggered if the PE of CO is greater than 2.0 pounds per day and the SSPE2 of CO is 200,000 pounds per year or greater.

Modified Units:

Except for CO, BACT is required for each pollutant with an AIPE of greater than 2.0 pounds per day. For CO, BACT is triggered if the AIPE of CO is greater than 2.0 pounds per day and the SSPE2 of CO is 200,000 pounds or greater.

Major Modifications:

BACT is required for each Major Source Pollutant for which the permitting action is an SB-288 or Federal Major Modification.

Applicability:

The proposed unit is new and as shown in section VII.C.1 of this document, the PE of VOC will be greater than 2.0 pounds per day. Additionally, the facility is a Major Source for VOC emissions and as shown in section VII.G of this document, this permitting action is a Federal Major Modification. Therefore, BACT is required for VOC.

2. BACT Analysis

As shown in the Top-Down BACT analysis that is in appendix C of this document, BACT will be the use of UV cured inks with VOC contents not exceeding 0.031 lb/gal (less water and exempt compounds), UV cured coatings with VOC contents not exceeding 0.07 lb/gal (less water and exempt compounds) and evaporative loss minimization.

B. OFFSETS

1. Offset Applicability

Per Rule 2201, section 4.5.3, offsets are examined on a pollutant by pollutant basis and are triggered for any pollutant with an SSPE2 equal to or greater than the value on the following table:

Pollutant	SSPE2 (lb/yr)
NOx	20,000
CO (in CO attainment areas)	200,000
VOC	20,000
SOx	54,750
PM10	29,200

As shown in section VII.E.2 of this document, the SSPE2 of each pollutant is:

Pollutant	SSPE2 (lb/yr)	Offsets Triggered
NOx	862	No
CO	186	No
VOC	36,003	Yes
SOx	10	No
PM10	61	No

2. Quantity of Offsets Required

For pollutants with a pre-project SSPE (SSPE1) of greater than the offset threshold levels of Rule 2201 offsets must be provided for all increases in Stationary Source emissions, calculated as the sum of the difference between the post-project Potential to Emit and the Baseline Emissions of all new and modified emission units. The units currently under consideration fall into this category. The Baseline Emissions are from section VII.E.4 of this document and the Potential to Emit of the new and modified units is equal to the SLC under which they operate (35,933 lb/yr).

BE (new & modified units): 35,933 lb/yr PE (new & modified units): 35,933 lb/yr

Offset = 35,933 lb/yr - 35,933 lb/yr = 0 lb/yr

C. PUBLIC NOTIFICATION

1. Applicability

District Rule 2201 section 5.4 requires a public notification for the affected pollutants from the following types of projects:

- a. New Major Sources
- b. Major Modifications
- New emission units with a PE > 100 lb/day of any one pollutant (IPE Notifications)
- d. Modifications with SSPE1 below an offset threshold and SSPE 2 above an offset threshold on a pollutant by pollutant basis (Existing Facility Offset Threshold Exceedence Notification)
- e. New stationary sources with SSPE2 exceeding offset thresholds (New Facility Offset Threshold Exceedence Notification)
- f. Any permitting action with a SSIPE exceeding 20,000 lb/yr for any one pollutant. (SSIPE Notice)

a. New Major Source Notice Determination:

The facility is not new, therefore, a New Major Source Determination notice is not required.

b. Major Modification Notice:

The facility is a Major Source for VOC and as shown in section VII.G of this document, this permitting action is a Federal Major Modification. Therefore, a Major Modification Notice is required.

c. PE Notification:

As shown in section VII.C.1 of this document, the PE of each pollutant will be less than 100 pounds per day. A notification is not required.

d. Existing Facility Offset Threshold Exceedence Notification

The SSPE of no pollutant will go from below to above an offset threshold. Therefore, a public notification is not required.

e. New Facility Offset Threshold Exceedence Notification

This is an existing facility. This section does not require a public notification.

f. SSIPE Notification:

A notification is required for any permitting action that results in a SSIPE of more than 20,000 lb/yr of any affected pollutant. As shown in section VII.E.3 of this document, the SSIPE of each pollutant will be less than 20,000 pounds per year. An SSIPE notification is not required.

2. Public Notice

As shown above, a public notification is required because the permitting action is a Federal Major Modification.

D. DAILY EMISSION LIMITS

The VOC emissions shall not exceed 8.5 pounds during any one day.

E. Ambient Air Quality Impact Analysis

Section 4.14 of this rule requires that an ambient air quality analysis (AAQA) be conducted to determine whether the operation of the proposed equipment will cause or make worse a violation of an air quality standard. The only emissions from this operation will be VOC. Since there is not an air quality standard for VOC, an AAQA is not required.

F. Alternative Siting Analysis

Section 21002 of the Public Resources Code states that projects should not be approved as proposed if there are feasible alternatives or feasible mitigation measures that would substantially lessen the environmental impacts associated with that project. This section also states that in the event of specific economic, social or other conditions would make such a project infeasible then the project may be approved in spite of the significant effects. The proposed printing unit is being combined with an existing stationary source, therefore, requiring the press to be

located at an alternative location would require the relocation of the entire stationary source. Such a relocation would cause a significant financial hardship and per § 21002 of the Public Resources Code, locating the equipment at an alternative site will not be required.

G. Compliance by Other Owned, Operated or Controlled Sources

This section requires that the owner of a New Major Source or the owner of a facility undergoing a Federal Major Modification demonstrate, to the satisfaction of the District, that all Major Sources it owns, operates or controls, are located in California and are subject to emission limits be in compliance, or on schedule to be in compliance with all applicable emission limits or standards. The current modification is a Federal Major Modification so these requirements apply. G-3 Enterprises consists of a closure division (facility ID 2028) and the facility undergoing this modification (label division, facility ID N-3309). The closure division is not a Major Source for any pollutant and the label division is in compliance with all applicable emission limitations and standards.

H. Compliance Assurance

1. Source Testing

As they apply to the equipment currently under consideration, no District rule or policy requires source testing.

2. Monitoring

As they apply to the equipment currently under consideration, no District rule or policy requires monitoring.

3. Record Keeping

To enforce the daily emission limits, records of the daily graphic arts material usage and of the material VOC contents will be necessary. To enforce the SLC that applies to the graphic arts operations, records of the annual VOC emissions from the graphic arts equipment will be required. To enforce BACT requirements, records of the ink and coating VOC contents will be necessary. Such records will be required.

4. Reporting

As they apply to the equipment currently under consideration, no District rule or policy requires reporting.

Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits

The facility does not yet have a Title V permit, therefore, no discussion of this rule is necessary.

Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards

No 40 CFR Part 60 subparts apply to the proposed equipment.

Rule 4002 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants

40 CFR Part 60 Subpart KK (National Emission Standards for the Printing and Publishing Industry)

As it applies to flexographic printing operations, this subpart regulates only Wide-Web type units. Wide Web Flexographic Presses are defined in section 63.822 as units capable of printing on substrates greater than 18 inches in width. The proposed press will have the capability of printing on substrates with widths of 13 inches or less, therefore it is not a Wide Web Flexographic Press and it is not subject to this subpart.

Rule 4101 Visible Emissions

As long as the equipment is properly maintained and operated, the visible emissions are not expected to exceed 20% opacity for a period or periods aggregating more than 3 minutes in any one hour. Compliance with the provisions of this rule is expected.

Rule 4102 Nuisance

A. California Health & Safety Code 41700 (Risk Management Review)

A Risk Management Review (RMR) was conducted by the Technical Services Division of the SJVAPCD. As shown on the RMR summary that is included in appendix B of this document, the cancer risk is zero and the acute and chronic hazard indices are 0.32 and 0.23 respectively. Such scores are indicative of emissions that will not pose a significant health risk and the project is therefore approvable.

B. Toxics BACT (T-BACT)

As shown on the RMR summary that is included in Appendix B of this document, T-BACT is not required.

Rule 4607 Graphic Arts

This rule applies to graphic arts printing operations, digital printing operations and paper, film, foil & fabric coating operations as well as to the organic solvent type cleaning processes associated with such operations unless they are exempt per section 4.0. This facility does not qualify for any of the section 4.0 exemptions so this rule applies.

The rule includes VOC content limits, solvent cleaning requirements, material application method requirements, evaporative loss minimization requirements, work practice requirements and record keeping requirements.

VOC Content Limits:

Per Table 1 of Rule 4607, the VOC content limits for flexographic inks are:

Ink (Non-Porous Substrates):
2.5 lb/gal (less water and exempt compounds)
1.88 lb/gal (less water and exempt compounds)
Coatings (all):
2.5 lb/gal (less water and exempt compounds)

Solvent will be utilized for repair and maintenance cleaning as well as for the cleaning of the ultraviolet ink and coating application equipment. The following table shows the table 7 categories that are applicable to this project and the VOC content limits associated with the solvents.

Description	Table 7 Category	VOC Content Limit (lb/gal)
Repair and Maintenance Cleaning	В	0.21
Cleaning of Coating or Adhesive	C	0.21
Application Equipment		0.21
Ultraviolet Ink/Electron Beam Ink		
Application Equipment (except	D.7	0.83
screen printing)		

A listing of the proposed materials, their VOC contents and whether or not they will comply with the VOC limits of this rule are presented on the following table.

Product Identification	Proposed Material VOC Content, lb/gal (g/l)	Compliant
al links		
Wikoff SCUV-8979 UVF PC	0.017	Yes
Wikoff SCUV-8980 UVF PC	0.02	Yes
Wikoff SCUV-8981 UVF PC	0.029	Yes
Wikoff SCUV-8982 UVF PC	0.031	Yes
Coatin	gs	
WikoffUVC-12197 UV FXO Matte 12316	0.07	Yes
WikoffUVC-1925 Fast Cure	0.07	Yes
Solve	nt	
Citrus Safe Yellow Magic Solvent	0.184 (22)	Yes

Solvent Cleaning Requirements:

Per section 5.8.2, operators who perform any of the solvent cleaning operations listed in Table 7 using solvents with VOC contents in excess of 25 g/l are subject to sections 5.8.3 through 5.8.5. Section 5.8.3 regulates solvent application methods for solvents with VOC contents of greater than 25 g/l. To ensure compliance with the solvent

cleaning requirements of this rule, the following condition will be included on the Authorities to Construct and the Permits to Operate:

The VOC content of the solvents utilized shall comply with Table 7 of District Rule 4607. When solvents with VOC contents of greater than 25 g/l are used outside of a District approved VOC emission control system, only the following application methods shall be utilized: wipe cleaning; non-atomized solvent flow methods in which the solvent is collected in a system that is closed except for the collection openings and other openings necessary to avoid excessive pressure build-up inside of the container; and solvent flushing methods in which the solvent is collected in a system that is closed except for the collection openings and other openings necessary to avoid excessive pressure build-up inside of the container. The discharged solvent shall be collected without atomizing into the open air.

Material Application Methods:

Section 5.7 specifies the acceptable application methods for coatings. The coatings will be applied flexographically, which is roll type method. This method is allowed by section 5.7.2.

Evaporative Loss Minimization:

Section 5.9 states that storage and disposal of VOC containing materials including paper and cloth shall be conducted inside of closed, non-absorbent and non-leaking containers. Such a condition will be placed on the Authorities to Construct and Permits to Operate.

Work Practices:

Section 5.10 of this rule requires that all graphic arts materials and all graphic arts material application equipment be utilized in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Such a condition will be placed on the Authorities to Construct and the Permits to Operate.

Record Keeping:

Section 6.1.1 requires the operator to maintain a current file that includes a material safety data sheet or product data sheet showing the material name, manufacturer's name, the VOC content as applied, specific mixing instructions and the density of each ink, coating and solvent in use. Such a file will be required by the Authorities to Construct and the Permits to Operate.

Section 6.1.2.1.4 requires monthly records of each ink and pantone ink used and of the VOC content and density of each. Such records will be required by the Authorities to Construct and the Permits to Operate.

Section 6.1.2.2 requires the operator to record, on a monthly basis, the type and amount of each coating, adhesive, wash primer and solvent used. Such records will be required by the Authorities to Construct and the Permits to Operate.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires each public agency to adopt objectives, criteria, and specific procedures consistent with CEQA Statutes and the CEQA Guidelines for administering its responsibilities under CEQA, including the orderly evaluation of projects and preparation of environmental documents. The San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (District) adopted its Environmental Review Guidelines (ERG) in 2001. The basic purposes of CEQA are to:

- Inform governmental decision-makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of proposed activities;
- · Identify the ways that environmental damage can be avoided or significantly reduced;
- Prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment by requiring changes in projects through the use of alternatives or mitigation measures when the governmental agency finds the changes to be feasible; and
- Disclose to the public the reasons why a governmental agency approved the project in the manner the agency chose if significant environmental effects are involved.

Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Significance Determination

It is determined that no other agency has or will prepare an environmental review document for the project. Thus the District is the Lead Agency for this project.

The District's engineering evaluation (this document) demonstrates that the project would not result in an increase in project specific greenhouse gas emissions. The District therefore concludes that the project would have a less than cumulatively significant impact on global climate change.

District CEQA Findings

The District is the Lead Agency for this project because there is no other agency with broader statutory authority over this project. The District performed an Engineering Evaluation (this document) for the proposed project and determined that the activity will occur at an existing facility and the project involves negligible expansion of the existing use. Furthermore, the District determined that the activity will not have a significant effect on the environment. The District finds that the activity is categorically exempt from the provisions of CEQA pursuant to CEQA Guideline § 15031 (Existing Facilities), and finds that the project is exempt per the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment (CEQA Guidelines §15061(b)(3)).

California Health & Safety Code 42301.6 (School Notice)

The equipment will not be located within 1,000 feet of a K-12 school, therefore, a school notice is not required.

IX. Recommendation

Issue an Authority to Construct with the conditions on the attached draft Authority to Construct.

X. Billing Information

Permit#	Description	Fee Schedule
N-3309-22-0	20 hp	3020-1-A

Appendices

Appendix A: Draft ATC's Appendix B: RMR Summary

Appendix C: BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis

Appendix A Draft ATC

Appendix B RMR Summary

Appendix C BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis

As shown in section VIII (Rule 2201 Compliance) of this document, BACT is required for the VOC emissions from the proposed printing press. District BACT guideline 4.7.14 applies to the proposed equipment.

Step 1: List Practically Applicable Control Options

- VOC capture and control with incineration (98% overall control efficiency)
 Technologically Feasible
- 2. VOC capture and control with carbon adsorption (95% overall control efficiency) Technologically Feasible
- 3. Use of materials with VOC contents (less water and exempt compounds) as indicated Achieved-in-Practice:

-for UV cured inks:

≤ 1% by weight

-for UV cured coatings:

≤ 8% by weight

And evaporative loss minimization methods, which include keeping all solvents and solvent –laden cloth/paper, not in active use, in closed containers.

As part of its ongoing effort to bring the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin into compliance with state and federal ozone standards, the District is revising its BACT policy, in part, to account for the fact that locally, NOx reductions are much more important in reducing ozone formation than are VOC reductions. In recognition of this fact, the District has developed the Environmental Benefit Index (EBI) method for ranking control options during Step 3 of the top-down BACT determination process. In practice, an EBI is determined separately for each control option, with the results being utilized to rank control options in order of environmental benefit. The EBI method will be further explained in Step 3 but to ensure that the Step 3 ranking includes all possible VOC control options, the District will include the applicant's proposed UV curable inks and coatings in this analysis:

4. UV curable inks with VOC contents of 0.031 lb/gal (less water and exempt compounds)

and

UV curable coatings with VOC contents of 0.07 lb/gal (less water and exempt compounds)

Step 2: Eliminate Technologically Infeasible Control Options

None of the emission control options listed in step 1 is technologically infeasible.

Step 3: Rank Remaining Control Options

As stated above, the District's EBI method of ranking control options will be utilized. This method utilizes weighting factors that when applied to Target Pollutant reductions and Non-Target-Pollutant and Collateral Pollutant increases, yields a number that make it possible to rank control options in order of overall environmental benefit, not just target pollutant control.

The higher the EBI, the more environmentally beneficial a control option would be, therefore, control options are ranked in the order of ascending EBI's.

EBI Method:

The following equation is from the most current version of the draft BACT policy.

```
EBI = ∑(Target Pollutant Reductions)(SF)
+ ∑(Non-Target Pollutant Reductions)(SF)
- ∑(Collateral Pollutant Increases)(SF)
```

Where:

Target-Pollutants are the process pollutant(s) for which BACT is required.

Non-Target-Pollutants are the process pollutants, for which BACT is not required.

Collateral Pollutants are air contaminants that would be emitted by a control device that controls Target Pollutants.

SF is the pollutant specific Significance Factor:

 SF_{NOx} : 7 SF_{VOC} : 1 $SF_{PM10/2.5}$: 1 SOx: 1

A Significance Factor has not been developed for CO.

District Standard Emissions:

The Target Pollutant reductions are the reductions that would occur should control be applied to the District Standard Emissions. District Standard Emission, in this case are the emissions that would occur should the facility utilize materials with the VOC contents allowed by the applicable prohibitory rule, which is Rule 4607 (Graphic Arts and Paper, Film, Foil and Fabric Coatings):

Material Type	Material Use (both presses combined) Gal/day (gal/yr)	District Standard VOC Content – from Rule 4607 (lb/gal)	District Standard Emissions (lb/yr)
Ink	80 (29,200)	2.5	73,000
Coating	70 (25,550)	2.5	63,875
Solvent	6 (2,190)	0.21	460
Total			137,335

Rule 4607 limits the VOC content of flexographic inks that are applied to porous substrates to 1.88 lb/gal and limits the VOC content of all other flexographic inks to 2.5 lb/gal. Since most of the inks will be applied to non-porous substrates such as foil, the District Standard Emissions were calculated utilizing an ink VOC content of 2.5 lb/gal. If 1.88 lb/gal had been utilized, the District Standard Emission calculation would have yielded 119,231 lb/yr. This difference would have changed the numerical EBI values but would not have changed the rankings.

EBI (Enclosure/RTO w/98% capture and control) – Technologically Feasible:

District Standard Emissions = 137,335 lb/yr

Target Pollutant Reductions = (137,335 lb/yr (0.98)) = 134,588 lb/yr

Non-Target Pollutant Reductions = 0

Collateral Pollutant Emissions:

1.5 MMBtu/hr RTO (from a cost estimate provided to G-3)

Assume 30 ppm NOx

Assume AP-42 EF's for VOC and PM10

Assume 98% control of the combustion VOC's

NOx: (1.5 MMBtu/hr)(0.036 lb/MMBtu)(8,760 hr/yr) = 473 lb/yr

VOC: (1.5 MMBtu/hr)(0.0055 lb/MMBtu)(8,760 hr/yr)(1-0.98) = 1 lb/yr

SOx = (1.5 MMBtu/hr)(0.00285 lb/MMBtu)(8,760 hr/yr) = 37 lb/yr

PM10 = (1.5 MMBtu/hr)(0.0076 lb/MMBtu)(8,760 hr/yr) = 100 lb/yr

EBI = 134,588(1) + 0 - [473(7) + 1(1) + (37)(1) + 100(1)] = 131,139

EBI (Enclosure/carbon w/ 95% capture and control) – Technologically Feasible:

District Standard Emissions = 137,335 lb/yr

Target Pollutant Reductions = (137,335 lb/yr)(0.95) = 130,468 lb/yr

Non-Target Pollutant Reductions = 0

Collateral Pollutant Increases = 0

EBI = 130,468(1) + 0 - 0 = 130,468

EBI (Low VOC options from Guideline 4.7.14) – Achieved-in-Practice:

District Standard Emissions = 137,335 lb/yr

PE (low VOC option):

VOC Content (Ink) = 1% by weight (achieved-in-practice BACT level)

Density (Ink) = 9.8 lb/gal (assumed a material density equal to that of the applicant's highest density ink).

VOC Content (Coating) = 8% by weight (achieved-in-practice BACT level)

Density = 10.4 lb/gal (assumed a material density equal to that of the applicant's highest density coating)

BACT was not addressed for solvents, so the Rule 4607 level will be applied to the applicant's proposed usage.

PE (Low-VOC Inks) = (29,200 gal/yr)(9.8 lb/gal)(1/100) + (25,550 gal/yr)(10.4 lb/gal)(8/100) + (2,190 gal/yr)(0.21 lb/gal) = 24,579 lb/yr

Target Pollutant Reductions = 137,335 lb/yr - 24,579 lb/yr = 112,756 lb/yr

Non-Target Pollutant Reductions = 0

Collateral Pollutant Increases = 0

EBI = 112,756(1) + 0 - 0 = 112,756

EBI (G-3's proposal):

Material	Proposed Usage	Proposed VOC	Potential to
	(gal/yr)	(lb/gal)	Emit (lb/yr)
Ink	29,200	0.031	905.2
Coating	25,550	0.07	1,788.5
Solvent	2,190	0.184	403.0
Total			3,097

District Standard Emissions:

137,335 lb/yr

Target Pollutant Reductions = 137,335 lb/yr - 3,097 lb/yr = 134,238 lb/yr

Non-Target Pollutant Reductions = 0

Collateral Pollutant Reductions = 0

$$EBI = 134,238(1) + 0 - 0 = 134,238$$

The control option rankings are:

- 1. G-3's proposed materials, EBI = 134,238
- 2. Enclosure/RTO w/ 98% capture and control, EBI = 131,139
- 3. Enclosure/carbon adsorption w/ 95% capture and control, EBI = 130,468
- 4. Low VOC BACT Level, EBI = 112,756

Section 3.10 of District Rule 2201 states that BACT is the most stringent of the following:

Achieved-in-Practice Controls

Technologically Feasible Controls (must be cost effective also)
Alternate Basic Equipment or Process (must be cost effective also)

As shown shows. C.2 is proposing the most stringent emission central to

As shown above, G-3 is proposing the most stringent emission control technique under consideration.

Step 4: Cost Effectiveness Analysis

The applicant's proposal is the highest ranking control option from Step 3. Therefore, a cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

Step 5: Select BACT

BACT will be the use of UV curable inks and coatings with the following VOC contents and evaporative loss minimization:

Inks:

≤ 0.031 lb/gal (less water and exempt compounds)

Coatings:

≤ 0.07 lb/gal (less water and exempt compounds)

To enforce the BACT requirements, the following conditions will be placed on the Authority to Construct and the PTO:

Only UV curable inks and coatings shall be utilized. The VOC content of the inks utilized shall not exceed 0.031 lb/gal (less water and exempt compounds) and the VOC contents of the coatings utilized shall not exceed 0.07 lb/gal (less water and exempt compounds).

The operator shall store and dispose of fresh solvents, spent solvents, waste solvent cleaning materials, coatings, adhesives, catalysts, thinners, and inks in closed, non-absorbent, non-leaking containers. The containers shall remain closed at all times except when depositing or removing their contents or when they are empty.

Appendix A Draft ATC

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District

AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT

PERMIT NO: N-3309-22-0

LEGAL OWNER OR OPERATOR: G-3 ENTERPRISES, LABEL DIVISION

MAILING ADDRESS:

2612 CROWS LANDING RD MODESTO, CA 95358-9400

LOCATION:

2612 CROWS LANDING RD

MODESTO, CA 95358-9400

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:

GRAPHIC ARTS PRINTING OPERATION SERVED BY A MARK ANDY XP5000 FLEXOGRAPHIC PRINTING PRESS (SN 1459025)

CONDITIONS

- {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]
- 2. [98] No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]
- {1898} The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap 3. (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]
- Only UV curable inks and coatings shall be utilized. [District Rule 2201]
- The VOC content of the inks used shall not exceed 0.031 lb/gal (less water and exempt compounds). [District Rule
- The VOC content of the coatings used shall not exceed 0.07 lb/gal (less water and exempt compounds). [District Rule 22017

CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

YOU MUST NOTIFY THE DISTRICT COMPLIANCE DIVISION AT (209) 557-8400 WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED AND PRIOR TO OPERATING THE EQUIPMENT OR MODIFICATIONS AUTHORIZED BY THIS AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT. This is NOT a PERMIT TO OPERATE. Approval or denial of a PERMIT TO OPERATE will be made after an inspection to verify that the equipment has been constructed in accordance with the approved plans, specifications and conditions of this Authority to Construct, and to determine if the equipment can be operated in compliance with all Rules and Regulations of the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. Unless construction has commenced pursuant to Rule 2050, this Authority to Construct shall expire and application shall be cancelled two years from the date of Issuance. The applicant is responsible for complying with all laws, ordinances and regulations of all other governmental agencies which may pertain to the above equipment.

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Director

Director of Permit Services

- 7. The VOC content of the solvents utilized shall comply with Table 7 of District Rule 4607. When solvents with VOC contents of greater than 25 g/l are used outside of a District approved VOC emission control system, only the following application methods shall be utilized: wipe cleaning; non-atomized solvent flow methods in which the solvent is collected in a system that is closed except for the collection openings and other openings necessary to avoid excessive pressure build-up inside of the container; and solvent flushing methods in which the solvent is collected in a system that is closed except for the collection openings and other openings necessary to avoid excessive pressure build-up inside of the container. The discharged solvent shall be collected without atomizing into the open air. [District Rule 4607]
- 8. VOC emissions from this unit shall not exceed 8.5 pounds during any one day. [District Rule 2201]
- 9. The facility-wide VOC emissions from the graphic arts equipment shall not exceed 35,933 pounds based on a 12 month rolling total. [District Rule 2201]
- 10. The operator shall store and dispose of fresh solvents, spent solvents, waste solvent cleaning materials, coatings, adhesives, catalysts, thinners, and inks in closed, non-absorbent, non-leaking containers. The containers shall remain closed at all times except when depositing or removing their contents or when they are empty. [District Rule 4607]
- 11. The operator shall utilize all graphic arts materials and graphic arts material application equipment in accordance with their manufacturer's instructions. [District Rule 4607]
- 12. The operator shall maintain a file that includes a material safety data sheet or product data sheet showing the material name, the manufacturer's name, the VOC content as applied, the specific mixing instructions and the density of each ink, coating and solvent in use. [District Rules 2201 and 4607]
- 13. The operator shall record, on a monthly basis, the quantity, the VOC content and the density of each ink, coating and solvent used. Separate records shall be kept for pantone and non-pantone inks. [District Rules 2201 and 4607]
- 14. The operator shall keep a record of the combined VOC emissions from the facility graphic arts equipment. The record shall be kept on a 12 month rolling total basis and shall be updated at least monthly. [District Rule 2201]
- 15. The operator shall keep a daily record of the VOC emissions from this unit. [District Rule 2201]
- 16. All records shall be retained for a period of at least 5 years and shall be made available to APCO, ARB and EPA upon request. [District Rule 4607]



Appendix B RMR Summary

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Risk Management Review

To:

Mark Schonhoff, AQE - Permit Services

From:

Joe Aguayo, AQS - Technical Services

Date:

November 7, 2011

Facility Name:

G-3 Enterprises

Location:

2612 Crows Landing Road

Modesto, CA

Application #(s):

N-3309-22-0

Project #:

N-1113446

A. RMR SUMMARY

RMR Summary				
Categories	Flexographic Printing Press (Units 22-0)	Project Totals	Facility Totals	
Prioritization Score	>1.0	>1.0	>1.0	
Acute Hazard Index	0.32	0.32	0.40	
Chronic Hazard Index	0.23	0.23	0.23	
Maximum Individual Cancer Risk (10 ⁻⁶)	0.0	0.0	0.0	
T-BACT Required?	No			
Special Permit Conditions?	No			

Proposed Permit Conditions

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the following permit conditions must be included for:

Unit # 22-0

1. {1898} The exhaust roof vents shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap, roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102] N

B. RMR REPORT

Project Description

Technical Services received a request on October 28, 2011, to perform an Ambient Air Quality Analysis and a Risk Management Review for a flexographic printing press.

II. Analysis

Technical Services performed a prioritization using the District's HEARTs database. Since the total facility prioritization score was greater than one, a refined health risk assessment was required. Emissions calculated using MSDS sheets supplied by the applicant and processing engineer were input into the HEARTs database. The AERMOD model was used, with the parameters outlined below and meteorological data for 2005-2009 from Modesto to determine the dispersion factors (i.e., the predicted concentration or X divided by the normalized source strength or Q) for a receptor grid. These dispersion factors were input into the Hot Spots Analysis and Reporting Program (HARP) risk assessment module to calculate the chronic and acute hazard indices and the carcinogenic risk for the project.

The following parameters were used for the review:

Analysis Parameters Unit 22-0								
Source	EF11	EF12	EF13	EF14	EF15	EF16	Location Type	Urban
Source Type	Point	Point	Point	Point	Point	Point	Closest Receptor (m)	30
Stack Height (m)	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	Type of Receptor	Business
Stack Diameter. (m)	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	Max Hours per Year	8760
Stack Exit Velocity (m/s)	11.54	11.54	11.54	11.54	11.54	11.54	Pollutant Type	voc
Stack Exit Temp. (°K)	293	293	293	293	293	293		

III. Conclusion

The acute and chronic indices are below 1.0 and the cancer risk factor associated with the project is less than 1.0 in a million. In accordance with the District's Risk Management Policy, the project is approved without Toxic Best Available Control Technology (T-BACT).

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the permit conditions listed on page 1 of this report must be included for this proposed unit.

The only emissions from the proposed equipment are VOCs. Since ambient air quality standards have not been developed for VOCs, the emissions from the proposed equipment will not cause or contribute to a violation of the State and National AAQS.

These conclusions are based on the data provided by the applicant and the project engineer. Therefore, this analysis is valid only as long as the proposed data and parameters do not change.

IV. Attachments

- A. RMR request from the project engineer
- B. Additional information from the applicant/project engineer
- C. Toxic emissions summary
- D. Prioritization score
- E. Facility Summary

Appendix C BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis

<u>Per</u> » <u>B.A.C.T.</u> » <u>Bact Guideline.asp?category Level1=4&category Level2=7&category Level3=14&last Update=11 » 9 :</u>

Back

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 4.7.14 Last Update: 11/9/2004

Flexographic UV Printing - High End Printing of Labels, Tags, and Forms**

Pollutant	Achieved in Practice or in the SIP	Technologically Feasible	Alternate Basic Equipment
:	use of materials with VOC content (less water and exempt compounds) as indicated, or lower: - for UV-cured inks: 1% by weight - for UV-cured coatings: 8% by weight and evaporative minimization methods, which include keeping all solvents and solvent-laden cloths/papers, not in active use, in closed containers	VOC capture and control with incineration (98% overall control efficiency) 2. VOC capture and control with carbon adsorption (95% overall control efficiency)	

^{**} The substrates, covered by this guideline, are low-porosity papers, plastic films, and metalized paper/foil

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in s a state implementation plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State Implementation Plan.

This is a Summary Page for this Class of Source. For background information, see Permit Specific BACT Determinations on <u>Details Page</u>.

As shown in section VIII (Rule 2201 Compliance) of this document, BACT is required for the VOC emissions from the proposed printing press. District BACT guideline 4.7.14 applies to the proposed equipment.

Step 1: List Practically Applicable Control Options

- VOC capture and control with incineration (98% overall control efficiency)
 Technologically Feasible
- 2. VOC capture and control with carbon adsorption (95% overall control efficiency) Technologically Feasible
- 3. Use of materials with VOC contents (less water and exempt compounds) as indicated Achieved-in-Practice:

-for UV cured inks: ≤ 1% by weight -for UV cured coatings: ≤ 8% by weight

And evaporative loss minimization methods, which include keeping all solvents and solvent –laden cloth/paper, not in active use, in closed containers.

As part of its ongoing effort to bring the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin into compliance with state and federal ozone standards, the District is revising its BACT policy, in part, to account for the fact that locally, NOx reductions are much more important in reducing ozone formation than are VOC reductions. In recognition of this fact, the District has developed the Environmental Benefit Index (EBI) method for ranking control options during Step 3 of the top-down BACT determination process. In practice, an EBI is determined separately for each control option, with the results being utilized to rank control options in order of environmental benefit. The EBI method will be further explained in Step 3 but to ensure that the Step 3 ranking includes all possible VOC control options, the District will include the applicant's proposed UV curable inks and coatings in this analysis:

4. UV curable inks with VOC contents of 0.031 lb/gal (less water and exempt compounds)

and

UV curable coatings with VOC contents of 0.07 lb/gal (less water and exempt compounds)

Step 2: Eliminate Technologically Infeasible Control Options

None of the emission control options listed in step 1 is technologically infeasible.

Step 3: Rank Remaining Control Options

As stated above, the District's EBI method of ranking control options will be utilized. This method utilizes weighting factors that when applied to Target Pollutant reductions and Non-Target-Pollutant and Collateral Pollutant increases, yields a number that make it possible to rank control options in order of overall environmental benefit, not just target pollutant control.

The higher the EBI, the more environmentally beneficial a control option would be, therefore, control options are ranked in the order of ascending EBI's.

EBI Method:

The following equation is from the most current version of the draft BACT policy.

```
EBI = ∑(Target Pollutant Reductions)(SF)
+ ∑(Non-Target Pollutant Reductions)(SF)
- ∑(Collateral Pollutant Increases)(SF)
```

Where:

Target-Pollutants are the process pollutant(s) for which BACT is required.

Non-Target-Pollutants are the process pollutants, for which BACT is not required.

Collateral Pollutants are air contaminants that would be emitted by a control device that controls Target Pollutants.

SF is the pollutant specific Significance Factor:

SF_{NOx}: 7 SF_{VOC}: 1 SF_{PM10/2.5}: 1 SOx: 1

A Significance Factor has not been developed for CO.

District Standard Emissions:

The Target Pollutant reductions are the reductions that would occur should control be applied to the District Standard Emissions. District Standard Emission, in this case are the emissions that would occur should the facility utilize materials with the VOC contents allowed by the applicable prohibitory rule, which is Rule 4607 (Graphic Arts and Paper, Film, Foil and Fabric Coatings):

Material Type		District Standard	
		VOC Content – from	
	Gal/day (gal/yr)	Rule 4607 (lb/gal)	
Ink	80 (29,200)	2.5	73,000
Coating	70 (25,550)	2.5	63,875
Solvent	6 (2,190)	0.21	460
Total			137,335

Rule 4607 limits the VOC content of flexographic inks that are applied to porous substrates to 1.88 lb/gal and limits the VOC content of all other flexographic inks to 2.5 lb/gal. Since most of the inks will be applied to non-porous substrates such as foil, the District Standard Emissions were calculated utilizing an ink VOC content of 2.5 lb/gal. If 1.88 lb/gal had been utilized, the District Standard Emission calculation would have yielded 119,231 lb/yr. This difference would have changed the numerical EBI values but would not have changed the rankings.

EBI (Enclosure/RTO w/98% capture and control) – Technologically Feasible:

District Standard Emissions = 137,335 lb/yr

Target Pollutant Reductions = (137,335 lb/yr (0.98)) = 134,588 lb/yr

Non-Target Pollutant Reductions = 0

Collateral Pollutant Emissions:

1.5 MMBtu/hr RTO (from a cost estimate provided to G-3)

Assume 30 ppm NOx

Assume AP-42 EF's for VOC and PM10

Assume 98% control of the combustion VOC's

NOx: (1.5 MMBtu/hr)(0.036 lb/MMBtu)(8.760 hr/yr) = 473 lb/yr

VOC: (1.5 MMBtu/hr)(0.0055 lb/MMBtu)(8,760 hr/yr)(1-0.98) = 1 lb/yr

SOx = (1.5 MMBtu/hr)(0.00285 lb/MMBtu)(8,760 hr/yr) = 37 lb/yr

PM10 = (1.5 MMBtu/hr)(0.0076 lb/MMBtu)(8.760 hr/yr) = 100 lb/yr

EBI = 134,588(1) + 0 - [473(7) + 1(1) + (37)(1) + 100(1)] = 131,139

EBI (Enclosure/carbon w/ 95% capture and control) – Technologically Feasible:

District Standard Emissions = 137,335 lb/yr

Target Pollutant Reductions = (137,335 lb/yr)(0.95) = 130,468 lb/yr

Non-Target Pollutant Reductions = 0

Collateral Pollutant Increases = 0

EBI = 130,468(1) + 0 - 0 = 130,468

EBI (Low VOC options from Guideline 4.7.14) – Achieved-in-Practice:

District Standard Emissions = 137,335 lb/yr

PE (low VOC option):

VOC Content (Ink) = 1% by weight (achieved-in-practice BACT level)

Density (Ink) = 9.8 lb/gal (assumed a material density equal to that of the applicant's highest density ink).

VOC Content (Coating) = 8% by weight (achieved-in-practice BACT level)

Density = 10.4 lb/gal (assumed a material density equal to that of the applicant's highest density coating)

BACT was not addressed for solvents, so the Rule 4607 level will be applied to the applicant's proposed usage.

```
PE (Low-VOC lnks) = (29,200 gal/yr)(9.8 lb/gal)(1/100)
+ (25,550 gal/yr)(10.4 lb/gal)(8/100)
+ (2,190 gal/yr)(0.21 lb/gal) = 24,579 lb/yr
```

Target Pollutant Reductions = 137,335 lb/yr - 24,579 lb/yr = 112,756 lb/yr

Non-Target Pollutant Reductions = 0

Collateral Pollutant Increases = 0

EBI = 112,756(1) + 0 - 0 = 112,756

EBI (G-3's proposal):

Material	Proposed Usage	Proposed VOC	Potential to
	(gal/yr)	(lb/gal)	Emit (lb/yr)
Ink	29,200	0.031	905.2
Coating	25,550	0.07	1,788.5
Solvent	2,190	0.184	403.0
Total			3,097

District Standard Emissions:

137,335 lb/yr

Target Pollutant Reductions = 137,335 lb/yr - 3,097 lb/yr = 134,238 lb/yr

Non-Target Pollutant Reductions = 0

Collateral Pollutant Reductions = 0

EBI = 134,238(1) + 0 - 0 = 134,238

The control option rankings are:

- 1. G-3's proposed materials, EBI = 134,238
- 2. Enclosure/RTO w/ 98% capture and control, EBI = 131,139
- 3. Enclosure/carbon adsorption w/ 95% capture and control, EBI = 130,468
- 4. Low VOC BACT Level, EBI = 112,756

Section 3.10 of District Rule 2201 states that BACT is the most stringent of the following:

Achieved-in-Practice Controls

Technologically Feasible Controls (must be cost effective also)

Alternate Basic Equipment or Process (must be cost effective also)

As shown above, G-3 is proposing the most stringent emission control technique under consideration.

Step 4: Cost Effectiveness Analysis

The applicant's proposal is the highest ranking control option from Step 3. Therefore, a cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

Step 5: Select BACT

BACT will be the use of UV curable inks and coatings with the following VOC contents and evaporative loss minimization:

Inks:

≤ 0.031 lb/gal (less water and exempt compounds)

Coatings:

≤ 0.07 lb/gal (less water and exempt compounds)

To enforce the BACT requirements, the following conditions will be placed on the Authority to Construct and the PTO:

Only UV curable inks and coatings shall be utilized. The VOC content of the inks utilized shall not exceed 0.031 lb/gal (less water and exempt compounds) and the VOC contents of the coatings utilized shall not exceed 0.07 lb/gal (less water and exempt compounds).

The operator shall store and dispose of fresh solvents, spent solvents, waste solvent cleaning materials, coatings, adhesives, catalysts, thinners, and inks in closed, non-absorbent, non-leaking containers. The containers shall remain closed at all times except when depositing or removing their contents or when they are empty.