



FEB 1 2 2013

Anthony Munoz Pacific Process Systems 7401 Rosedale Highway Bakersfield, CA 93308

Re: Notice of Preliminary Decision - Authority to Construct

Project Number: S-1130017

Dear Mr. Munoz:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of Pacific Process Systems's application for an Authority to Construct for a transportable well-test flare, at various unspecified locations within the SJVAPCD.

The notice of preliminary decision for this project will be published approximately three days from the date of this letter. Please submit your written comments on this project within the 30-day public comment period which begins on the date of publication of the public notice.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Steve Roeder of Permit Services at (661) 392-5615.

Sincerely,

David Warner

Director of Permit Services

DW:SR

Enclosures

Seyed Sadredin

Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer





FEB 1 2 2013

Mike Tollstrup, Chief Project Assessment Branch Stationary Source Division California Air Resources Board PO Box 2815 Sacramento, CA 95812-2815

Notice of Preliminary Decision - Authority to Construct

Project Number: S-1130017

Dear Mr. Tollstrup:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of Pacific Process Systems's application for an Authority to Construct for a transportable well-test flare, at various unspecified locations within the SJVAPCD.

The notice of preliminary decision for this project will be published approximately three days from the date of this letter. Please submit your written comments on this project within the 30-day public comment period which begins on the date of publication of the public notice.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Steve Roeder of Permit Services at (661) 392-5615.

Sincerely.

David Warner

Director of Permit Services

DW:SR

Enclosure

Seved Sadredin

Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

NOTICE OF PRELIMINARY DECISION FOR THE PROPOSED ISSUANCE OF AN AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District solicits public comment on the proposed issuance of Authority to Construct to Pacific Process Systems for a transportable well-test flare, at various unspecified locations within the SJVAPCD.

The analysis of the regulatory basis for this proposed action, Project #S-1130017, is available for public inspection at http://www.valleyair.org/notices/public_notices_idx.htm and the District office at the address below. Written comments on this project must be submitted within 30 days of the publication date of this notice to **DAVID WARNER**, **DIRECTOR OF PERMIT SERVICES**, **SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY UNIFIED AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT**, 34946 FLYOVER COURT, BAKERSFIELD, CA 93308.

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District

Authority to Construct Application Review

Portable Well Test Flare

Facility Name: Pacific Process Systems Date: 2/4/13

Mailing Address: 5055 California Avenue Engineer: Steve Roeder

Suite 110

Bakersfield, CA 93309

Lead Engineer: Dan Klevann

Contact Person: Anthony Munoz

Telephone: 661-330-9946

E-Mail: amunoz@pps-equipment.com

Application #(s): S-2896-26-0

Project #: S-1130017

Deemed Complete: 1/9/13

i. Proposal

Pacific Process Systems has requested an Authority to Construct permit for a 10 MMBtu/hr portable flare for combustion of gas produced in well testing and drilling operations. Daily and annual flow of flared gas will be limited to 10 MMscf/day and 294 MMscf/yr. The equipment will be authorized to operate at various unspecified locations within the District.

Please note that District Policy SSP 1915 requires that transportable flares be permitted according to District Policy APR 1020 which states that "an emissions unit with various <u>unspecified locations</u> must be prevented (by permit condition) from becoming part of another separate stationary source." The following condition from APR 1020 is listed on the permit to ensure compliance.

 Unit must not be located and operated at an existing facility or operation such that it becomes part of an existing stationary source as defined by District Rule 2201. [District Rule 2201]

Pacific Process Systems includes units S-2896-1 through '-16, '-23, '-24 and '-25 for transportable well testing operations with flares. Each of these operations is considered as a separate stationary source and therefore two or more S-2896 units may not be operated at the same location simultaneously.

The following condition is listed on the permit to ensure compliance.

 Flare shall not be operated at any location in conjunction with any other flare or combustion equipment operated by Pacific Process Systems. [District Rule 2201]

The project requires BACT and public notice. Offsets are not required.

II. Applicable Rules

Rule 2020	Exemptions (8/18/11)
Rule 2201	New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule (4/21/11)
Rule 2410	Prevention of Significant Deterioration (6/6/11)
Rule 2520	Federally Mandated Operating Permits (6/21/01)
Rule 4001	New Source Performance Standards (4/14/99)
Rule 4002	National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (5/20/04)
Rule 4101	Visible Emissions (2/17/05)
Rule 4102	Nuisance (12/17/92)
Rule 4201	Particulate Matter Concentration (12/17/92)
Rule 4311	Flares (6/18/09)
Rule 4801	Sulfur Compounds (12/17/92)
CH&SC 4170	10 Health Risk Assessment

CH&SC 42301.6 School Notice

Public Resources Code 21000-21177: California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15000-15387: CEQA Guidelines

III. Project Location

The equipment will be authorized to operate at various unspecified locations within the District. However, the equipment is restricted by permit condition not to be located within 1,000 feet of the outer boundary of a K-12 school. Therefore, the public notification requirement of California Health and Safety Code 42301.6 is not applicable to this project.

IV. Process Description

After drilling petroleum production wells, the wells are tested to establish flow rates and pressure. The well test flare is equipped with a propane or natural gas pilot, automatic ignition system, and will combust gas produced during well testing.

V. Equipment Listing

S-2896-26-0: PORTABLE 10 MMSCF/DAY FLARE FOR WELL TESTING AND/OR DRILLING OPERATIONS WITH OPTIONAL USE AIR-ASSIST, WITH GAS /LIQUID SEPARATOR(S) OPERATED AT VARIOUS UNSPECIFIED LOCATIONS SJVAPCD

VI. Emission Control Technology Evaluation

Emissions from the flare include oxides of nitrogen (NO_x), carbon monoxide (CO), oxides of sulfur (SO_x), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and particulate emissions less than 10 micron (PM_{10}).

Air Assist

Smoking may result from incomplete combustion due to the quantity and distribution of combustion air. Air assist ensures that the flare has enough air and turbulence to completely combust the gases for smokeless operation.

Propane/Natural Gas Pilot and Automatic Reignition

The flare will operate with a continuous propane/natural gas pilot and an automatic ignition system.

Sulfur Emissions

Sulfur emissions from the flare are expected not to exceed 5.0 gr S/100 scf. Therefore sulfur scrubbing is not required.

Visibility and VOC Control

Flares typically operate at 99% control efficiency for VOC. The well test flare being authorized by this project will be equipped with a shroud to reduce flame visibility, improve thermal destruction efficiency, and to prevent down drafts from extinguishing the flame.

VII. General Calculations

A. Assumptions

- The maximum quantity of gas combusted will be limited to 10 MMscf/day (416.67 MMBtu/hr), 294 MMscf/yr
- Heating value of flared gas is 1,000 Btu/scf (proposed and APR 1720)
- The flared natural gas will have a H₂S content less than 5 gr/100 scf, measured as sulfur (proposed)
- Fugitive emissions are considered to be negligible compared to combustion VOC emissions from the flare.
- Pilot gas emissions are assumed to be negligible when compared to emissions resulting from combustion of produced gas.

B. Emission Factors

, ¥	Flare Emission Factors				
	lb/MMBtu	Source			
NOx	0.068	FYI 83 (AP 42 Sec 13.5)			
SO _X	0.0143	Mass Balance Equation Below*			
PM ₁₀	0.008	FYI 83 (AP 42 Sec 13.5)			
CO	0.37	FYI 83 (AP 42 Sec 13.5)			
VOC	0.063	FYI 83 (AP 42 Sec 13.5)			

$$*\frac{5 gr \cdot S}{100 dscf} \left(\frac{dscf}{1,000 Btu}\right) \frac{10^6 Btu}{MMBtu} \left(\frac{1lb}{7,000 gr}\right) \frac{64 lb \cdot SO_2}{32 lb \cdot S} = 0.0143 \frac{lb \cdot SO_2}{MMBtu}$$

C. Calculations

1. Pre-Project Potential to Emit (PE1)

The well testing operation is new and therefore PE1 = 0 for NO_x , SO_x , PM_{10} , CO, and VOCs.

2. Post Project Potential to Emit (PE2)

The daily and annual PE2 is calculated in the following tables.

Daily PE2						
Pollutant	Emission Factors (lb/MMBtu)	Rating (MMBtu/day)	PE2 (lb/day)			
NO _X	0.068	10,000	680.0			
SO _X	0.0143	10,000	143.0			
PM ₁₀	0.008	10,000	80.0			
CO	0.370	10,000	3700.0			
VOC	0.063	10,000	630.0			
CO₂e	117	10,000	1,170,000.0			

Annual PE2						
Pollutant	Emission Factors (lb/MMBtu)	Rating (MMBtu/year)	PE2 (lb/year)			
NOx	0.068	294,100	19,999			
SO _X	0.0143	294,100	4,206			
PM ₁₀	0.008	294,100	2,353			
CO	0.370	294,100	108,817			
VOC	0.063	294,100	18,528			
CO₂e	117	294,100	34,409,700			

3. Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1)

The SSPE1 is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid Authorities to Construct (ATC) or Permits to Operate (PTO) at the Stationary Source and the quantity of emission reduction credits (ERC) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions (AER) that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

Since the well testing operation is considered its own stationary source and is new, SSPE1 is zero.

,		SSPE1 (lb/ye	ear)				
	NO _X SO _X PM ₁₀ CO VOC						
S-2896-26-0	0	0	0	. 0	0		
SSPE1	0	0	0	0	0		

4. Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2)

The SSPE2 is the PE from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs at the Stationary Source and the quantity of ERCs which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for AER that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

The flare is considered its own stationary source. The SSPE2 is the same as the PE2 and is presented in the following table.

		SSPE2			
	NO _X	SO _X	PM ₁₀	CO	VOC
S-2896-26-0	19,999	4,206	2,353	108,817	18,528
SSPE2	19,999	4,206	2,353	108,817	18,528

5. Major Source Determination

A. Rule 2201 Major Source Determination

A major source is a stationary source with an SSPE2, equal to or exceeding one or more of the following threshold values.

Major Source Determination						
Pollutant	SSPE1 (lb/yr)	SSPE2 (lb/yr)	Major Source Threshold (lb/yr)	Existing Major Source?	Post Project Major Source?	
NO _x	0	19,999	20,000	No	No	
SO _x	0	4,206	140,000	No	No	
PM ₁₀	0	5,221	140,000	No	No	
CO	0	108,817	200,000	No	No	
VOC	0	18,528	20,000	No	No	

As shown in the table above, the facility is not an existing major source and is not becoming a Major Source as the result of this project.

B. Rule 2410 Major Source Determination

A Rule 2410 Major Source, for facilties or equipment that is not listed as one of the categories specified in 40 CFR 52.21 (b)(1)(i), is a stationary source with an SSPE2 that is equal to or exceeds one or more of the following threshold values.

PSD Existing Major Source Determination					
Pollutant	SSPE1	PSD Major Source	Existing PSD		
Tonatant	(ton/yr)	Threshold (ton/yr)	Major Source?		
NO _x	0	250	No		
SO _x	0	250	No		
PM	0	250	No		
PM ₁₀	0	250	No		
CO	0	250	No		
VOC	0	250	No		
CO₂e	0	100,000	No		

As shown above, the facility is not an existing major source for PSD for at least one pollutant. Therefore the facility is not an existing major source for PSD.

6. Baseline Emissions (BE)

The BE calculation (in lbs/year) is performed pollutant-by-pollutant for each unit within the project, to calculate the QNEC and if applicable, to determine the amount of offsets required.

Pursuant to Section 3.7 of District Rule 2201, BE = PE1 for:

Any unit located at a non-Major Source,

Since this facility is not a Major Source, the BE = PE1, and is posted in the following table.

		BE (lb/year	·)				
	NO _X SO _X PM ₁₀ CO VOC						
S-2896-26-0 0 0 0 0							

7. SB 288 Major Modification

SB 288 Major Modification is defined in 40 CFR Part 51.165 as "any physical change in or change in the method of operation of a major stationary source that would result in a significant net emissions increase of any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act."

Since this facility is not a Major Source, this project does not constitute an SB 288 Major Modification.

8. Federal Major Modification

Federal major modifications are the same as "major modification" as defined in 40 CFR 51.165 and part D of Title I of the CAA.

Since this facility is not a Major Source, this project does not constitute a Federal Major Modification.

9. Rule 2410 - Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) Applicability Determination

Rule 2410 applies to pollutants for which the District is in attainment or for unclasssified, pollutants, including NO_2 (as a primary pollutant), SO_2 (as a primary pollutant), CO, PM, PM_{10} , and Greenhouse gases (GHG) (CO_2 , N_2O , CH_4 , HFCs, PFCs, and SF_6).

The first step of this PSD evaluation consists of determining whether the facility is an existing PSD Major Source. As demonstrated in Section VII.C.5 above, this facility is not a PSD Major Source.

This flare is considered to be a new stationary source.

Since this facility or the equipment evaluated under this project is not listed as one of the categories specified in 40 CFR 52.21 (b)(1)(i), the project's PE2 is compared to the PSD Major Source thresholds in the following table, in order to see if this project will trigger PSD.

PSD New Major Source Determination					
Pollutant	Project PE2 (ton/yr)	PSD Major Source Threshold (ton/yr)	New PSD Major Source?		
NO _x	10	250	No		
SO _x	2.1	250	No		
PM*	2.6	250	No		
PM ₁₀	2.6	250	No		
CO	54.4	250	No		
VOC	9.3	250	No		
CO₂e	17,205	100,000	No		

^{*}Assuming all of the PM is PM₁₀.

As shown in the table above, the project's PE, by itself, does not exceed any of the PSD major source thresholds. Therefore Rule 2410 is not applicable and no further discussion is required.

10. Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The QNEC is used to complete the emission profile screen for the District's PAS database. The QNEC for each pollutant is calculated as follows.

$$QNEC = \frac{\left(PE2 - PE1\right)\frac{lb}{yr}}{4\frac{Quarters}{yr}}$$

		QNEC		
Unit	Pollutant	PE1. (lb/yr)	PE2 (lb/yr)	QNEC (lb/qtr)
S-2896-26-0	NO _x	0	19,999	5,000
	SO _x	0	4,206	1,052
	PM ₁₀	0	5,221	1,305
	СО	0	108,817	27,204
	VOC	0	18,528	4,632

VIII. Compliance

Rule 2020 Exemptions

Section 6.14 states that "fugitive emissions sources and pressure vessels that are associated with an emissions unit for which a written permit is required shall be included as part of such emissions unit. A separate permit for the fugitive source or pressure vessel is not required. Therefore the three phase separator does not require a separate permit.

Compliance is expected.

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule

A. Best Available Control Technology (BACT)

Rule 1020, Section 3.46 excludes air pollution abatement operations from the definition of "source operation". Since the well test flare is designed to control the VOC and H_2S emissions from the well, the flare is considered an air pollution abatement operation and is not an emissions unit. Therefore, the well drilling and testing operation may be subject to BACT, but the flare used as a control device is not.

1. BACT Applicability

BACT requirements are triggered on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis and on an emissions unit-by-emissions unit basis for the following*:

- a. Any new emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
- b. The relocation from one Stationary Source to another of an existing emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
- c. Modifications to an existing emissions unit with a valid Permit to Operate resulting in an AIPE exceeding two pounds per day, and/or
- d. Any new or modified emissions unit, in a stationary source project, which results in a Major Modification.

^{*}Except for CO emissions from a new or modified emissions unit at a Stationary Source with an SSPE2 of less than 200,000 pounds per year of CO.

a. New emissions units - PE > 2 lb/day

As seen in Section VII.C.2 of this evaluation, the applicant is proposing to install a well drilling and testing operation with PE greater than 2 lb/day for NO_X , SO_X , PM_{10} , CO, and VOC. As discussed in Section VI above, the flare is a VOC control device (not emissions units) and therefore BACT is triggered only for VOC only.

b. Relocation of emissions units – PE > 2 lb/day

As discussed in Section I above, there are no emissions units being relocated from one stationary source to another; therefore BACT is not triggered.

c. Modification of emissions units – AIPE > 2 lb/day

As discussed in Section I above, there are no modified emissions units associated with this project; therefore BACT is not triggered.

d. Major Modification

As discussed in Section VII.C.7 above, this project does not constitute a Major Modification; therefore BACT is not triggered.

2. BACT Guideline

BACT Guideline 1.4.7 applies to Waste Gas Flares for Oilfield Well Drilling and Testing Operations, < 50 MMscf/day, and is presented in Appendix A.

3. Top-Down BACT Analysis

Pursuant to the BACT Analysis in Appendix A, BACT for VOC is satisfied with: "Elevated flare with propane/natural gas pilot."

B. Offsets

1. Offset Applicability

Pursuant to Section 4.5.3, offset requirements shall be triggered on a pollutant by pollutant basis and shall be required if the SSPE2 equals to or exceeds the offset threshold levels.

The SSPE2 is compared to the offset thresholds in the following table.

	Offse	t Threshold	
Pollutant	SSPE2 (lb/year)	Offset Threshold (lb/year)	Offsets Triggered?
NO _X	19,999	20,000	No
SO _X	4,206	54,750	No
PM ₁₀	5,221	29,200	No
CO	108,817	200,000	No
VOC	18,528	20,000	No

2. Quantity of Offsets Required

As seen above, the SSPE2 is not greater than the offset thresholds for ay the pollutant; therefore offset calculations are not necessary and offsets will not be required for this project.

C. Public Notification

1. Applicability

Public noticing is required for:

- a. New Major Sources and Major Modifications
- b. Applications which include a new emissions unit with a Potential to Emit greater than 100 pounds during any one day for any one pollutant,
- Modifications that increase the Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1) from a level below the emissions offset threshold level to a level exceeding the emissions offset threshold level for one or more pollutants;
- d. New stationary sources with a post-project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2) exceeding the emissions offset threshold level for one or more pollutants;
- e. Any permitting action resulting in a Stationary Source Project Increase in Permitted Emissions (SSIPE) exceeding 20,000 lb/year per year for any pollutant.

a. New Major Sources, Federal Major Modifications, and SB 288 Major Modifications

New Major Sources are new facilities, which are also Major Sources. As shown in Section VII.C.5 above, the SSPE2 is not greater than the Major Source threshold for any pollutant. Therefore, public noticing is not required for this project for new Major Source purposes.

As demonstrated in VII.C.7, this project does not constitute an SB 288 or Federal Major Modification; therefore, public noticing for SB 288 or Federal Major Modification purposes is not required.

b. PE > 100 lb/day

Applications which include a new emissions unit with a PE greater than 100 pounds during any one day for any pollutant will trigger public noticing requirements. The

PE2 for this new unit is compared to the daily PE Public Notice thresholds in the following table.

	PE > 100 lb/day Public Notice Thresholds					
Pollutant	PE2	PE2 Public Notice				
Pollutarit	(lb/day)	Threshold	Triggered?			
NO _X	680.0	100 lb/day	Yes			
SO _X	143.0	100 lb/day	Yes			
PM ₁₀	80.0	100 lb/day	No			
СО	3,700.0	100 lb/day	Yes			
VOC	630.0	100 lb/day	Yes			

Therefore, public noticing for PE > 100 lb/day purposes is required.

c. Offset Threshold

The SSPE1 and SSPE2 are compared to the offset thresholds in the following table.

	Offset Thresholds						
Pollutant I	SSPE1	SSPE2	Offset	Public Notice			
	(lb/year)	(lb/year)	Threshold	Required?			
NO _X	0	19,999	20,000 lb/year	No			
SO _X	0	4,206	54,750 lb/year	No			
PM ₁₀	0	5,221	29,200 lb/year	No			
CO	0	108,817	200,000 lb/year	No			
VOC	0	18,528	20,000 lb/year	No			

As detailed above, no offset thresholds are surpassed with this project; therefore public noticing is not required for offset purposes.

e. SSIPE > 20,000 lb/year

Public notification is required for any permitting action that results in a Stationary Source Increase in Permitted Emissions (SSIPE) of more than 20,000 lb/year of any affected pollutant, where SSIPE = SSPE2 – SSPE1. The SSIPE is compared to the SSIPE Public Notice thresholds in the following table.

	SSIPE Public Notice Thresholds (lb/year)						
Pollutant SSPE1		SSPE2	SSIPE	SSIPE Public	Public Notice		
Politicant	SSFET	SSFEZ	SSIFE	Notice Thresholds	Required?		
NO _x	0	19,999	19,999	20,000	No		
SO _x	0	4,206	4,206	20,000	No		
PM ₁₀	0	5,221	5,221	20,000	No		
CO	0	108,817	108,817	20,000	Yes		
VOC	0	18,528	18,528	20,000	No		

As detailed above, the SSIPE Public Notice Threshold for CO is surpassed with this project; therefore public noticing for SSIPE purposes is required.

2. Public Notice Action

As discussed above, public noticing is required for this project for daily emissions in excess of 100 lb/day and SSIPE greater than 20,000 lb/year. Therefore, public notice documents will be submitted to the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and a public notice will be published in a local newspaper of general circulation prior to the issuance of the ATCs for this equipment.

D. Daily Emission Limits (DELs)

DELs and other enforceable conditions are required to restrict a unit's maximum daily emissions, to a level at or below the emissions associated with the maximum design capacity. The DEL must be contained in the latest ATC and contained in or enforced by the latest PTO and enforceable, in a practicable manner, on a daily basis. DELs are also required to enforce the applicability of BACT.

The following conditions are listed on the permit to ensure compliance.

- Emissions shall not exceed any of the following limits: 0.068 lb-NOx/Mscf, 0.008 lb-PM10/Mscf, 0.37 lb-CO/Mscf or 0.063 lb-VOC/Mscf. [District Rule 2201]
- Sulfur compound concentration of gas flared shall not exceed 50 grains/Mscf. [District Rules 2201 and 4801]
- Daily and annual amounts of gas flared shall not exceed 10.0 MMscf/day nor 294 MMscf/yr. [District Rules 2201 and 4102]

E. Compliance Assurance

1. Source Testing

The following testing condition is listed on the permit to ensure compliance.

 Permittee shall document compliance with well gas sulfur compound concentration limit by performing sulfur content analysis of well gas upon startup at each new location of operation of flare. [District Rule 2201] N

2. Monitoring

The following monitoring condition is listed on the permit to ensure compliance.

 Permittee shall inspect the flare in operation for visible emissions at least once every two weeks. If visible emissions are observed, corrective action shall be taken.
 If visible emissions persist, an EPA Method 9 test shall be performed within 72 hours. [District Rule 2201] N

3. Recordkeeping

Recordkeeping is required to demonstrate compliance with the offset, public notification and daily emission limit requirements of Rule 2201. The following condition is listed on the permit to ensure compliance.

 Permittee shall maintain accurate daily records indicating flare location, flared gas sulfur content at each location, and daily and annual rates of gas flared; and such records shall be made readily available for District inspection upon request for a minimum of 5 years. [District Rules 2201 and 4311] N

4. Reporting

The facility is required to report the location at which the flare is operating. The following condition is listed on the permit to ensure compliance.

 Permittee shall notify the District Compliance Division of each location at which the operation is located in excess of 24 hours. Such notification shall be made no later than 48 hours after starting operation at the location. [District Rule 2201]

F. Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA)

Section 4.14 requires that an AAQA be conducted for the purpose of determining whether a new or modified Stationary Source will cause or make worse a violation of an air quality standard. Technical Services Division performed modeling for criteria pollutants CO, NOx, SOx and PM10. The results from the Criteria Modeling are as follows:

The results from the Criteria Pollutant Modeling are as follows:

Criteria Pollutant Modeling Results* Values are in µg/m³

Well Test Flare	1 Hour	3 Hours	8 Hours	24 Hours	Annual
СО	Pass	X	Pass	Х	Х
NO _x	Pass ²	X	X	Х	Pass
SO _x	Pass	Pass	X	Pass	Pass
PM ₁₀	Х	Х	Х	Pass	Pass ¹
PM _{2.5}	X	X	Х	Pass ³	Pass ³

^{*}Results were taken from the attached PSD spreadsheet.

As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for NO_X , CO, PM_{10} , or SO_X . See the entire RMR and AAQA Summary in Appendix B.

¹The criteria pollutants are below EPA's level of significance as found in 40 CFR Part 51.165 (b)(2).

²The project was compared to the 1-hour NO2 National Ambient Air Quality Standard that became effective on April 12, 2010, using the District's approved procedures.

³For this case as per District procedure, minor PM_{2.5} sources are modeled only for primary PM_{2.5} concentrations, and these concentrations are compared to the 24-hour SIL of 1.2 ug/m³ and the annual SIL of 0.3 ug/m³.

Rule 2410 - Prevention of Significant Deterioration

The intent of this Rule is to incorporate the federal PSD rule requirements into the District's Rules and Regulations by incorporating the federal requirements by reference, and this Rule is applicable to any source subject to any requirement under Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR) Part 52.21.

As discussed in Sections VII.5.B and VII.9 above, this facility is not a Rule 2410 Major Source and the emission do not trigger PSD. Therefore this Rule is not applicable.

Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits

Since this facility's potential emissions do not exceed any major source thresholds of Rule 2201, this facility is not a major source, and Rule 2520 does not apply.

Rule 4101 Visible Emissions

Per Section 5.0, no person shall discharge into the atmosphere emissions of any air contaminant aggregating more than 3 minutes in any hour which is as dark as or darker than Ringelmann 1 (or 20% opacity). Per FYI 83, when BACT is required for PM₁₀ the visible emissions will be limited to less than Ringelmann ¼ and less than 5% opacity. As long as the flaring system (with air assist) is operating correctly, compliance with this rule is expected.

Rule 4102 Nuisance

Section 4.0 prohibits discharge of air contaminants which could cause injury, detriment, nuisance or annoyance to the public. Public nuisance conditions are not expected as a result of these operations, provided the equipment is well maintained. Therefore, compliance with this rule is expected.

California Health & Safety Code 41700 (Health Risk Assessment)

District Policy APR 1905 – Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources specifies that for an increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification, the District perform an analysis to determine the possible impact to the nearest resident or worksite.

An HRA is not required for a project with a total facility prioritization score of less than one. According to the Technical Services Memo for this project, the total facility prioritization score including this project was greater than one. Therefore, an HRA was required to determine the short-term acute and long-term chronic exposure from this project. See the RMR and AAQA Summary in Appendix B.

The cancer risk for this project is shown below:

RM	R Summary		
Categories	Natural Gas Well Test Flare (Unit 26-0)	Project Totals	Facility Totals
Prioritization Score	15.9	15.9	>1
Acute Hazard Index	0.00	0.00	0.00
Chronic Hazard Index	0.00	0.00	0.00
Maximum Individual Cancer Risk	8.88E-08	8.88E-08	8.88E-08
T-BACT Required?	No		
Special Permit Conditions?	Yes	[

TBACT is not required for this project. The following condition is listed on the permit to ensure compliance.

 Flare shall always operate at least 25 meters away from any property boundary or receptor. [District Rule 4102]

Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration

Section 3.1 prohibits discharge of dust, fumes, or total particulate matter into the atmosphere from any single source operation in excess of 0.1 grain per dry standard cubic foot. For natural gas the EPA F-factor (adjusted to 60°F) is 8710 dscf/MMBtu (40 CFR 60 Appendix B).

PM₁₀ Emission Factor: 0.008 lb-PM₁₀/MMBtu

Percentage of PM as PM₁₀ in Exhaust: 100% Exhaust Oxygen (O₂) Concentration: 3%

Excess Air Correction to F Factor = $\frac{20.9}{(20.9-3)}$ = 1.17

$$GL = \left(\frac{0.008 \ lb - PM}{MMBtu} \times \frac{7,000 \ grain}{lb - PM}\right) / \left(\frac{8,710 \ ft^3}{MMBtu} \times 1.17\right)$$

 $GL = 0.0055 \ grain/dscf < 0.1 \ grain/dscf$

Rule 4311 Flares

This rule limits VOC and NOx emissions from flares. The flare is a separate stationary source which has a potential to emit less than 10 tons/yr NOx and 10 tons/yr VOCs. Therefore the facility is exempt from all requirements of the rule except the record-keeping requirements of Section 6.2.4. Section 6.2.4 states that "beginning January 1, 2007 facilities claiming an exemption pursuant to Section 4.3 shall record annual throughput, material usage, or other information necessary to demonstrate an exemption under that section." Facility will keep records of annual volumes of gas combusted in the flares to ensure that NOx and VOC emissions remain below 10 tons/yr. Therefore compliance is expected.

Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds

Rule 4801 requires that a person shall not discharge into the atmosphere sulfur compounds, which would exist as a liquid or gas at standard conditions, exceeding in concentration at the point of discharge: two-tenths (0.2) percent by volume calculated as sulfur dioxide (SO2), on a dry basis averaged over 15 consecutive minutes.

Emission calculations were calculated using a fuel with a 5 gr/100 dscf sulfur content. Therefore, the maximum SO_x ppmv are calculated to be:

 $SO_x = (5 \text{ gr}/100 \text{ dscf fuel}) \text{ x} (1 \text{ lb}/7000 \text{ gr S}) \text{ x} (1 \text{ mol}/32 \text{ lb S}) \text{ x} (379.5 \text{ dscf S}/1 \text{ mol S}) \text{ x} (1 \text{ dscf fuel}/1000 \text{ Btu}) \text{ x} (1 \text{ x} 10^6 \text{ Btu}/8710 \text{ dscf}) \text{ x} (1 \text{ x} 10^6) = 9.7 \text{ ppmv} < 2,000 \text{ ppmv}$

California Health & Safety Code 42301.6 (School Notice)

Pursuant to California Health and Safety Code 42301.6, a school notice is required for sites located within 1,000 of a school. This flare will be operating at various sites throughout the District. To insure that the flare is not located within 1,000 feet of a school the following condition will be placed on the permits,

• The equipment shall not be located within 1000 ft. of any K-12 school. [District Rule 2201]

California Environmental Quality ACT (CEQA)

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires each public agency to adopt objectives, criteria, and specific procedures consistent with CEQA Statutes and the CEQA Guidelines for administering its responsibilities under CEQA, including the orderly evaluation of projects and preparation of environmental documents. The San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (District) adopted its *Environmental Review Guidelines* (ERG) in 2001. The basic purposes of CEQA are to:

- Inform governmental decision-makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of proposed activities.
- Identify the ways that environmental damage can be avoided or significantly reduced.
- Prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment by requiring changes in projects through the use of alternatives or mitigation measures when the governmental agency finds the changes to be feasible.
- Disclose to the public the reasons why a governmental agency approved the project in the manner the agency chose if significant environmental effects are involved.

The District performed an Engineering Evaluation (this document) for the proposed project and determined that the project consists of issuing a permit for a piece of <u>transportable equipment</u> to be used at various locations within the District. The District makes the following findings regarding this project: 1) Issuance of the permit does not have a significant environmental impact. 2) Assessment of potential environmental effects resulting from the use of the permitted transportable equipment is the responsibility of the Lead Agency approving the specific project, and will be determined on a project specific basis. The District has determined that no additional findings are required.

IX. Recommendation

Compliance with all applicable rules and regulations is expected. Pending a successful NSR Public Noticing period, issue Authority to Construct S-2896-26-0 subject to the permit conditions on the attached draft Authority to Construct in **Appendix C**.

X. Billing Information

The fee schedule is based on the proposed throughput of the flare.

Annual Permit Fees					
Permit Number	Fee Schedule	Fee Description	Annual Fee		
S-2896-26-0	3020-02-H	417 MMBtu/hr	\$1,030.00		

Appendixes

- A. BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis
- B. Risk Management Review and AAQA
- C. Draft ATC

Appendix A

BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 1.4.7 Last Update: 8/27/1999

Waste Gas Flare - Oilfield Well Drilling and Testing Operation, < 50 MMscf/day

Pollutant	Achieved in Practice or in the SIP	Alternate Basic Equipment
VOC	Elevated Flare with propane fueled pilot light	

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in s a state implementation plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State Implementation Plan.

This is a Summary Page for this Class of Source. For background information, see Permit Specific BACT Determinations on <u>Details Page</u>.

BACT Analysis for NO_x, SO_x and PM₁₀

BACT Clearinghouse Guideline 1.4.7, 1st quarter 2006, does not identify technologically feasible or achieved in practice BACT for NO_x , SO_x and PM_{10} emissions from Waste Gas Flares – Oilfield well drilling and testing operation < 50 MMscf/day.

"Emission unit" is defined in Section 3.15 of Rule 2201 an "an identifiable operation or piece of process equipment such as a source operation which emits, may emit, or result in the emissions of any affected pollutant directly or as fugitive emissions."

The gas must be disposed of after flow measurement to prevent safety hazard from the release of volatile organic compounds (VOC) and H_2S . The flare is expected to control VOC emissions by at least 99% over uncontrolled venting of the produced gas. H_2S in the produced gas is expected to be entirely converted to SO_x . In this case, the oil production well that produces the gas is the emissions unit, and the flare is an emission control device.

Rule 1020, Section 3.46 excludes air pollution abatement operations from the definition of "source operation". Since the well test flare is designed to control the VOC and H_2S emissions from the well, the flare is considered an air pollution abatement operation and is exempt from the definition of emissions unit. The well drilling and testing operation may be subject BACT, the control device selected as BACT is not. Therefore, BACT is not required for NO_x , SO_x and PM_{10} .

BACT Analysis for VOC

1. BACT Analysis for VOC Emissions:

a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse Guideline 1.4.7, 1st quarter 2006, identifies technologically feasible and achieved in practice BACT for VOC emissions from Waste Gas Flares – Oilfield well drilling and testing operation < 50 MMscf/day, as follows:

1. Elevated Flare with propane fueled pilot light

b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

There are no technologically feasible options.

c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

1. Elevated Flare with propane fueled pilot light

d. Step 4 - Cost effectiveness analysis

Because the applicant is proposing the control technology shown to be effective in step 3 above, a cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

e. Step 5 - Select BACT

VOC emissions control using elevated flare with propane/natural gas pilot is selected as BACT.

Appendix B

Risk Management Review and AAQA

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Risk Management Review

To:

Steve Roeder - Permit Services

From:

Cheryl Lawler - Technical Services

Date:

January 10, 2013

Facility Name:

Pacific Process Systems

Location:

Various Unspecified Locations

Application #(s):

S-2896-26-0

Project #:

S-1130017

A. RMR SUMMARY

RMR Summary					
Categories	Natural Gas Well Test Flare (Unit 26-0)	Project Totals	Facility Totals		
Prioritization Score	15.9	15.9	>1		
Acute Hazard Index	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Chronic Hazard Index	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Maximum Individual Cancer Risk	8.88E-08	8.88E-08	8.88E-08		
T-BACT Required?	No	-			
Special Permit Conditions?	Yes	As Dr.			

Proposed Permit Conditions

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the following permit conditions must be included for:

Unit 26-0

1. The flare shall always operate at least 25 meters away from any property boundary or receptor.

B. RMR REPORT

I. Project Description

Technical Services received a request on January 9, 2013, to perform a Risk Management Review (RMR) and Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA) for a 10 MMscf/day natural gas well test flare to operate at various unspecified locations. The flare is considered to be its own stationary source.

II. Analysis

For the Risk Management Review, toxic emissions from the project were calculated using Ventura County APCD emission factors for oilfield natural gas/waste gas flares. In accordance with the District's Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources (APR 1905-1, March 2, 2001), risks from the proposed project were prioritized using the procedures in the 1990 CAPCOA Facility Prioritization Guidelines and incorporated in the District's HEART's database. The prioritization score was greater than 1.0 (see RMR Summary Table); therefore, a refined Health Risk Assessment was required and performed for the project. AERMOD was used with flare parameters outlined below and concatenated 5-year meteorological data from Bakersfield to determine maximum dispersion factors at the nearest residential and business receptors. The dispersion factors were input into the HARP model to calculate the Chronic and Acute Hazard Indices and the Carcinogenic Risk.

The following parameters were used for the review:

Analysis Parameters S-2896-26-0				
Source Type	Flare	Closest Receptor (m)	25	
Effective Stack Height (m)	22.73	Closest Receptor Type	Residence & Business	
Effective Diameter (m)	4.14	Project Location Type	Rural	
Temperature (K)	1273	Effective Velocity (m/s)	56.24	

Technical Services also performed modeling for criteria pollutants CO, NOx, SOx, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5}, as well as the RMR. Emission rates used for criteria pollutant modeling were 154.2 lb/hr CO, 28.3 lb/hr NOx, 1.19 lb/hr SOx, 10.8 lb/hr PM₁₀, and 10.8 PM_{2.5}.

The results from the Criteria Pollutant Modeling are as follows:

Criteria Pollutant Modeling Results*

Values are in µg/m³

Well Test Flare	1 Hour	3 Hours	8 Hours	24 Hours	Annual
CO	Pass	Х	Pass	X	Х
NO _x	Pass ²	X	X	X	Pass
SO _x	Pass	Pass	X	Pass	Pass
PM ₁₀	X	X	X	Pass ¹	Pass1
PM _{2.5}	X	Х	X	Pass ³	Pass ³

^{*}Results were taken from the attached PSD spreadsheet.

III. Conclusion

The criteria modeling runs indicate the emissions from the proposed equipment will not cause or significantly contribute to a violation of a State or National AAQS.

The acute and chronic indices are below 1.0; and the maximum individual cancer risk associated with the unit is **8.88E-08**, which is less than the 1 in a million threshold. In accordance with the District's Risk Management Policy, the unit is approved **without** Toxic Best Available Control Technology (T-BACT).

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the permit conditions listed on Page 1 of this report must be included for the proposed unit.

These conclusions are based on the data provided by the applicant and the project engineer. Therefore, this analysis is valid only as long as the proposed data and parameters do not change.

¹The criteria pollutants are below EPA's level of significance as found in 40 CFR Part 51.165 (b)(2).

²The project was compared to the 1-hour NO2 National Ambient Air Quality Standard that became effective on April 12, 2010, using the District's approved procedures.

³For this case as per District procedure, minor PM_{2.5} sources are modeled only for primary PM_{2.5} concentrations, and these concentrations are compared to the 24-hour SIL of 1.2 ug/m³ and the annual SIL of 0.3 ug/m³.

Appendix C

Draft ATC

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District

AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT

ISSU

PERMIT NO: S-2896-26-0

LEGAL OWNER OR OPERATOR: PACIFIC PROCESS SYSTEMS MAILING ADDRESS:

5055 CALIFORNIA AVE. STE 110 **BAKERSFIELD, CA 93309-1991**

LOCATION:

VARIOUS LOCATIONS

SJVUAPCD

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:

PORTABLE 10 MMSCF/DAY FLARE FOR WELL TESTING AND/OR DRILLING OPERATIONS WITH OPTIONAL USE AIR-ASSIST, WITH GAS /LIQUID SEPARATOR(S) OPERATED AT VARIOUS UNSPECIFIED LOCATIONS SJVAPCD

CONDITIONS

- 1. The equipment shall not be located within 1000 ft. of any K-12 school. [CH&SC 42301.6]
- 2. [98] No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]
- Permittee shall notify the District Compliance Division of each location at which the operation is located in excess of 24 hours. Such notification shall be made no later than 48 hours after starting operation at the location. [District Rule 22011
- Flare shall always operate at least 25 meters away from any property boundary or receptor. [District Rule 4102]
- Flare shall not be operated at any location in conjunction with any other flare or combustion equipment operated by Pacific Process Systems. [District Rule 2201]
- Unit S-2896-26-0 must not be located and operated at an existing facility or operation such that it becomes part of an existing stationary source as defined by District Rule 2201. [District Rule 2201]
- This permit shall not authorize the utilization of any IC engine, or other combustion device requiring a separate permit, for powering the air assist to the flare. [District Rule 2201]
- Flare shall be equipped with operational automatic re-ignition provisions. [District Rule 2201]
- 9 Gas line to flare shall be equipped with operational, volumetric flow rate indicator. [District Rule 2201]

CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

YOU MUST NOTIFY THE DISTRICT COMPLIANCE DIVISION AT (661) 392-5500 WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED AND PRIOR TO OPERATING THE EQUIPMENT OR MODIFICATIONS AUTHORIZED BY THIS AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT. This is NOT a PERMIT TO OPERATE. Approval or denial of a PERMIT TO OPERATE will be made after an inspection to verify that the equipment has been constructed in accordance with the approved plans, specifications and conditions of this Authority to Construct, and to determine if the equipment can be operated in compliance with all Rules and Regulations of the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. Unless construction has commenced pursuant to Rule 2050, this Authority to Construct shall expire and application shall be cancelled two years from the date of issuance. The applicant is responsible for complying with all laws, ordinances and regulations of all-ether governmental agencies which may pertain to the above equipment.

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Director

DAVID WARNER, Director of Permit Services

- 10. Daily and annual amounts of gas flared shall not exceed 10 MMscf/day nor 294 MMscf/yr. [District Rules 2201 and 4102]
- 11. Flare air assist shall be used as necessary such that visible emissions do not exhibit Ringelmann 1/4 or greater or equivalent 5% opacity or greater for more than three minutes in any one hour. [District Rule 2201]
- 12. Sulfur compound concentration of gas flared shall not exceed 5 gr/100 scf. [District Rules 2201 and 4801]
- 13. Emissions shall not exceed any of the following limits: 0.068 lb-NOx/Mscf, 0.008 lb-PM10/Mscf, 0.37 lb-CO/Mscf or 0.063 lb-VOC/Mscf. [District Rule 2201]
- 14. The flare shall be operated according to the manufacturer's specifications, a copy of which shall be maintained on site. [District Rule 2201]
- 15. Permittee shall inspect the flare in operation for visible emissions no less frequently than once every two weeks. If visible emissions are observed, corrective action shall be taken. If visible emissions persist, an EPA Method 9 test shall be performed within 72 hours. [District Rule 2201]
- 16. Permittee shall document compliance with well gas sulfur compound concentration limit by performing sulfur content analysis of well gas upon startup at each new location of operation of flare. [District Rule 2201]
- 17. The following test methods shall be used for well gas sulfur content: ASTM D3246 or double GC for H2S and mercaptan. [District Rule 1081]
- 18. Permittee shall maintain accurate daily records indicating flare location, flared gas sulfur content at each location, and daily and annual rates of gas flared; and such records shall be made readily available for District inspection upon request for a minimum of 5 years. [District Rules 2201 and 4311]

