



SEP 05 2013

Sandra Gallardo
County of Fresno
4551 E. Hamilton St.
Fresno, CA 93702

Re: Notice of Preliminary Decision - Authority to Construct
Facility Number: C-8588
Project Number: C-1132178

Dear Ms. Gallardo:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of County of Fresno's application for an Authority to Construct for a 57.5 horsepower Cummins model GM5.0L LPG/propane-fired emergency standby engine powering an electrical generator, in Meadow Lakes (APN# 128 340 22T; Section 11, T10S, R23E; MDB&M).

The notice of preliminary decision for this project will be published approximately three days from the date of this letter. After addressing all comments made during the 30-day public notice period, the District intends to issue the Authority to Construct. Please submit your written comments on this project within the 30-day public comment period, as specified in the enclosed public notice.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Jonah Aiyabei of Permit Services at (559) 230- 5910.

Sincerely,

David Warner
Director of Permit Services

DW:jka

Enclosures

cc: Mike Tollstrup, CARB (w/ enclosure) via email

Seyed Sadredin
Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

Northern Region
4800 Enterprise Way
Modesto, CA 95356-8718
Tel: (209) 557-6400 FAX: (209) 557-6475

Central Region (Main Office)
1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue
Fresno, CA 93726-0244
Tel: (559) 230-6000 FAX: (559) 230-6061

Southern Region
34946 Flyover Court
Bakersfield, CA 93308-9725
Tel: 661-392-5500 FAX: 661-392-5585

**NOTICE OF PRELIMINARY DECISION
FOR THE PROPOSED ISSUANCE OF
AN AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District solicits public comment on the proposed issuance of Authority to Construct to County of Fresno for a 57.5 horsepower Cummins model GM5.0L LPG/propane-fired emergency standby engine powering an electrical generator, in Meadow Lakes (APN# 128 340 22T; Section 11, T10S, R23E; MDB&M).

The analysis of the regulatory basis for this proposed action, Project #C-1132178, is available for public inspection at http://www.valleyair.org/notices/public_notices_idx.htm and at any District office. For additional information, please contact the District at (559) 230-6000. Written comments on this project must be submitted by October 9, 2013 to **DAVID WARNER, DIRECTOR OF PERMIT SERVICES, SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY UNIFIED AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT, 1990 EAST GETTYSBURG AVENUE, FRESNO, CA 93726.**

**San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District
Authority to Construct
Application Review
LPG/Propane-Fired Emergency Standby IC Engine**

Facility Name:	County of Fresno	Date:	August 8, 2013
Mailing Address:	4551 E. Hamilton St. Fresno, CA 93702	Engineer:	Jonah Aiyabei
Contact Person:	Sandra Gallardo	Lead Engineer:	Martin Keast
Telephone:	(559) 243-6182		
Application #:	C-8588-1-0		
Project #:	C-1132178		
Complete:	July 29, 2013		

I. Proposal

County of Fresno is proposing to install a 57.5 bhp LPG/propane-fired emergency standby internal combustion (IC) engine powering an electrical generator.

II. Applicable Rules

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule (12/18/08)
Rule 2410 Prevention of Significant Deterioration (6/16/11)
Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits (6/21/01)
Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (4/14/99)
Rule 4002 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (5/20/04)
Rule 4101 Visible Emissions (2/17/05)
Rule 4102 Nuisance (12/17/92)
Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration (12/17/92)
Rule 4701 Stationary Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 1 (8/21/03)
Rule 4702 Stationary Internal Combustion Engines (8/18/11)
Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds (12/17/92)
CH&SC 41700 Health Risk Assessment
CH&SC 42301.6 School Notice
Public Resources Code 21000-21177: California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)
California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15000-15387:
CEQA Guidelines

III. Project Location

The facility is located in Meadow Lakes, within Section 11, T10S, R23E (MDB&M), in a remote area that is not currently marked with postal address numbers. The District has

verified that the equipment is not located within 1,000 feet of the outer boundary of any K-12 schools. Therefore, the public notification requirement of California Health and Safety Code 42301.6 is not applicable to this project.

IV. Process Description

The emergency standby engine powers an electrical generator. Other than emergency operation, the engine may be operated up to 100 hours per year for maintenance and testing purposes.

V. Equipment Listing

C-8588-1-0: 57.5 HP CUMMINS MODEL GM5.0L (SN: C130467545)
LPG/PROPANE-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE
POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR

VI. Emission Control Technology Evaluation

The engine is equipped with:

- Positive Crankcase Ventilation (PCV) or 90% efficient control device
- Non-Selective Catalytic Reduction
- Air/Fuel Ratio or an O₂ Controller
- Lean Burn Technology

The PCV system reduces crankcase VOC and PM₁₀ emissions by at least 90% over an uncontrolled crankcase vent.

The fuel/air ratio controller, (oxygen controller) is used in conjunction with the NSCR to maintain the amount of oxygen in the exhaust stream to optimize catalyst function.

VII. General Calculations

A. Assumptions

Emergency operating schedule:	24 hours/day
Non-emergency operating schedule:	100 hours/year
EPA F-factor (adjusted to 60 °F):	8,578 dscf/MMBtu (40 CFR 60 Appendix B)
Fuel heating value:	94,000 Btu/gal (AP-42, Appendix A, pg. 5, dated 9/85)
BHP to Btu/hr conversion:	2,542.5 Btu/bhp-hr
Thermal efficiency of engine:	commonly ≈ 35%

B. Emission Factors

Emission Factors			
Pollutant	Emission Factor (lb/1,000 gal)	Emission Factor (g/bhp-hr)	Source
NO _x	-	6.7	Manufacturer's Guarantee
SO _x	0.35	0.012*	CARB Emissions Inventory Database
PM ₁₀	5	0.175*	CARB Emissions Inventory Database
CO	-	53.5	Manufacturer's Guarantee
VOC	-	1.6	Manufacturer's Guarantee

*g/bhp-hr equivalent of lb/1,000 gal values are calculated as follows:

$$0.35 \frac{lb - SO_x}{1,000 gal} \times \frac{gal}{94,000 Btu} \times \frac{2,542.5 Btu}{bhp - hr input} \times \frac{1 bhp input}{0.35 bhp out} \times \frac{453.6 g}{lb} = 0.012 \frac{g - SO_x}{bhp - hr}$$

C. Calculations

1. Pre-Project Emissions (PE1)

Since this is a new emissions unit, PE1 = 0.

2. Post Project PE (PE2)

The daily and annual PE are calculated as follows:

Daily Emissions					
Pollutant	Emissions Factor (g/bhp-hr)	Rating (bhp)	Daily Hours of Operation (hrs/day)	Conversion (g/lb)	PE2 Total (lb/day)
NO _x	6.7	57.5	24	453.6	20.4
SO _x	0.012	57.5	24	453.6	0.0
PM ₁₀	0.175	57.5	24	453.6	0.5
CO	53.5	57.5	24	453.6	162.8
VOC	1.6	57.5	24	453.6	4.9

Annual Emissions					
Pollutant	Emissions Factor (g/bhp-hr)	Rating (bhp)	Annual Hours of Operation (hrs/yr)	Conversion (g/lb)	PE2 Total (lb/yr)
NO _x	6.7	57.5	100	453.6	85
SO _x	0.012	57.5	100	453.6	0
PM ₁₀	0.175	57.5	100	453.6	2

Annual Emissions					
Pollutant	Emissions Factor (g/bhp-hr)	Rating (bhp)	Annual Hours of Operation (hrs/yr)	Conversion (g/lb)	PE2 Total (lb/yr)
CO	53.5	57.5	100	453.6	678
VOC	1.6	57.5	100	453.6	20

3. Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1)

Pursuant to Section 4.9 of District Rule 2201, the Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1) is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs at the Stationary Source and the quantity of Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

Since this is a new facility, there are no existing permit units or any ERCs banked at this facility. Thus:

SSPE1 = 0 lb/yr for all criteria pollutants

4. Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2)

Pursuant to Section 4.10 of District Rule 2201, the Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2) is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs, except for emissions units proposed to be shut down as part of the Stationary Project, at the Stationary Source and the quantity of Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

Since this is a new facility, SSPE2 is equal to the change in emissions for the facility due to the installation of the new emergency standby IC engine, permit unit -1-0, as previously determined in Section VII.C.2. Thus:

SSPE2					
Permit Unit	NO _x (lb/yr)	SO _x (lb/yr)	PM ₁₀ (lb/yr)	CO (lb/yr)	VOC (lb/yr)
-1-0, emergency IC engine	85	0	2	678	20
SSPE2 Total	85	0	2	678	20

5. Major Source Determination

Rule 2201 Major Source Determination:

Pursuant to Section 3.24 of District Rule 2201, a Major Source is a stationary source with post project emissions or a Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2), equal to or exceeding one or more of the following threshold values. However, Section 3.24.2 states, "for the purposes of determining major source status, the SSPE2 shall not include the quantity of emission reduction credits (ERC) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site."

This facility does not have ERCs which have been banked at the source; therefore, no adjustment to SSPE2 is necessary.

Major Source Determination					
Pollutant	SSPE1 (lb/yr)	SSPE2 (lb/yr)	Major Source Threshold (lb/yr)	Existing Major Source?	Becoming a Major Source?
NO _x	0	85	20,000	No	No
SO _x	0	0	140,000	No	No
PM ₁₀	0	2	140,000	No	No
CO	0	678	200,000	No	No
VOC	0	20	20,000	No	No

As seen in the table above, the facility is not an existing Major Source and also is not becoming a Major Source as a result of this project.

Rule 2410 Major Source Determination:

The facility or the equipment evaluated under this project is not listed as one of the categories specified in 40 CFR 52.21 (b)(1)(i). Therefore the following PSD Major Source thresholds are applicable:

PSD Major Source Determination (tons/year)							
	NO2	VOC	SO2	CO	PM	PM10	CO2e
Estimated Facility PE before Project Increase	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PSD Major Source Thresholds	250	250	250	250	250	250	100,000
PSD Major Source ? (Y/N)	N	N	N	N	N	N	N

As shown in the preceding table, the facility is not an existing major source for PSD for at least one pollutant. Therefore the facility is not an existing major source for PSD.

6. Baseline Emissions (BE)

BE = Pre-project Potential to Emit for:

- Any unit located at a non-Major Source,
- Any Highly-Utilized Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source,
- Any Fully-Offset Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source, or
- Any Clean Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source.

otherwise,

BE = Historic Actual Emissions (HAE), calculated pursuant to Section 3.23

Since this is a new emissions unit, BE = PE1 = 0 for all criteria pollutants.

7. SB 288 Major Modification

SB 288 Major Modification is defined in 40 CFR Part 51.165 as "any physical change in or change in the method of operation of a major stationary source that would result in a significant net emissions increase of any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act."

As discussed in Section VII.C.5 above, this facility is not a major source for any of the pollutants addressed in this project; therefore, the project does not constitute a SB 288 Major Modification.

8. Federal Major Modification

District Rule 2201, Section 3.18 states that Federal Major Modifications are the same as "Major Modification" as defined in 40 CFR 51.165 and part D of Title I of the CAA.

Since this facility is not a Major Source for any pollutants, this project does not constitute a Federal Major Modification. Additionally, since the facility is not a major source for PM₁₀ (140,000 lb/year), it is not a major source for PM_{2.5} (200,000 lb/year).

9. Rule 2410 – Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) Applicability Determination

Rule 2410 applies to pollutants for which the District is in attainment or for unclassified, pollutants. The pollutants addressed in the PSD applicability determination are listed as follows:

- NO₂ (as a primary pollutant)
- SO₂ (as a primary pollutant)
- CO
- PM
- PM₁₀
- Greenhouse gases (GHG): CO₂, N₂O, CH₄, HFCs, PFCs, and SF₆

The first step of this PSD evaluation consists of determining whether the facility is an existing PSD Major Source or not (See Section VII.C.5 of this document).

In case the facility is an existing PSD Major Source, the second step of the PSD evaluation is to determine if the project results in a PSD significant increase.

In case the facility is NOT an existing PSD Major Source but is an existing source, the second step of the PSD evaluation is to determine if the project, by itself, would be a PSD major source.

In case the facility is new source, the second step of the PSD evaluation is to determine if this new facility will become a new PSD major Source as a result of the project and if so, to determine which pollutant will result in a PSD significant increase.

I. Potential to Emit for New or Modified Emission Units vs PSD Major Source Thresholds

As a screening tool, the project potential to emit from all new and modified units is compared to the PSD major source threshold, and if total project potential to emit from all new and modified units is below this threshold, no further analysis will be needed.

The facility or the equipment evaluated under this project is not listed as one of the categories specified in 40 CFR 52.21 (b)(1)(i). Therefore the following PSD Major Source thresholds are applicable:

PSD Major Source Determination: Potential to Emit (tons/year)							
	NO2	VOC	SO2	CO	PM	PM10	CO2e
Total PE from New and Modified Units	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.9*
PSD Major Source threshold	250	250	250	250	250	250	100,000
New PSD Major Source?	N	N	N	N	N	N	N

*See Appendix C for GHG calculations.

As shown in the table above, the project potential to emit, by itself, does not exceed any of the PSD major source thresholds. Therefore Rule 2410 is not applicable and no further discussion is required.

10. Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The QNEC is calculated solely to establish emissions that are used to complete the District's PAS emissions profile screen. Detailed QNEC calculations are included in Appendix D.

VIII. Compliance

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule

A. Best Available Control Technology (BACT)

1. BACT Applicability

BACT requirements are triggered on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis and on an emissions unit-by-emissions unit basis for the following*:

- a) Any new emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
- b) The relocation from one Stationary Source to another of an existing emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
- c) Modifications to an existing emissions unit with a valid Permit to Operate resulting in an AIPE exceeding two pounds per day, and/or
- d) Any new or modified emissions unit, in a stationary source project, which results in a Major Modification.

*Except for CO emissions from a new or modified emissions unit at a Stationary Source with an SSPE2 of less than 200,000 pounds per year of CO.

a. New emissions units – PE > 2 lb/day

Since this engine is a new emissions unit, the daily emissions are compared to the BACT thresholds in the following table:

New Emissions Unit BACT Applicability				
Pollutant	Daily Emissions (lb/day)	BACT Threshold (lb/day)	SSPE2 (lb/yr)	BACT Triggered?
NO _x	20.4	> 2.0	n/a	Yes
SO _x	0.0	> 2.0	n/a	No
PM ₁₀	0.5	> 2.0	n/a	No
CO	162.8	> 2.0 and SSPE2 ≥ 200,000 lb/yr	678	No
VOC	4.9	> 2.0	n/a	Yes

Thus BACT will be triggered for NO_x and VOC emissions from the engine for this project.

b. Relocation of emissions units – PE > 2 lb/day

As discussed previously in Section I, this engine is not being relocated from one stationary source to another as a result of this project. Therefore, BACT is not triggered for the relocation of emissions units with a PE > 2 lb/day.

c. Modification of emissions units – Adjusted Increase in Permitted Emissions (AIPE) > 2 lb/day

As discussed previously in Section I, this engine is not being modified as a result of this project. Therefore, BACT is not triggered for the modification of emissions units with an AIPE > 2 lb/day.

d. Major Modification

As discussed previously in Section VII.C.7, this project does not constitute a Major Modification. Therefore, BACT is not triggered for a Major Modification.

2. BACT Guideline

BACT Guideline 3.1.5, 3rd quarter 2013, which appears in Appendix A of this report, covers rich burn gas-fired emergency IC engines of less than 132 brake horsepower.

3. Top Down BACT Analysis

Per District Policy APR 1305, Section IX, “A top-down BACT analysis shall be performed as a part of the Application Review for each application subject to the

BACT requirements pursuant to the District's NSR Rule for source categories or classes covered in the BACT Clearinghouse, relevant information under each of the following steps may be simply cited from the Clearinghouse without further analysis."

Pursuant to the attached Top-Down BACT Analysis, which appears in Appendix A of this report, BACT is satisfied with:

- NO_x: No control technology (No technologically feasible option was determined to be cost effective)
- VOC: Positive crankcase ventilation

Therefore, the following conditions will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 6.7 g-NO_x/bhp-hr, 0.175 g-PM₁₀/bhp-hr, 53.5 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 1.6 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201]
- {3505} This IC engine shall be fired on LPG/propane gas only. [District Rule 2201]
- {1897} This engine shall be equipped with either a positive crankcase ventilation (PCV) system which recirculates crankcase emissions into the air intake system for combustion, or a crankcase emissions control device of at least 90% control efficiency. [District Rule 2201]

B. Offsets

Since emergency standby IC engines are exempt from the offset requirements of Rule 2201, per Section 4.6.2, offsets are not required for this engine, and no offset calculations are required.

C. Public Notification

1. Applicability

Public noticing is required for:

- a. New Major Sources, Federal Major Modifications, and SB 288 Major Modifications,
- b. Any new emissions unit with a Potential to Emit greater than 100 pounds during any one day for any one pollutant,
- c. Any project which results in the offset thresholds being surpassed, and/or
- d. Any project with an SSIPE of greater than 20,000 lb/year for any pollutant.

a. New Major Sources, Federal Major Modifications, and SB 288 Major Modifications

New Major Sources are new facilities, which are also Major Sources. As shown in Section VII.C.5 above, the SSPE2 is not greater than the Major Source threshold for any pollutant. Therefore, public noticing is not required for this project for new Major Source purposes.

As demonstrated in Sections VII.C.7 and VII.C.8, this project does not constitute an SB 288 or Federal Major Modification; therefore, public noticing for SB 288 or Federal Major Modification purposes is not required.

b. PE > 100 lb/day

The Daily PE for this new emissions unit is compared to the daily PE Public Notice Thresholds in the following table:

PE > 100 lb/day Public Notice Thresholds			
Pollutant	Daily PE (lb/day)	Public Notice Threshold (lb/day)	Public Notice Triggered?
NO _x	20.4	100	No
SO _x	0.0	100	No
PM ₁₀	0.5	100	No
CO	162.8	100	Yes
VOC	4.9	100	No

As shown in the preceding table, the 100 lb/day threshold for CO was surpassed with this project. Therefore, public noticing is required for daily emissions greater than 100 lb/day for a new emissions unit.

c. Offset Threshold

The following table compares the SSPE1 with the SSPE2 to the offset thresholds in order to determine if any offset thresholds have been surpassed with this project.

Offset Threshold				
Pollutant	SSPE1 (lb/yr)	SSPE2 (lb/yr)	Offset Threshold (lb/yr)	Public Notice Required?
NO _x	0	85	20,000	No
SO _x	0	0	54,750	No

Offset Threshold				
Pollutant	SSPE1 (lb/yr)	SSPE2 (lb/yr)	Offset Threshold (lb/yr)	Public Notice Required?
PM ₁₀	0	2	29,200	No
CO	0	678	200,000	No
VOC	0	20	20,000	No

As shown in the preceding table, there were no offset thresholds surpassed with this project. Therefore, public noticing is not required for this project for surpassing the SSPE2 offset thresholds.

d. SSIPE > 20,000 lb/year

Public notification is required for any permitting action that results in a Stationary Source Increase in Permitted Emissions (SSIPE) of more than 20,000 lb/year of any affected pollutant. According to District policy, the SSIPE is calculated as the Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2) minus the Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1), i.e. $SSIPE = SSPE2 - SSPE1$. The values for SSPE2 and SSPE1 are calculated according to Rule 2201, Sections 4.9 and 4.10, respectively. The SSIPE is compared to the SSIPE Public Notice thresholds in the following table:

SSIPE Public Notice Threshold					
Pollutant	SSPE2 (lb/yr)	SSPE1 (lb/yr)	SSIPE (lb/yr)	SSIPE Threshold (lb/yr)	Public Notice Required?
NO _x	85	0	85	20,000	No
SO _x	0	0	0	20,000	No
PM ₁₀	2	0	2	20,000	No
CO	678	0	678	20,000	No
VOC	20	0	20	20,000	No

As shown in the preceding table, there were no SSIPE thresholds surpassed with this project. Therefore, public noticing is not required for exceeding the SSIPE thresholds.

2. Public Notice Action

As discussed above, public noticing is required for this project for surpassing the PE > 100 lb/day for a new emissions unit threshold for CO emissions. Therefore, public notice documents will be submitted to the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and a public notice will be published in a local

newspaper of general circulation prior to the issuance of the ATC for this equipment.

D. Daily Emissions Limits

Daily Emissions Limitations (DELs) and other enforceable conditions are required by Section 3.15 to restrict a unit's maximum daily emissions, to a level at or below the emissions associated with the maximum design capacity. Per Sections 3.15.1 and 3.15.2, the DEL must be contained in the latest ATC and contained in or enforced by the latest PTO and enforceable, in a practicable manner, on a daily basis. DELs are also required to enforce the applicability of BACT. For this emergency standby IC engine, the DELs are stated in the form of emission factors, the maximum engine horsepower rating, and the maximum operation time. Therefore, the following condition (previously proposed in this engineering evaluation) will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 6.7 g-NO_x/bhp-hr, 0.175 g-PM₁₀/bhp-hr, 53.5 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 1.6 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201]

E. Compliance Assurance

1. Source Testing

Pursuant to District Policy APR 1705, source testing is not required for emergency standby IC engines to demonstrate compliance with Rule 2201.

2. Monitoring

No monitoring is required to demonstrate compliance with Rule 2201.

3. Recordkeeping

Recordkeeping is required to demonstrate compliance with the offset, public notification, and daily emission limit requirements of Rule 2201. As required by District Rule 4702, *Stationary Internal Combustion Engines*, this IC engine is subject to recordkeeping requirements. Recordkeeping requirements, in accordance with District Rule 4702, will be discussed in Section VIII, *District Rule 4702*, of this evaluation.

4. Reporting

No reporting is required to ensure compliance with Rule 2201.

F. Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA)

An AAQA shall be conducted for the purpose of determining whether a new or modified Stationary Source will cause or make worse a violation of an air quality standard. The District's Technical Services Division conducted the required analysis. Refer to Appendix B of this document for the AAQA summary sheet.

The proposed location is in an attainment area for NO_x, CO, and SO_x. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet in Appendix B, the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for NO_x, CO, or SO_x.

The proposed location is in a non-attainment area for the state's PM₁₀ as well as federal and state PM_{2.5} thresholds. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}.

Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits

Since this facility's potential to emit does not exceed any major source thresholds of Rule 2201, this facility is not a major source, and Rule 2520 does not apply.

Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)

40 CFR 60 Subpart JJJJ – National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Spark Ignition Internal Combustion Emissions (RICE)

Pursuant to §60.4230, this engine is subject to this federal regulation. Pursuant to §60.4233(c), owners and operators of stationary SI ICE with a maximum engine power greater than 19 KW (25 HP) manufactured on or after the applicable date in § 60.4230(a)(4) that are rich burn engines that use LPG must comply with the emission standards in § 60.4231(c) for their stationary SI ICE.

Pursuant to §60.4231(c), stationary SI internal combustion engine manufacturers must certify their emergency stationary SI ICE greater than 25 HP and less than 130 HP that are rich burn engines that use LPG and that are manufactured on or after the applicable date in § 60.4230(a)(4) to the Phase 1 emission standards in 40 CFR 90.103, applicable to class II engines, and other requirements for new nonroad SI engines in 40 CFR part 90.

The proposed engine is certified with emission levels below those specified in 40 CFR 90.103, and is therefore in compliance with this requirement.

Pursuant to §60.4243(a)(1), the operator shall operate and maintain the certified stationary SI internal combustion engine and control device according to the manufacturer's emission-related written instructions, and must keep records of conducted maintenance to demonstrate compliance.

Pursuant to §60.4243(d), there is no time limit on the use of emergency stationary ICE in emergency situations; and the engine may be operated for maintenance checks and readiness testing and emergency demand response for a maximum of 100 hours per calendar year.

Pursuant to §60.4245(a), owners and operators of all stationary SI ICE must keep records of all notifications submitted to comply with this subpart and all documentation supporting any notification, maintenance conducted on the engine, and documentation from the manufacturer that the engine is certified to meet the emission standards and information as required in 40 CFR parts 90, 1048, 1054, and 1060, as applicable.

The following permit conditions will be included on the ATC to ensure compliance with these requirements:

- The permittee shall operate and maintain this certified engine and control device(s) according to the manufacturer's emission-related written instructions, and shall keep records of conducted maintenance to demonstrate compliance. [40 CFR 60.4243(a)]
- This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per calendar year. [District Rule 4702 and 40 CFR 60.4243(d)]
- The permittee shall maintain records of maintenance conducted on the engine, and documentation from the manufacturer that the engine is certified to meet the emission standards and information as required in 40 CFR parts 90. [40 CFR 60.4245(a)]

Rule 4002 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants

40 CFR 63 Subpart ZZZZ – National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Emissions (RICE)

Pursuant to § 63.6585 of Subpart ZZZZ, this engine is subject to this federal regulation. However, the District has not been delegated authorization to enforce the requirements of 40 CFR 63 Subpart ZZZZ for non-Part 70 sources (Major Sources).

Rule 4101 Visible Emissions

Rule 4101 states that no air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]

Rule 4102 Nuisance

Rule 4102 states that no air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. Public nuisance conditions are not expected as a result of these operations; provided the equipment is well maintained. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]

California Health & Safety Code 41700 (Health Risk Assessment)

District Policy APR 1905 - Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources (dated 3/2/01) specifies that for an increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification, the District perform an analysis to determine the possible impact to the nearest resident or worksite.

District policy APR 1905 specifies that for an increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification, the District perform an analysis to determine the possible impact to the nearest resident or worksite. A Health Risk Assessment (HRA) is not required for a project with a total facility prioritization score of less than or equal to one. According to the Technical Services Memo for this project (see Appendix B), the total facility prioritization score including this project was less than or equal to 1.0. Therefore, no further analysis is required to determine the impact from this project.

District policy APR 1905 also specifies that the increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification not have acute or chronic indices, or a cancer risk greater than the District's significance levels (i.e. acute and/or chronic indices greater than 1 and a cancer risk greater than 10 in a million). As outlined by the HRA Summary in Appendix B of this report, the emissions increase for this project was determined to be less than significant.

Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration

Particulate matter emissions from the engine will be less than or equal to the rule limit of 0.1 grain per cubic foot of gas at dry standard conditions as shown by the following:

$$0.175 \frac{g - PM_{10}}{bhp - hr} \times \frac{1 bhp - hr}{2,542.5 Btu} \times \frac{10^6 Btu}{8,578 dscf} \times \frac{0.35 Btu_{out}}{1 Btu_{in}} \times \frac{15.43 grain}{g} = 0.0433 \frac{grain - PM}{dscf}$$

Since 0.0433 grain-PM/dscf is \leq to 0.1 grain per dscf, compliance with Rule 4201 is expected.

Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]

Rule 4701 Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 1

Pursuant to Section 7.6.3.3 of District Rule 4702, as of June 1, 2006 District Rule 4701 is no longer applicable to LPG/propane-fired emergency standby or emergency IC engines. Therefore, this LPG/propane-fired emergency IC engine will comply with the requirements of District Rule 4702 and no further discussion is required.

Rule 4702 Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 2

The purpose of this rule is to limit the emissions of nitrogen oxides (NOX), carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compounds (VOC) from internal combustion engines.

This rule applies to any internal combustion engine with a rated brake horsepower greater than 50 horsepower.

Pursuant to Section 4.2, except for the requirements of Sections 5.7 and 6.2.3, the requirements of this rule shall not apply to an internal combustion engine that meets the following condition:

- 1) An emergency standby engine as defined in Section 3.0 of this rule, and provided that it is operated with a nonresettable elapsed operating time meter. In lieu of a nonresettable time meter, the owner of an emergency engine may use an alternative device, method, or technique, in determining operating time provided that the alternative is approved by the APCO. The owner of the engine

shall properly maintain and operate the time meter or alternative device in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

Section 3.15 defines an "Emergency Standby Engine" as an internal combustion engine which operates as a temporary replacement for primary mechanical or electrical power during an unscheduled outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the operator. An engine shall be considered to be an emergency standby engine if it is used only for the following purposes: (1) periodic maintenance, periodic readiness testing, or readiness testing during and after repair work; (2) unscheduled outages, or to supply power while maintenance is performed or repairs are made to the primary power supply; and (3) if it is limited to operate 100 hours or less per calendar year for non-emergency purposes. An engine shall not be considered to be an emergency standby engine if it is used: (1) to reduce the demand for electrical power when normal electrical power line service has not failed, or (2) to produce power for the utility electrical distribution system, or (3) in conjunction with a voluntary utility demand reduction program or interruptible power contract.

Therefore, the emergency standby IC engine involved with this project will only have to meet the requirements of Sections 5.7 and 6.2.3 of this Rule.

Section 5.7 of this Rule requires that the owner of an emergency standby engine shall comply with the requirements specified in Section 5.7.2 through Section 5.7.5 below:

- 1) Properly operate and maintain each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier.
- 2) Monitor the operational characteristics of each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier.
- 3) Install and operate a nonresettable elapsed operating time meter. In lieu of installing a nonresettable time meter, the owner of an engine may use an alternative device, method, or technique, in determining operating time provided that the alternative is approved by the APCO and is allowed by Permit-to-Operate or Stationary Equipment Registration condition. The owner of the engine shall properly maintain and operate the time meter or alternative device in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

Therefore, the following conditions will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {3405} This engine shall be operated and maintained in proper operating condition as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emissions control system supplier. [District Rule 4702]
- {3478} During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of

the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rule 4702]

- {3404} This engine shall be equipped with an operational non-resettable elapsed time meter or other APCO approved alternative. [District Rule 4702]
- {3807} An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702]
- {3808} This engine shall not be used to produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rule 4702]
- {3806} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per calendar year. [District Rule 4702]

Section 6.2.3 requires that an owner claiming an exemption under Section 4.2 or Section 4.3 shall maintain annual operating records. This information shall be retained for at least five years, shall be readily available, and submitted to the APCO upon request and at the end of each calendar year in a manner and form approved by the APCO. Therefore, the following condition (previously proposed in this engineering evaluation) will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {3496} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.), and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702]
- {3498} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. For units at unstaffed sites or operated remotely, records may be maintained and retained at a District-approved off-site location. [District Rule 4702]

Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds

Rule 4801 requires that sulfur compound emissions (as SO₂) shall not exceed 0.2% by volume. Using the ideal gas equation, the sulfur compound emissions are calculated as follows:

$$\text{Volume SO}_2 = (n \times R \times T) \div P$$

n = moles SO₂

T (standard temperature) = 60 °F or 520 °R

$$R (\text{universal gas constant}) = \frac{10.73 \text{ psi} \cdot \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb} \cdot \text{mol} \cdot ^\circ\text{R}}$$

$$0.35 \frac{\text{lb-S}}{1,000 \text{ gal}} \times \frac{1 \text{ gal}}{0.094 \text{ MMBtu}} \times \frac{1 \text{ MMBtu}}{8,578 \text{ scf}} \times \frac{\text{lb-mol}}{64 \text{ lb-S}} \times \frac{10.73 \text{ psi} \cdot \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb-mol} \cdot ^\circ\text{R}} \times \frac{520^\circ\text{R}}{14.7 \text{ psi}} \times 1,000,000 = 2.57 \text{ ppmv}$$

Since 2.57 ppmv is \leq 2,000 ppmv, this engine is expected to comply with Rule 4801. Therefore, the following condition (previously proposed in this engineering evaluation) will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {3505} This IC engine shall be fired on LPG/propane gas only. [District Rules 2201 and 4801]

California Health & Safety Code 42301.6 (School Notice)

The District has verified that this site is not located within 1,000 feet of a school. Therefore, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code 42301.6, a school notice is not required.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires each public agency to adopt objectives, criteria, and specific procedures consistent with CEQA Statutes and the CEQA Guidelines for administering its responsibilities under CEQA, including the orderly evaluation of projects and preparation of environmental documents. The San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (District) adopted its *Environmental Review Guidelines* (ERG) in 2001.

The basic purposes of CEQA are to:

- Inform governmental decision-makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of proposed activities.
- Identify the ways that environmental damage can be avoided or significantly reduced.
- Prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment by requiring changes

in projects through the use of alternatives or mitigation measures when the governmental agency finds the changes to be feasible.

- Disclose to the public the reasons why a governmental agency approved the project in the manner the agency chose if significant environmental effects are involved.

Consistent with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and CEQA Guidelines requirements, the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (District) has adopted procedures and guidelines for implementing CEQA. The District's Environmental Review Guidelines (ERG) establishes procedures for avoiding unnecessary delay during the District's permitting process while ensuring that significant environmental impacts are thoroughly and consistently addressed. The ERG includes policies and procedures to be followed when processing permits for projects that are exempt under CEQA.

The State Legislature granted a number of exemptions from CEQA, including projects that require only ministerial approval. Based upon analysis of its own laws and consideration of CEQA provisions, the District has identified a limited number of District permitting activities considered to be ministerial approvals. As set forth in §4.2.1 of the ERG, projects permitted consistent with the District's *Guidelines for Expedited Application Review* (GEAR) are standard application reviews in which little or no discretion is used in issuing Authority to Construct (ATC) documents.

For the proposed project, the District performed an Engineering Evaluation (this document) and determined that the project will occur at an existing facility; involves negligible expansion of the existing use; and would not have a significant effect on the environment. The District further determined that the project qualifies for processing under the procedures set forth in the District's Permit Services Procedures Manual in the *Guidelines for Expedited Application Review* (GEAR). Thus, as discussed above, issuance of such ATC(s) is ministerial approval for the District and is not subject to CEQA provisions.

IX. Recommendation

Pending a successful NSR Public Noticing period, issue Authority to Construct C-8588-1-0 subject to the permit conditions on the attached draft Authority to Construct in Appendix E.

X. Billing Information

Billing Schedule			
Permit Number	Fee Schedule	Fee Description	Fee Amount
C-8588-1-0	3020-10-A	57.5 bhp IC engine	\$80

Appendices

- A. BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis
- B. RMR Summary
- C. GHG Calculations
- D. QNEC Calculations
- E. Draft ATC

Appendix A

BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis

1. BACT Analysis for NO_x Emissions:

Oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) are generated from the high temperature combustion of the LPG/propane gas fuel. A majority of the NO_x emissions are formed from the high temperature reaction of nitrogen and oxygen in the inlet air. The rest of the NO_x emissions are formed from the reaction of fuel-bound nitrogen with oxygen in the inlet air.

a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse guideline 3.1.5, 3rd quarter 2013, identifies achieved in practice BACT for NO_x emissions from rich-burn emergency LPG/propane gas IC engines < 132 bhp as follows:

- 1) NO_x catalyst (three-way catalyst)

No technologically feasible alternatives or control alternatives identified as alternate basic equipment for this class and category of source are listed.

b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

There are no technologically infeasible options to eliminate from step 1.

c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

- 1) NO_x catalyst (three-way catalyst)

d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

This facility is classified as a small emitter, per the District's BACT Policy (dated 11/9/99) Section III.D, as facility-wide emissions are less than two tons per year of each affected pollutant; and also less than 40 lb/day for NO_x, 220 lb/day for CO, and 30 lb/day each for VOC, PM₁₀, and SO_x. Therefore, per the District's BACT Policy (dated 11/9/99) Section IX.E.1, technologically feasible BACT and a cost effective analysis is not required.

e. Step 5 - Select BACT

There is no control technology that is cost effective for BACT for NO_x emissions from this rich-burn emergency standby LPG/propane gas IC engine < 132 bhp. The applicant has proposed to install a 57.5 bhp rich-burn emergency standby LPG/propane gas IC engine < 132 bhp with an Air/Fuel ratio controller; therefore BACT for NO_x emissions is satisfied.

2. BACT Analysis for VOC Emissions:

Volatile organic compounds (VOC) emissions are generated from the incomplete combustion of the fuel. Some VOCs are emitted from the crankcase of the engine as a result of piston ring blow-by.

a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse guideline 3.1.5, 3rd quarter 2013, identifies achieved in practice BACT for VOC emissions from rich-burn emergency LPG/propane gas IC engines < 132 bhp as follows:

- 1) Positive crankcase ventilation

In addition, the SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse guideline 3.1.5, 3rd quarter 2013, identifies technologically feasible BACT for VOC emissions from rich-burn emergency LPG/propane gas IC engines < 132 bhp as follows:

- 1) VOC catalyst (three-way catalyst) and positive crankcase ventilation

b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

There are no technologically infeasible options to eliminate from step 1.

c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

- 1) VOC catalyst (three-way catalyst) and positive crankcase ventilation
- 2) Positive crankcase ventilation

d. Step 4 - Cost effectiveness analysis

A cost effective analysis must be performed for all control options in the list from Step 3 in the order of their ranking to determine the cost effective option with the lowest emissions.

This facility is classified as a small emitter, per the District's BACT Policy (dated 11/9/99) Section III.D, as facility-wide emissions are less than two tons per year of each affected pollutant; and also less than 40 lb/day for NO_x, 220 lb/day for CO, and 30 lb/day each for VOC, PM₁₀, and SO_x. Therefore, per the District's BACT Policy (dated 11/9/99) Section IX.E.1, technologically feasible BACT and a cost effective analysis is not required.

The only remaining control technology alternative in the ranking list from Step 3 has been achieved in practice. Therefore, per SJVUAPCD BACT policy, the cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

e. Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for VOC emissions from this rich-burn emergency standby LPG/propane gas IC engines < 132 bhp is positive crankcase ventilation. The applicant has proposed to install a 57.5 bhp rich-burn emergency standby LPG/propane gas IC engine with positive crankcase ventilation; therefore BACT for VOC emissions is satisfied.

Appendix B
RMR Summary

Revised
San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District
Risk Management Review

To: Jonah Aiyabei - Permit Services
 From: Kyle Melching - Permit Services
 Date: July 29, 2013
 Facility Name: County of Fresno
 Location: Meadow Lakes, CA
 Application #(s): C-8588-1-0
 Project #: C-1132178

A. RMR SUMMARY

Categories	LPG-fired EMERGENCY STANDBY ICE (Unit 1-0)	Project Totals	Facility Totals
Prioritization Score	0.9*	0.9	<1
Acute Hazard Index	N/A	N/A	N/A
Chronic Hazard Index	N/A	N/A	N/A
Maximum Individual Cancer Risk	N/A	N/A	N/A
T-BACT Required?	No		
Special Permit Conditions?	Yes		

*The project passed on prioritization with a score less than 1; therefore, no further analysis was required.

Proposed Permit Conditions

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the following permit conditions must be included for:

Unit 1-0

1. This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed **100** hours per calendar year. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

B. RMR REPORT

I. Project Description

Technical Services received a request on July 24, to revise a Risk Management Review (RMR) and Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA) for a 57.5 bhp emergency standby LPG-fired IC engine powering an electrical generator. This revision will correct the stack exhaust from venting vertically to horizontally.

II. Analysis

Toxic emissions for this proposed unit were calculated using 2001 Ventura County's Air Pollution Control District emission factors for Natural Gas Fired internal combustion (4 Stroke Rich Burn) Engine and the Districts approved conversion factors from Natural Gas to LPG. In accordance with the District's *Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources* (APR 1905-1, March 2, 2001), risks from the project were prioritized using the procedures in the 1990 CAPCOA Facility Prioritization Guidelines and incorporated in the District's HEART's database. The prioritization score for the project and facility is less than 1.0 (see RMR Summary Table); therefore, no further evaluation is required.

The following parameters were used for the reviews:

Analysis Parameters Units 1-0			
Source Type	Point	Max Hours per Year	100
Stack Height (m)	3.2	Type of Closest Receptor	Business
Stack Diameter (m)	0.09	Closest Receptor (m)	52
Stack Velocity (m/s)	20.8*	LPG Usage Rates (lb/1000 gals/hr)	0.004
Stack Temperature (K)	882	LPG Usage Rates (lb/1000 gals/hr)	0.444

*Per the RMR request form as submitted, the stack has a horizontal exhaust and was designated as such in AERMOD's Source Pathway Module during the refined modeling process as per District policy

Technical Services also performed modeling for criteria pollutants NO_x, CO, SO_x, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5}; as well as the RMR. For Unit 1-0, the emission rates used for criteria pollutant modeling were 85 lb/yr NO_x, 678 lb/yr CO, 0 lb/yr SO_x, 2 lb/yr PM₁₀, and 2 lb/yr PM_{2.5}.

The results from the Criteria Pollutant Modeling are as follows:

Criteria Pollutant Modeling Results*

LPG ICE	1 Hour	3 Hours	8 Hours	24 Hours	Annual
CO	NA ¹	X	NA ¹	X	X
NO _x	NA ¹	X	X	X	Pass
SO _x	NA ¹	NA ¹	X	NA ¹	Pass
PM ₁₀	X	X	X	NA ¹	Pass ²
PM _{2.5}	X	X	X	NA ¹	Pass ²

*Results were taken from the attached PSD spreadsheet.

¹The project is an intermittent source as defined in APR-1920. In accordance with APR-1920, compliance with short-term (i.e., 1-hour, 3-hour, 8-hour, and 24-hour) standards is not required.

²The criteria pollutants are below EPA's level of significance as found in 40 CFR Part 51.165 (b)(2).

III. Conclusions

The emissions from the proposed equipment will not cause or contribute significantly to a violation of the State and National AAQS.

The prioritization score for this project is not above 1.0. In accordance with the District's Risk Management Policy, the project is approved **without** Toxic Best Available Control Technology (T-BACT).

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the permit conditions listed on page 1 of this report must be included for the proposed unit.

These conclusions are based on the data provided by the applicant and the project engineer. Therefore, this analysis is valid only as long as the proposed data and parameters do not change.

IV. Attachments

- A. RMR request from the project engineer
- B. Additional information from the applicant/project engineer
- C. Fuel Consumption Worksheet
- D. Stack Parameter Worksheet
- E. Prioritization score w/ toxic emissions summary
- F. Facility Summary
- G. AAQA Summary
- H. AERMOD Checklist

Appendix C

GHG Calculations

County of Fresno

C-8588, 1132178

GHG Calculations - LPG Combustion

Annual Heat Input (MMBtu) = (hp Rating x Btu/hp-hr x hrs/yr)/(Efficiency x 10⁶):

(57.5 hp x 2,542.5 Btu/hp-hr x 100 hr/yr)/(0.35 x 1,000,000 Btu/MMBtu)

= 42 MMBtu/yr

PE (short tons) = (Annual Heat Input x E.F. x GWP)/1000 Kg/metric ton x 1.10231 short tons/metric ton, as summarized in the following tables:

Permit Unit	Annual Heat Input (MMBtu)	x	CO2 E.F. (kg/MMBtu)	x	GWP	=	CO2e PE (short tons/yr)
C-8588-1-0	42	x	62.98	x	1	=	2.9
Total						=	2.9

Permit Unit	Annual Heat Input (MMBtu)	x	CH4 E.F. (kg/MMBtu)	x	GWP	=	CO2e PE (short tons/yr)
C-8588-1-0	42	x	0.003	x	21	=	0.0
Total						=	0.0

Permit Unit	Annual Heat Input (MMBtu)	x	N2O E.F. (kg/MMBtu)	x	GWP	=	CO2e PE (short tons/yr)
C-8588-1-0	42	x	0.0006	x	310	=	0.0
Total						=	0.0

Appendix D
QNEC Calculations

Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The Quarterly Net Emissions Change is used to complete the emission profile screen for the District's PAS database. The QNEC shall be calculated as follows:

$QNEC = PE2 - BE$, where:

- QNEC = Quarterly Net Emissions Change for each emissions unit, lb/qtr.
- PE2 = Post Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr.
- BE = Baseline Emissions (per Rule 2201) for each emissions unit, lb/qtr.

Using the values in Sections VII.C.2 and VII.C.6 in the evaluation above, $PE2_{quarterly}$ and $BE_{quarterly}$ can be calculated as follows:

Quarterly Post Project Emissions		
Pollutant	PE2 Total (lb/yr)	Quarterly PE2 (lb/qtr)
NO _x	85	21.25
SO _x	0	0.0
PM ₁₀	2	0.5
CO	678	169.5
VOC	20	5.0

$$\begin{aligned}
 BE_{quarterly} &= BE_{annual} \div 4 \text{ quarters/year} \\
 &= 0 \text{ lb/year} \div 4 \text{ qtr/year} \\
 &= 0 \text{ lb/qtr (for all criteria pollutants)}
 \end{aligned}$$

QNEC			
Pollutant	Quarterly PE2 (lb/qtr)	Quarterly BE (lb/qtr)	QNEC (lb/qtr)
NO _x	21.3	0	21.25
SO _x	0.0	0	0.0
PM ₁₀	0.5	0	0.5
CO	169.5	0	169.5
VOC	5.0	0	5.0

Appendix E

Draft ATC

San Joaquin Valley
Air Pollution Control District

AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT

ISSUANCE DATE: DRAFT
DRAFT

PERMIT NO: C-8588-1-0

LEGAL OWNER OR OPERATOR: COUNTY OF FRESNO
MAILING ADDRESS: 4551 E. HAMILTON STREET
FRESNO, CA 93702

LOCATION: AUBERRY
AUBERRY, CA

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:
57 HP CUMMINS MODEL GM5.0L EPA-CERTIFIED LPG/PROPANE-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE
POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR

CONDITIONS

1. {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]
2. {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]
3. {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]
4. {3404} This engine shall be equipped with an operational non-resettable elapsed time meter or other APCO approved alternative. [District Rule 4702]
5. {3807} An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702]
6. {3808} This engine shall not be used to produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rule 4702]
7. {1897} This engine shall be equipped with either a positive crankcase ventilation (PCV) system that recirculates crankcase emissions into the air intake system for combustion, or a crankcase emissions control device of at least 90% control efficiency. [District Rule 2201]
8. This engine shall be fired on LPG/propane gas only. [District Rule 2201]

CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

YOU MUST NOTIFY THE DISTRICT COMPLIANCE DIVISION AT (559) 230-5950 WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED AND PRIOR TO OPERATING THE EQUIPMENT OR MODIFICATIONS AUTHORIZED BY THIS AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT. This is NOT a PERMIT TO OPERATE. Approval or denial of a PERMIT TO OPERATE will be made after an inspection to verify that the equipment has been constructed in accordance with the approved plans, specifications and conditions of this Authority to Construct, and to determine if the equipment can be operated in compliance with all Rules and Regulations of the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. Unless construction has commenced pursuant to Rule 2050, this Authority to Construct shall expire and application shall be cancelled two years from the date of issuance. The applicant is responsible for complying with all laws, ordinances and regulations of all other governmental agencies which may pertain to the above equipment.

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Director / APCO

DAVID WARNER, Director of Permit Services
C-8588-1-0 : Aug 29 2013 9:38AM - AIYABEIJ : Joint Inspection NOT Required

9. {3405} This engine shall be operated and maintained in proper operating condition as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emissions control system supplier. [District Rule 4702]
10. Emissions from this engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 6.7 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 0.175 g-PM10/bhp-hr, 53.5 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 1.6 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201]
11. The permittee shall operate and maintain this engine and control device(s) according to the manufacturer's emission-related written instructions, and shall keep records of conducted maintenance to demonstrate compliance. [40 CFR 60.4243(a)]
12. {3478} During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rule 4702]
13. This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for testing, maintenance, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per calendar year. [District Rule 4702 and 40 CFR 60.4243(d)]
14. The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702]
15. The permittee shall maintain records of maintenance conducted on the engine, and documentation from the manufacturer that the engine is certified to meet the emission standards and information as required in 40 CFR part 90. [40 CFR 60.4245(a)]
16. {3498} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. For units at unstaffed sites or operated remotely, records may be maintained and retained at a District-approved off-site location. [District Rule 4702]

DRAFT