



APR 23 2018

Lucas Wilgenburg Flint Dairy 6511 Flint Ave Hanford, CA 93230

Re: Notice of Preliminary Decision - Authority to Construct

Facility Number: C-5489 Project Number: C-1180769

Dear Mr. Wilgenburg:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of Flint Dairy's application for an Authority to Construct for the installation of a 768 bhp Tier 2 certified diesel-fired emergency standby internal combustion (IC) engine powering an electrical generator, at 6511 Flint Ave, Hanford, CA.

The notice of preliminary decision for this project will be published approximately three days from the date of this letter. After addressing all comments made during the 30-day public notice period, the District intends to issue the Authority to Construct. Please submit your written comments on this project within the 30-day public comment period, as specified in the enclosed public notice.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Ramon Norman of Permit Services at (559) 230-5909.

Sincerely,

Arnaud Marjollet

Director of Permit Services

AM:rn

Enclosures

cc: Tung Le, CARB (w/ enclosure) via email

Seyed Sadredin
Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District **Authority to Construct Application Review**

Diesel-Fired Emergency Standby IC Engine

Facility Name: Flint Dairy

Date: April 17, 2018

Mailing Address: 6511 Flint Ave

Engineer: Ramon Norman

Hanford, CA 93280

Lead Engineer: Dustin Brown

Contact Person: Lucas Wilgenburg

Telephone: (559) 584-1581; Cell Phone: (559) 381-1793

E-mail: lucas.wilgenburg@gmail.com

Application #: C-5489-9-0

Project #: C-1180769

Deemed Complete: March 9, 2018

Proposal

Flint Dairy is proposing to install a 768 bhp (intermittent) diesel-fired emergency standby internal combustion (IC) engine powering an electrical generator at the dairy to replace an existing 600 bhp diesel-fired emergency standby IC engine (Permit Unit C-5489-7-0). The draft ATC permit for the proposed IC engine is included in Appendix A. The Permit to Operate (PTO) for the existing IC engine being replaced is included in Appendix B.

II. Applicable Rules

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule (2/18/16)

Rule 2410 Prevention of Significant Deterioration (6/16/11)

Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits (6/21/01)

Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (4/14/99)

Rule 4002 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (5/20/04)

Rule 4101 Visible Emissions (2/17/05)

Rule 4102 Nuisance (12/17/92)

Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration (12/17/92)

Rule 4701 Internal Combustion Engines - Phase 1 (8/21/03)

Rule 4702 Internal Combustion Engines (11/14/13)

Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds (12/17/92) CH&SC 41700 Health Risk Assessment

CH&SC 42301.6 **School Notice**

Title 17 CCR, Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines

Public Resources Code 21000-21177: California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15000-15387:

CEQA Guidelines

III. Project Location

The equipment will be located at 6511 Flint Ave, Hanford, CA.

The District has verified that the equipment is not located within 1,000 feet of the outer boundary of a K-12 school. Therefore, the public notification requirement of California Health and Safety Code 42301.6 is not applicable to this project.

IV. Process Description

The emergency standby IC engine will power an electrical generator. The engine will replace an older uncertified emergency standby engine that is no longer reliable. Other than emergency standby operation, the engine may be operated up to 100 hours per year for maintenance and testing purposes.

V. Equipment Listing

PTO Equipment Description of Existing IC Engine to be Replaced:

C-5489-7-0:

600 BHP CUMMINS MODEL VT12R-6001PG DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR

GENERATOR

ATC Equipment Description of Replacement IC Engine:

C-5489-9-0:

768 BHP (INTERMITTENT) VOLVO PENTA MODEL TAD1641GE TIER 2 CERTIFIED DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR (REPLACEMENT FOR PERMIT UNIT C-5489-7)

VI. Emission Control Technology Evaluation

The applicant has proposed to install a Tier 2 certified diesel-fired IC engine that is fired on very low-sulfur diesel fuel.

The proposed engine meets the latest Tier Certification requirements for emergency standby engines; therefore, the engine meets the latest ARB/EPA emissions standards for diesel particulate matter, hydrocarbons, nitrogen oxides, and carbon monoxide (see Appendix C for a copy of the EPA Certificate of Conformity and the certified emissions for the engine (EPA Family # JVPXL16.ACB) from the EPA Large Engine Certification Data, available at https://www.epa.gov/compliance-and-fuel-economy-data/annual-certification-data-engines-and-equipment).

The use of CARB certified diesel fuel (0.0015% by weight sulfur maximum) reduces SOx emissions by over 99% from standard diesel fuel.

VII. General Calculations

A. Assumptions

Assumptions for Emergency Standby IC Engines

• Emergency operating schedule: 24 hours/day

• Non-emergency operating schedule: 100 hours/year (District Rule 4702 for new

engine and Current PTO C-5489-7-0)

• Density of diesel fuel: 7.1 lb/gal

EPA F-factor (adjusted to 60 °F): 9,051 dscf/MMBtu
Fuel heating value: 137,000 Btu/gal

• BHP to Btu/hr conversion: 2,542.5 Btu/bhp-hr

Thermal efficiency of engine: commonly ≈ 35%
 PM₁₀ fraction of diesel exhaust: 0.96 (CARB, 1988)

• Conversion factor: 1.34 bhp/kw

<u>Assumptions for Dairy Permit Units</u>

• For calculations of the Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE), the Potential to Emit (PE) for the dairy permit units will be based on the maximum design capacity of the number and types of cows at the dairy.

 For calculations of the PE from the dairy cow housing permit, the applicant states that all milk cows and dry cows are housed in freestall barns and all support stock are housed in open corrals with shade structures.

B. Emission Factors

Existing IC Engine being Replaced (C-5489-7-0)

Current permit C-5489-7-0 does not list emission factors for the existing IC engine; therefore, the following conservative emission factors will be used.

	Emission Factors for Existing Engine							
Pollutant	Pollutant Emission Factor Emiss (g/bhp-hr) (g/		Source					
NOx	10.23	13.72	Carl Moyer Program 2017 Guidelines (120+ bhp, 1980-1987 Model Year)					
SOx	0.0051	0.0068	Ultra-Low Sulfur Fuel See Mass Balance Equation Below					
PM ₁₀	0.40	0.53	Carl Moyer Program 2017 Guidelines (120+ bhp, 1980-1987 Model Year)					
СО	3.04	4.08	AP-42, Table 3.3-1 (10/96)					
VOC	1.14	1.53	AP-42, Table 3.3-1 (10/96)					

$$\frac{0.000015 \ lb - S}{lb - fuel} \times \frac{7.1 \ lb - fuel}{gallon} \times \frac{2 \ lb - SO_2}{1 \ lb - S} \times \frac{1 \ gal}{137,000 \ Btu} \times \frac{1 \ bhp \ input}{0.35 \ bhp \ out} \times \frac{2,542.5 \ Btu}{bhp - hr} \times \frac{453.6 \ g}{lb} = 0.0051$$

New Replacement IC Engine (C-5489-9-0)

	Emission Factors for New Engine						
Pollutant	Emission Factor (g/bhp-hr)	Emission Factor (g/kw-hr)	Source				
NOx	4.16	5.58	EPA Certification Data (EPA Family # JVPXL16.ACB; See Appendix C)				
SOx	0.0051	0.0068	Ultra-Low Sulfur Fuel See Mass Balance Equation Above				
PM ₁₀	0.08	0.11	EPA Certification Data (EPA Family # JVPXL16.ACB; See Appendix C)				
со	0.52	0.7	EPA Certification Data (EPA Family # JVPXL16.ACB; See Appendix C)				
voc	0.15	0.2	EPA Certification Data (EPA Family # JVPXL16.ACB; See Appendix C)				

C. Calculations

1. Pre-Project Potential to Emit (PE1)

Existing IC Engine being Replaced (C-5489-7-0)

The daily and annual PE1 are calculated as follows:

Daily PE1 (lb-pollutant/day) = EF (g-pollutant/bhp-hr) x rating (bhp) x operation (hr/day) / 453.6 g/lb

Annual PE1 (lb-pollutant/yr) = EF (g-pollutant/bhp-hr) x rating (bhp) x operation (hr/yr) / 453.6 g/lb

Pre-Project Potential to Emit (PE1) for C-5489-7-0							
Pollutant	Emissions Factor (g/bhp-hr)	Rating (bhp)	Daily Hours of Operation (hrs/day)	Annual Hours of Operation (hrs/year)	Daily PE1 (lb/day)	Annual PE1 (lb/yr)	
NOx	10.23	600	24	100	324.8	1,353	
SOx	0.0051	600	24	100	0.2	1	
PM ₁₀	0.40	600	24	100	12.7	53	
CO	3.04	600	24	100	96.5	402	
VOC	1.14	600	24	100	36.2	151	

New Replacement IC Engine (C-5489-9-0)

Since this is a new emissions unit, PE1 = 0 for all affected pollutants

2. Post-Project Potential to Emit (PE2)

Existing IC Engine being Replaced (C-5489-7-0)

Because this engine will be replaced by the new engine, PE2 = 0 for all affected pollutants.

New Replacement IC Engine (C-5489-9-0)

The daily and annual PE2 are calculated as follows:

Daily PE2 (lb-pollutant/day) = EF (g-pollutant/bhp-hr) x rating (bhp) x operation (hr/day) / 453.6 g/lb

Annual PE2 (lb-pollutant/yr) = EF (g-pollutant/bhp-hr) x rating (bhp) x operation (hr/yr) / 453.6 g/lb

Post-Project Potential to Emit (PE2) for C-5489-9-0							
Pollutant	Emissions Factor (g/bhp-hr)	Rating (bhp)	Daily Hours of Operation (hrs/day)	Annual Hours of Operation (hrs/year)	Daily PE2 (lb/day)	Annual PE2 (lb/yr)	
NOx	4.16	768	24	100	169.0	704	
SOx	0.0051	768	24	100	0.2	1	
PM ₁₀	0.08	768	24	100	3.3	14	
CO	0.52	768	24	100	21.1	88	
VOC	0.15	768	24	100	6.1	25	

3. Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1)

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, the SSPE1 is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid Authorities to Construct (ATCs) or Permits to Operate (PTOs) at the Stationary Source and the quantity of Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions (AER) that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

SSPE1 is summarized in the following table.

SSPE1 (lb/year)							
Permit Unit	NOx	SO _X	PM ₁₀	со	VOC		
C-5489-1-2 (Milking Operation)*	0	0	0	0	920		
C-5489-2-3 (Cow Housing)*	0	0	23,974	0	31,659		
C-5489-3-2 (Liquid Manure Handling)*	0	0	0	0	8,140		
C-5489-4-2 (Solid Manure Handling)*	0	0	0	0	1,581		
C-5489-5-0 (500 Gal Gasoline Tank)**	0	0	0	0	47		
C-5489-6-0 (300 bhp Emergency Engine)**	677	0	26	201	75		
C-5489-7-0 (600 bhp Emergency Engine)	1,353	1	53	402	151		
C-5489-8-1 (Feed Storage & Handling)*	0	0	0	0	47,640		
SSPE1	2,030	1	24,053	603	90,213		

^{*} PE for these units taken from the Dairy Emissions Calculation Spreadsheet in Appendix D

4. Post-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2)

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, the Post-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2) is the PE from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs, except for emissions units proposed to be shut down as part of the Stationary Project, at the Stationary Source and the quantity of ERCs which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for AER that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

SSPE2 is summarized in the following table.

^{**} PE for these units calculated in Appendix E

	SSPE2 (lb/year)							
Permit Unit	NOx	SOx	PM ₁₀	СО	voc			
C-5489-1-2 (Milking Operation)*	0	0	0	0	920			
C-5489-2-3 (Cow Housing)*	0	0	23,974	0	31,659			
C-5489-3-2 (Liquid Manure Handling)*	0	0	0	0	8,140			
C-5489-4-2 (Solid Manure Handling)*	0	0	0	0	1,581			
C-5489-5-0 (500 Gal Gasoline Tank)**	0	0	0	0	47			
C-5489-6-0 (300 bhp Emergency Engine)**	677	0	26	201	75			
C-5489-8-1 (Feed Storage & Handling)*	0	0	0	0	47,640			
ATC C-5489-9-0 (768 bhp Emergency Engine)	704	1	14	88	25			
SSPE2	1,381	1	24,014	289	90,087			

^{*} PE for these units taken from the Dairy Emissions Calculation Spreadsheet in Appendix D

5. Major Source Determination

Rule 2201 Major Source Determination:

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, a Major Source is a stationary source with a SSPE2 equal to or exceeding one or more of the following threshold values. For the purposes of determining major source status the following shall not be included:

- any ERCs associated with the stationary source
- Emissions from non-road IC engines (i.e. IC engines at a particular site at the facility for less than 12 months)
- Fugitive emissions, except for the specific source categories specified in 40 CFR 51.165

As mentioned above and pursuant to District Rule 2201, fugitive emissions are not counted when determining if a facility is a major source unless the facility belongs to one of the specific source categories identified in the major source definition in 40 CFR Part 70.2, or when determining if a stationary source is a major source for hazardous air pollutants. Because agricultural operations do not fall under any of the specific source categories listed in 40 CFR Part 70.2, fugitive emissions are not counted when determining if an agricultural operation is a major source.

^{**} PE for these units calculated in Appendix E

The District has determined that emissions from dairy milking parlors, cow housing units, liquid manure land application, solid manure handling, and dairy feed storage and handling are all fugitive and the only non-fugitive emissions from dairy permit units are from the lagoons and storage ponds. Therefore, only emissions from the lagoons/storage ponds, gasoline dispensing operation, and IC engines will be used to determine if this facility is a major source.

The non-fugitive emissions from the dairy lagoons/storage ponds are calculated and shown in the dairy calculation spreadsheet in Appendix D. The following table shows the non-fugitive Stationary Source Potential to Emit for the facility.

Rule 2201 Major Source Determination (Ib/year)								
NO _X SO _X PM ₁₀ PM _{2.5} CO VOC								
Non-Fugitive SSPE1	2,030	1	79	79	603	4,190		
Non-Fugitive SSPE2	1,381	1	40	40	289	4,064		
Major Source Threshold	20,000	140,000	140,000	140,000	200,000	20,000		
Major Source?	No	No	No	No	No	No		

Note: Non-Fugitive PM2.5 assumed to be equal to PM10

As seen in the table above, the facility is not an existing Major Source and is not becoming a Major Source as a result of this project.

Rule 2410 Major Source Determination:

The facility is not an existing Major Source for PSD for at least one pollutant. Therefore the facility is not an existing Major Source for PSD.

6. Baseline Emissions (BE)

BE = Pre Project Potential to Emit for:

- Any unit located at a non-Major Source,
- Any Highly-Utilized Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source,
- Any Fully-Offset Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source, or
- Any Clean Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source.

otherwise,

BE = Historic Actual Emissions (HAE), calculated pursuant to District Rule 2201

New Replacement IC Engine (C-5489-9-0)

Since this is a new emissions unit, BE = PE1 = 0 for all affected pollutants.

7. SB 288 Major Modification

SB 288 Major Modification is defined in 40 CFR Part 51.165 as "any physical change in or change in the method of operation of a major stationary source that would result in a significant net emissions increase of any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act."

Since this facility is not a major source for any of the pollutants addressed in this project, this project does not constitute an SB 288 major modification.

8. Federal Major Modification

District Rule 2201 states that a Federal Major Modification is the same as a "Major Modification" as defined in 40 CFR 51.165 and part D of Title I of the CAA.

Since this facility is not a Major Source for any pollutants, this project does not constitute a Federal Major Modification.

9. Rule 2410 - Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) Applicability Determination

The project potential to emit, by itself, will not exceed any PSD major source thresholds. Therefore Rule 2410 is not applicable and no further discussion is required.

10. Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The QNEC is calculated solely to establish emissions that are used to complete the District's PAS emissions profile screen. Detailed QNEC calculations are included in Appendix F.

VIII. Compliance

Rule 1070 Inspections

This rule applies to any source operation, which emits or may emit air contaminants.

This rule allows the District to perform inspections for the purpose of obtaining information necessary to determine whether air pollution sources are in compliance with applicable rules and regulations. The rule also allows the District to require record keeping, to make

inspections and to conduct tests of air pollution sources. Therefore, the following conditions will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {3215} Upon presentation of appropriate credentials, a permittee shall allow an authorized representative of the District to enter the permittee's premises where a permitted source is located or emissions related activity is conducted, or where records must be kept under condition of the permit. [District Rule 1070]
- {3216} Upon presentation of appropriate credentials, a permittee shall allow an authorized representative of the District to have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of the permit. [District Rule 1070]

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule

A. Best Available Control Technology (BACT)

1. BACT Applicability

BACT requirements are triggered on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis and on an emissions unit-by-emissions unit basis for the following¹:

- a. Any new emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
- b. The relocation from one Stationary Source to another of an existing emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
- c. Modifications to an existing emissions unit with a valid Permit to Operate resulting in an AIPE exceeding two pounds per day, and/or
- d. Any new or modified emissions unit, in a stationary source project, which results in an SB288 Major Modification or a Federal Major Modification, as defined by the rule.

As discussed in Section I, the facility is proposing to install a new emergency standby IC engine. Additionally, as determined in Sections VII.C.7 and VII.C.8, this project does not result in an SB288 Major Modification or a Federal Major Modification, respectively. Therefore, BACT can only be triggered if the daily potential to emit exceeds 2.0 lb/day for any pollutant.

¹ Except for CO emissions from a new or modified emissions unit at a Stationary Source with an SSPE2 of less than 200,000 pounds per year of CO.

The daily the daily potentials to emit from the new IC engine are compared to the
BACT threshold levels in the following table:

New Emissions Unit BACT Applicability							
Pollutant	Daily Emissions for the new unit (lb/day)	SSPE2 (lb/yr)	BACT Triggered?				
NOx	169.0	> 2.0	n/a	Yes			
SOx	0.2	> 2.0	n/a	No			
PM ₁₀	3.3	> 2.0	n/a	Yes			
со	21.1	> 2.0 and SSPE2 ≥ 200,000 lb/yr	289	No			
VOC	6.1	> 2.0	n/a	Yes			

As shown above, BACT will be triggered for NO_X, PM₁₀, and VOC emissions from the engine for this project.

2. BACT Guideline

BACT Guideline 3.1.1, which appears in Appendix G of this report, covers diesel-fired emergency IC engines.

3. Top Down BACT Analysis

Per District Policy APR 1305, Section IX, "A top down BACT analysis shall be performed as a part of the Application Review for each application subject to the BACT requirements pursuant to the District's NSR Rule for source categories or classes covered in the BACT Clearinghouse, relevant information under each of the following steps may be simply cited from the Clearinghouse without further analysis."

Pursuant to the attached top down BACT Analysis, which appears in Appendix B of this report, BACT is satisfied with:

NOx: Latest Available Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower*

VOC: Latest Available Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower*

PM₁₀: 0.15 g/bhp-hr

*Note: The certification requirements for emergency engines are as follows: 50 ≤ bhp < 75 – Tier 4I; 75 ≤ bhp < 750 – Tier 3; ≥ 750 bhp – Tier 2.

The facility has proposed to install a 768 bhp Tier 2 certified IC engine (with a PM₁₀ emissions rate of 0.08 g/bhp-hr). Therefore, BACT is satisfied for NO_x, VOC, and PM₁₀.

B. Offsets

1. Offset Applicability

Pursuant to Section 4.6.2 of this rule, offsets are not required for emergency IC engines. The engine in this project is an emergency IC engine; therefore, this exemption is applicable to this project.

However, even when there is an applicable exemption, the SSPE2 values are compared to the offset threshold to determine if offsets are triggered. In its PAS database, the District keeps track of facilities where offsets are triggered but an exemption applies. The SSPE2 values are compared to the offset trigger thresholds in the following table:

Offset Determination (lb/year)							
NOx SOx PM ₁₀ CO VOC							
SSPE2	1,381	1	24,014	289	90,087		
Offset Thresholds	20,000	54,750	29,200	200,000	20,000		
Offsets Threshold Surpassed?	No	No	No	No	Yes		

2. Quantity of Offsets Required

As shown in the table above, the SSPE2 exceeds the offset threshold for VOC emissions; however, because an older emergency IC engine is being removed there is a decrease in the SSPE2 for this pollutants and, as previously discussed, the offset exemption from Section 4.6.2 of District Rule 2201 is applicable to this project; therefore, offset calculations are not necessary and offsets are not required.

C. Public Notification

1. Applicability

Public noticing is required for:

a. New Major Sources, SB288 Major Modifications, and Federal Major Modifications

As shown in Sections VII.C.5, VII.C.7, and VII.C.8, this facility is not a new Major Source, not an SB 288 Major Modification, and not a Federal Major Modification, respectively.

b. Any new emissions unit with a Potential to Emit greater than 100 pounds during any one day for any pollutant

As calculated in Section VII.C.2, the daily potential to emit for NO_x is greater than 100 lb/day.

c. Any project which results in the offset thresholds being surpassed

The SSPE1 and SSPE2 are compared to the offset thresholds in the following table.

	Offset Thresholds							
Pollutant	SSPE1 (lb/year)	SSPE2 (lb/year)	Offset Threshold	Public Notice Required?				
NOx	2,030	1,381	20,000 lb/year	No				
SO _x	1	1	54,750 lb/year	No				
PM ₁₀	24,053	24,014	29,200 lb/year	No				
СО	603	289	200,000 lb/year	No				
voc	90,213	90,087	20,000 lb/year	No				

As detailed above, there were no thresholds surpassed with this project; therefore public noticing is not required for surpassing an offset threshold.

d. Any project with a Stationary Source Project Increase in Permitted Emissions (SSIPE) greater than 20,000 lb/year for any pollutant

For this project, the proposed engine is the only emissions unit that will generate an increase in Potential to Emit. Since the proposed engine emissions are well below 20,000 lb/year for all pollutants (See Section VII.C.2), the SSIPE for this project will be below the public notice threshold.

e. Any project which results in a Title V significant permit modification

Since this facility does not have a Title V operating permit, this change is not a Title V significant Modification, and therefore public noticing is not required.

2. Public Notice Action

As demonstrated above, this project will require public noticing for an emissions unit with a daily NO_x PE in excess of 100 lb/day. Therefore, public notice documents will be submitted to the California Air Resources Board (ARB) and a

public notice will be published in a local newspaper of general circulation prior to the issuance of the ATC for this equipment.

D. Daily Emissions Limits

Daily Emissions Limitations (DELs) and other enforceable conditions are required by Rule 2201 to restrict a unit's maximum daily emissions, to a level at or below the emissions associated with the maximum design capacity. The DEL must be contained in the latest ATC and contained in or enforced by the latest PTO and enforceable, in a practicable manner, on a daily basis. Therefore, the following conditions will be listed on the ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

- {4771} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 4.16 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 0.52 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.15 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201 and 17 CCR 93115]
- {4772} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.08 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115]
- {4258} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]

In addition, because the proposed IC engine will replace another permitted unit, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

 Within 90 days after startup of the equipment authorized by this Authority to Construct, Permit to Operate C-5489-7-0 shall be surrendered to the District and the associated equipment shall be removed or rendered inoperable. [District Rule 2201]

E. Compliance Assurance

1. Source Testing

Pursuant to District Policy APR 1705, source testing is not required for emergency standby IC engines to demonstrate compliance with District Rule 2201.

2. Monitoring

No monitoring is required to demonstrate compliance with District Rule 2201.

3. Recordkeeping

Recordkeeping requirements, in accordance with District Rule 4702, will be discussed in Section VIII, District Rule 4702, of this evaluation.

4. Reporting

No reporting is required to ensure compliance with District Rule 2201.

F. Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA)

An AAQA shall be conducted for the purpose of determining whether a new or modified Stationary Source will cause or make worse a violation of an air quality standard. The District's Technical Services Division conducted the required analysis. Refer to Appendix H of this document for the AAQA summary sheet.

The proposed location is in an attainment area for NO_x, CO, and SO_x. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for NO_x, CO, or SO_x.

The proposed location is in a non-attainment area for the state's PM_{10} as well as federal and state $PM_{2.5}$ thresholds. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$.

Rule 2410 Prevention of Significant Deterioration

As shown in Section VII.C.9 above, this project does not result in a new PSD major source or PSD major modification. No further discussion is required.

Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits

Since this facility's potential to emit does not exceed any Major Source thresholds of Rule 2201, this facility is not a Major Source, and Rule 2520 does not apply.

Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)

40 CFR 60 Subpart IIII - Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engines

The District has not been delegated the authority to implement Subpart IIII requirements for non-Major Sources; therefore, no requirements will be included on the permit.

Rule 4002 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants

40 CFR 63 Subpart ZZZZ - National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Emissions (RICE)

The District has not been delegated the authority to implement NESHAP regulations for Area Source requirements for non-Major Sources; therefore, no requirements will be included on the permit.

Rule 4101 Visible Emissions

Rule 4101 states that no air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

• {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]

Rule 4102 Nuisance

Rule 4102 states that no air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. Public nuisance conditions are not expected as a result of these operations, provided the equipment is well maintained. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

• {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]

California Health & Safety Code 41700 (Health Risk Assessment)

District Policy APR 1905 – *Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources* specifies that for an increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification, the District perform an analysis to determine the possible impact to the nearest resident or worksite.

A Health Risk Assessment (HRA) is not required for a project with a total facility prioritization score of less than one. According to the Technical Services Memo for this project (Appendix H), the total facility prioritization score including this project was greater than one. Therefore, an HRA was required to determine the short-term acute and long-term chronic exposure from this project.

The results of the Risk Management Review (RMR) are summarized in the table below.

	RMR Summary							
Units	Prioritization Score	Acute Hazard Index	Chronic Hazard Index	Maximum Individual Cancer Risk	T-BACT Required?	Special Permit Requirements?		
Unit 9-0 (768 bhp Diesel Emergency IC Engine)	N/A¹	N/A²	0.00	3.67E-07	No	Yes		
Project Totals	N/A¹	N/A²	0.00	3.67E-07		•		
Facility Totals	>1	0.0	0.00	3.67E-07	1			

Prioritization for this unit was not conducted since it has been determined that all diesel-fired IC engines will result in a prioritization score greater than 1.0.

Discussion of T-BACT

BACT for toxic emission control (T-BACT) is required if the cancer risk exceeds one in one million. As demonstrated above, T-BACT is not required for this project because the HRA indicates that the risk is not above the District's thresholds for triggering T-BACT requirements; therefore, compliance with the District's Risk Management Policy is expected.

District policy APR 1905 also specifies that the increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification not have acute or chronic indices, or a cancer risk greater than the District's significance levels (i.e. acute and/or chronic indices greater than 1 and a cancer risk greater than 20 in a million). As outlined by the Technical Services Memo in Appendix H of this report, the emissions increases for this project were determined to be less than significant.

The following conditions will be listed on the ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance with the RMR:

- {1898} The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]
- {4772} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.08 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115]
- {4775} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 2201 and 4702]

² Acute Hazard and Cancer Risk Index were not calculated since there is no risk factor or the risk factor is so low that it has been determined to be insignificant for this type of unit.

Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration

Rule 4201 limits particulate matter emissions from any single source operation to 0.1 g/dscf, which, as calculated below, is equivalent to a PM₁₀ emission factor of 0.4 g-PM₁₀/bhp-hr.

$$0.1 \quad \frac{grain - PM}{dscf} \times \frac{g}{15.43grain} \times \frac{1 Btu_{in}}{0.35 Btu_{out}} \times \frac{9,051 dscf}{10^6 Btu} \times \frac{2,542.5 Btu}{1 bhp - hr} \times \frac{0.96g - PM_{10}}{1g - PM} = 0.4 \frac{g - PM_{10}}{bhp - hr}$$

The new engine has a PM₁₀ emission factor less than 0.4 g/bhp-hr. Therefore, compliance is expected and the following condition will be listed on the ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

• {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]

Rule 4701 Internal Combustion Engines - Phase 1

The purpose of this rule is to limit the emissions of nitrogen oxides (NOx), carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compounds (VOC) from internal combustion engines. Except as provided in Section 4.0, the provisions of this rule apply to any internal combustion engine, rated greater than 50 bhp, that requires a PTO.

Section 4.1 of the rule specifically exempts IC engines in agricultural operations used for the growing of crops or raising of fowl or animals. Since the engine(s) are used for the growing of crops or raising of fowl or animals, they are exempt from the requirements of this rule. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance.

• {4002} This IC engine shall only be used for the growing and harvesting of crops or the raising of fowl or animals for the primary purpose of making a profit, providing a livelihood, or conducting agricultural research or instruction by an educational institution. [District Rules 4701 and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115]

Rule 4702 Internal Combustion Engines

Emergency standby engines are subject to District Rule 4702 requirements. Emergency standby engines are defined in Section 3.0 of District Rule 4702 as follows:

3.15 Emergency Standby Engine: an internal combustion engine which operates as a temporary replacement for primary mechanical or electrical power during an unscheduled outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the operator. An engine shall be considered to be an emergency standby engine if it is used only for the following purposes: (1) periodic maintenance, periodic

readiness testing, or readiness testing during and after repair work; (2) unscheduled outages, or to supply power while maintenance is performed or repairs are made to the primary power supply; and (3) if it is limited to operate 100 hours or less per calendar year for non-emergency purposes. An engine shall not be considered to be an emergency standby engine if it is used: (1) to reduce the demand for electrical power when normal electrical power line service has not failed, or (2) to produce power for the utility electrical distribution system, or (3) in conjunction with a voluntary utility demand reduction program or interruptible power contract.

Emergency standby engines cannot be used to reduce the demand for electrical power when normal electrical power line service has not failed, or to produce power for the electrical distribution system, or in conjunction with a voluntary utility demand reduction program or interruptible power contract. The following conditions will be included on the permit:

- {3807} An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
- {3808} This engine shall not be used to produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
- {4775} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 2201 and 4702]

The following exemption in Section 4.2 of District Rule 4702 applies to emergency standby engines:

- 4.2 Except for the requirements of Section 5.9 and Section 6.2.3, the requirements of this rule shall not apply to:
- 4.2.1 An emergency standby engine as defined in Section 3.0 of this rule, and provided that it is operated with a nonresettable elapsed operating time meter. In lieu of a nonresettable time meter, the owner of an emergency engine may use an alternative device, method, or technique, in determining operating time provided that the alternative is approved by the APCO. The owner of the engine shall properly maintain and operate the time meter or alternative device in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

Pursuant to the exemption in Section 4.2, the following requirements of Section 5.9 are applicable to emergency standby engines

Section 5.9 requires the owner to:

- 5.9.2 Properly operate and maintain each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier.
- 5.9.3 Monitor the operational characteristics of each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier.
- 5.9.4 Install and operate a nonresettable elapsed operating time meter. In lieu of installing a nonresettable time meter, the owner of an engine may use an alternative device, method, or technique, in determining operating time provided that the alternative is approved by the APCO and is allowed by Permit-to-Operate or Permit-Exempt Equipment Registration condition. The owner of the engine shall properly maintain and operate the time meter or alternative device in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

Properly operate and maintain each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier. The following condition will be included on the permit:

• {4261} This engine shall be operated and maintained in proper operating condition as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emissions control system supplier. [District Rule 4702]

Monitor the operational characteristics of each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier. The following condition will be included on the permit:

 {3478} During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rule 4702]

Install and operate a nonresettable elapsed time meter. In lieu of installing a nonresettable elapsed time meter, the operator may use an alternative device, method, or technique, in determining operating time provided that the alternative is approved by the APCO and EPA and is allowed by Permit-to-Operate condition. The operator shall properly maintain and operate the nonresettable elapsed time meter or alternative device in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

The following condition will be included on the permit:

{4749} This engine shall be equipped with a non-resettable hour meter with a
minimum display capability of 9,999 hours, unless the District determines that a
non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is
appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or
operator's compliance history. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

The exemption in Rule 4702 Section 4.2 for emergency standby engines requires the engines to comply with Section 6.2.3, shown below.

6.2.3 An owner claiming an exemption under Section 4.2 or Section 4.3 shall maintain annual operating records. This information shall be retained for at least five years, shall be readily available, and provided to the APCO upon request. The records shall include, but are not limited to, the following:

- 6.2.3.1 Total hours of operation,
- 6.2.3.2 The type of fuel used,
- 6.2.3.3 The purpose for operating the engine,
- 6.2.3.4 For emergency standby engines, all hours of non-emergency and emergency operation shall be reported, and
- 6.2.3.5 Other support documentation necessary to demonstrate claim to the exemption.

Records of the total hours of operation, type of fuel used, purpose for operating the engine, all hours of non-emergency and emergency operation, and other support documentation must be maintained. All records shall be retained for a period of at least five years, shall be readily available, and be made available to the APCO upon request. The following conditions will be included on the permit:

- {3496} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
- {4263} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the type of fuel purchased. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

{3475} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five
 (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds

Rule 4801 requires that sulfur compound emissions (as SO₂) shall not exceed 0.2% by volume. Using the ideal gas equation, the sulfur compound emissions are calculated as follows:

Volume SO₂ = (n x R x T) ÷ P
n = moles SO₂
T (standard temperature) = 60 °F or 520 °R
R (universal gas constant) =
$$\frac{10.73 \, \text{psi} \cdot \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb} \cdot \text{mol} \cdot \text{°R}}$$

$$\frac{0.000015 \, lb - S}{lb - fuel} \times \frac{7.1 \, lb}{gal} \times \frac{64 \, lb - SO_2}{32 \, lb - S} \times \frac{1 \, MMBtu}{9.051 \, scf} \times \frac{1 \, gal}{0.137 \, MMBtu} \times \frac{1b - mol}{64 \, lb - SO_2} \times \frac{10.73 \, psi - ft^3}{lb - mol - or} \times \frac{520 \, or}{14.7 \, psi} \times 1,000,000 = 1.0 \, ppmv$$

Since 1.0 ppmv is \leq 2,000 ppmv, this engine is expected to comply with Rule 4801. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

• {4258} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]

California Health & Safety Code 42301.6 (School Notice)

The District has verified that this engine is not located within 1,000 feet of a school. Therefore, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code 42301.6, a school notice is not required.

Title 17 California Code of Regulations (CCR), Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines

The following requirements apply to new engines (those installed after 1/1/05):

T'11 47 660 6 11 66115	
Title 17 CCR Section 93115 Requirements for New Emergency IC Engines Powering Electrical Generators	Proposed Method of Compliance with Title 17 CCR Section 93115 Requirements
The requirements in Sections 93115.6, 93115.7, and 93115.10(a) do not apply to new stationary diesel-fueled CI engines used in agricultural operations.	 The following condition will be added to the permit: {4002} This IC engine shall only be used for the growing and harvesting of crops or the raising of fowl or animals for the primary purpose of making a profit, providing a livelihood, or conducting agricultural research or instruction by an educational institution. [District Rules 4701 and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115]
Emergency engine(s) must be fired on CARB diesel fuel, or an approved alternative diesel fuel.	The applicant has proposed the use of CARB certified diesel fuel. The proposed permit condition, requiring the use of CARB certified diesel fuel, was included earlier in this evaluation.
The engine(s) must meet Table 6 of the ATCM, which requires the Off-road engine certification standard for the specific power rating of the proposed engine on the date of acquisition (purchase date) or permit application submittal to the District, whichever is earliest.	The applicant has proposed the use of an engine that is certified to the latest EPA Tier Certification standards for the applicable horsepower range, guaranteeing compliance with the emission standards of the ATCM. Additionally, the proposed diesel PM emissions rate is less than or equal to 0.15 g/bhp-hr.
Engines, with a PM10 emissions rate greater than 0.01 g/bhp-hr and located at schools, may not be operated for maintenance and testing whenever there is a school sponsored activity on the grounds. Additionally, engines located within 500 feet of school grounds may not be operated for maintenance and testing between 7:30 AM and 3:30 PM	The District has verified that this engine is not located within 500' of a school.
A non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours shall be installed upon engine installation, or by no later than January 1, 2005, on all engines subject to all or part of the requirements of sections 93115.6, 93115.7, or 93115.8(a) unless the District determines on a case-by-case basis that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator's compliance history.	 The following condition will be included on the permit: {4749} This engine shall be equipped with a non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours, unless the District determines that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator's compliance history. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

Title 17 CCR Section 93115 Requirements for New Emergency IC Engines Powering Electrical Generators

Proposed Method of Compliance with Title 17 CCR Section 93115 Requirements

The following condition will be included on the permit:

An owner or operator shall maintain monthly records of the following: emergency use hours of operation: maintenance and testing hours operation; hours of operation for emission testing; initial start-up testing hours; hours of operation for all other uses; and the type of fuel used. All records shall be retained for a minimum of 36 months.

{3496} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing. weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires each public agency to adopt objectives, criteria, and specific procedures consistent with CEQA Statutes and the CEQA Guidelines for administering its responsibilities under CEQA, including the orderly evaluation of projects and preparation of environmental documents. The San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (District) adopted its *Environmental Review Guidelines* (ERG) in 2001. The basic purposes of CEQA are to:

- Inform governmental decision-makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of proposed activities.
- Identify the ways that environmental damage can be avoided or significantly reduced.
- Prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment by requiring changes in projects through the use of alternatives or mitigation measures when the governmental agency finds the changes to be feasible.
- Disclose to the public the reasons why a governmental agency approved the project in the manner the agency chose if significant environmental effects are involved.

The District performed an Engineering Evaluation (this document) for the proposed project and determined that the project qualifies for ministerial approval under the District's Guideline for Expedited Application Review (GEAR). Section 21080 of the Public Resources Code exempts from the application of CEQA those projects over which a public agency exercises only ministerial approval. Therefore, the District finds that this project is exempt from the provisions of CEQA.

To ensure that issuance of this permit does not conflict with any conditions imposed by any local agency permit process, the following permit condition will be listed on the ATC:

 {3658} This permit does not authorize the violation of any conditions established for this facility in the Conditional Use Permit (CUP), Special Use Permit (SUP), Site Approval, Site Plan Review (SPR), or other approval documents issued by a local, state, or federal agency. [Public Resources Code 21000-21177: California Environmental Quality Act]

Indemnification Agreement/Letter of Credit Determination

According to District Policy APR 2010 (CEQA Implementation Policy), when the District is the Lead or Responsible Agency for CEQA purposes, an indemnification agreement and/or a letter of credit may be required. The decision to require an indemnity agreement and/or a letter of credit is based on a case-by-case analysis of a particular project's potential for litigation risk, which in turn may be based on a project's potential to generate public concern, its potential for significant impacts, and the project proponent's ability to pay for the costs of litigation without a letter of credit, among other factors.

As described above, the project requires only ministerial approval, and is exempt from the provisions of CEQA. As such, an Indemnification Agreement or a Letter of Credit will not be required for this project in the absence of expressed public concern.

IX. Recommendation

Pending a successful NSR public noticing period, issue Authority to Construct (ATC) C-5489-9-0 subject to the permit conditions on the attached draft ATC in Appendix A.

X. Billing Information

	Billir	ng Schedule	
Permit Number	Fee Schedule	Fee Description	Fee Amount
C-5489-9-0	3020-10-D	768 bhp IC engine	\$525

Appendixes

- A. Draft ATC C-5489-9-0
- B. PTO C-5489-7-0 for IC Engine being Replaced
- C. EPA Certificate of Conformity and EPA Engine Certification Emissions Data (EPA Family # JVPXL16.ACB)
- D. Dairy Emissions Calculation Spreadsheet
- E. PE Calculations for Permit Units C-5489-5-0 and -6-0
- F. QNEC Calculations
- G. BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis
- H. RMR Summary and AAQA

Appendix A Draft ATC C-5489-9-0

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District

AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT

PERMIT NO: C-5489-9-0

LEGAL OWNER OR OPERATOR: FLINT DAIRY **MAILING ADDRESS:** 6511 FLINT AVE

HANFORD, CA 93230

LOCATION:

6511 FLINT AVE

HANFORD, CA 93230

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:

768 BHP (INTERMITTENT) VOLVO PENTA MODEL TAD1641GE TIER 2 CERTIFIED DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR (REPLACEMENT FOR PERMIT UNIT C-5489-7)

CONDITIONS

- 1. Within 90 days after startup of the equipment authorized by this Authority to Construct, Permit to Operate C-5489-7-0 shall be surrendered to the District and the associated equipment shall be removed or rendered inoperable. [District Rule 2201]
- 2. {3215} Upon presentation of appropriate credentials, a permittee shall allow an authorized representative of the District to enter the permittee's premises where a permitted source is located or emissions related activity is conducted, or where records must be kept under condition of the permit. [District Rule 1070]
- {3216} Upon presentation of appropriate credentials, a permittee shall allow an authorized representative of the
 District to have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of the
 permit. [District Rule 1070]
- 4. {3658} This permit does not authorize the violation of any conditions established for this facility in the Conditional Use Permit (CUP), Special Use Permit (SUP), Site Approval, Site Plan Review (SPR), or other approval documents issued by a local, state, or federal agency. [Public Resources Code 21000-21177: California Environmental Quality Act]
- 5. {4002} This IC engine shall only be used for the growing and harvesting of crops or the raising of fowl or animals for the primary purpose of making a profit, providing a livelihood, or conducting agricultural research or instruction by an educational institution. [District Rules 4701 and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115]
- 6. {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]

CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

YOU MUST NOTIFY THE DISTRICT COMPLIANCE DIVISION AT (559) 230-5950 WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED AND PRIOR TO OPERATING THE EQUIPMENT OR MODIFICATIONS AUTHORIZED BY THIS AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT. This is NOT a PERMIT TO OPERATE. Approval or denial of a PERMIT TO OPERATE will be made after an inspection to verify that the equipment has been constructed in accordance with the approved plans, specifications and conditions of this Authority to Construct, and to determine if the equipment can be operated in compliance with all Rules and Regulations of the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. Unless construction has commenced pursuant to Rule 2050, this Authority to Construct shall expire and application shall be cancelled two years from the date of issuance. The applicant is responsible for complying with all laws, ordinances and regulations of all-other governmental agencies which may pertain to the above equipment.

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Director APCO

Arnaud Marjollet, Director of Permit Services

- 7. {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]
- 8. {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]
- 9. {1898} The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]
- 10. {4749} This engine shall be equipped with a non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours, unless the District determines that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator's compliance history. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
- 11. {4258} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]
- 12. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 4.16 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 0.52 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.15 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201 and 17 CCR 93115]
- 13. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.08 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115]
- 14. {4261} This engine shall be operated and maintained in proper operating condition as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emissions control system supplier. [District Rule 4702]
- 15. {3478} During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rule 4702]
- 16. {3807} An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
- 17. {3808} This engine shall not be used to produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
- 18. {3496} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
- 19. {4775} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 2201 and 4702]
- 20. {4263} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the type of fuel purchased. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
- 21. {3475} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]



Appendix B PTO C-5489-7-0 for IC Engine being Replaced

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District

PERMIT UNIT: C-5489-7-0 EXPIRATION DATE: 12/31/2018

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:

600 BHP CUMMINS MODEL VT12R-6001PG DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING AN **ELECTRICAL GENERATOR**

PERMIT UNIT REQUIREMENTS

- Upon presentation of appropriate credentials, a permittee shall allow an authorized representative of the District to enter the permittee's premises where a permitted source is located or emissions related activity is conducted, or where records must be kept under condition of the permit. [District Rule 1070]
- Upon presentation of appropriate credentials, a permittee shall allow an authorized representative of the District to have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of the permit. [District Rule 1070]
- This permit does not authorize the violation of any conditions established for this facility in the Conditional Use Permit (CUP), Special Use Permit (SUP), Site Approval, Site Plan Review (SPR), or other approval documents issued by a local, state, or federal agency, [Public Resources Code 21000-21177; California Environmental Quality Act]
- No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]
- Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]
- No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]
- The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]
- This IC engine shall only be used for the growing and harvesting of crops or the raising of fowl or animals for the primary purpose of making a profit, providing a livelihood, or conducting agricultural research or instruction by an educational institution. [District Rules 4701 and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115]
- Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rule 4801 and 17 CCR 93115]
- 10. This engine shall be equipped with a non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours, unless the District determines that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator's compliance history. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
- 11. This engine shall be operated and maintained in proper operating condition as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emissions control system supplier. [District Rule 4702]
- 12. During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rule 47021

PERMIT UNIT REQUIREMENTS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE These terms and conditions are part of the Facility-wide Permit to Operate.

Facility Name: FLINT DAIRY

Location: 6511 FLINT AVE, HANFORD, CA 93230 C-5459740 Apr 6 2016 4 16FM - NORMANR

- 13. This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per calendar year. [District Rule 4702]
- 14. The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
- 15. An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 931151
- 16. This engine shall not be used to produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
- 17. All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

Appendix C EPA Certificate of Conformity and EPA Engine Certification Emissions Data (EPA Family # JVPXL16.ACB)

VNN VKBOK' WICHICYN 48102 YTLIAUO SIA GNA **OFFICE OF TRANSPORTATION**

WITH THE CLEAN AIR ACT CERTIFICATE OF CONFORMITY **3018 MODEL YEAR UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**



Non-after Treatment Devices: Electronic Control

Emissions Power Category: 560-£W<-2237

Mobile Stationary Indicator: Stationary

After Treatment Devices: No After Tresiment Devices Installed

	Set Hall Cir.	_
	[200]	
/	77. 75. 19 Lange	

Compilance Division Byron J. Bunker, Division Director Revision Date: **L107/41/11** istad sues!

13/31/3018 Expiration Date: 11/1/4/2011 Effective Date:

Certificate Number: JVPXL16.1ACB-007 (U.S. Manufacturer or Importer) Certificate Issued To: AB Volvo Penta

Engine Femily: JYPXL16.1ACB Manufacturer Type: Original Engine Manufacturer Model Years 2018

the documentation required by 40 CFR Part 60 and produced in the stated model year. conformity is hereby issued with respect to the test engines which have been found to conform to applicable requirements and which represent the following engines, by engine family, more fully described in Pursuant to Section 111 and Section 213 of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. sections 7411 and 7547) and 40 CFR Part 60, and subject to the terms and conditions prescribed in those provisions, this certificate of

Fuel Type: Diesel

documentation required by 40 CFR Part 60 and which are produced during the model year stated on this certificate of the said manufacturer, as defined in 40 CFR Part 60. This certificate of conformity covers only those new compression-ignition engines which conform in all material respects to the design specifications that applied to those engines described in the

rendered void ab intilo for other reasons specified in 40 CFR Part 60. Warrent or court order may lead to revocation or suspension of this certificate for ressons specified in 40 CFR Part 60. It is also a term of this certificate that this certificate may be revoked or suspended or It is a term of this certificate that the manufacturer shall consent to all inspections described in 40 CFR 1068 and authorized in a warrant or court order. Failure to comply with the requirements of such a

This centificate does not cover engines sold, offered for sale, or introduced, or delivered for introduction, into commerce in the U.S. prior to the effective date of the certificate.

Model Year	Engine Family	Manufacturer	Certificate #	Issue Date
2018	JVPXL16.1ACB	VPX (VPX)	JVPXL16.1ACB-007	14-NOV-2017

Model Year	Engine Family	Manutacturer	Certificate #	Issue Date
2018	JVPXL16.1ACB	VPX (VPX)	JVPXL16.1ACB-007	14-NOV-2017
Commerce Introduction Date	ion Date	Carryover Engine Family Name	Power Category	
21-NOV-2017		HVPXL16.1ACB	14 = 560 <kw<=2237< td=""><td></td></kw<=2237<>	

21-NOV-2017	HVPXL16.1ACB	14 = 560 <kw<=2237< th=""></kw<=2237<>
Applicatable Regulation		Applicable Tier
4 = Part 60 only certified to the requirements of part 89		2 = Tier 2

Applicable Compliance Standard	Fuel	Fuel Meter System	_
N = Not Applicable	L = 300-500 ppm Low Sulfur Diesel	D = Direct Diesel Injection	
Useful Life of Engine Family	Engine Combustion Cycle	Non Aftertreatment Device Type	Aftertreatment Device Type

NOx NMHC+NOx CO PM CO2 N2O CH4	5.58 5.8 0.7 0.11 649.35	
×Or	5.8 0.7	
NMHC	0.2 5.58	

Y = Electronic Control

A = 4 Stroke Compression Ignition

C = 10 years / 8,000 hrs

Trans CH4	
Trans N20	
Trans CO2	
Trans PM	
Trans CO	
Trans NMHC+NOx	
Trans NOx	
Trans NMHC	

FEL PM

FEL CO

FEL NMHC+NOX

FEL NOX

FEL NMHC

Peak

Acceleration

lacement Certification	Code Displac	ngine Model Engine Co
------------------------	--------------	-------------------------

Engine Operation	Test Procedure	Test Type
C = Constant Speed	2 = Steady-State 5-Mode Cycle	DMT = Discrete-Modal Testing

Appendix D Dairy Emissions Calculation Spreadsheet

Pre-Project Facility Information

1.	Does this facility house Holstein or Jersey cows? Most facilities house Holstein cows unless explicitly stated on the P	Holstein TO or application.
2.	Does the facility have an <u>anaerobic</u> treatment lagoon?	no
3.	Does the facility land apply liquid manure? Answering "yes" essumes worst case.	yes
4.	Does the facility land apply solid manure? Answering "yes" assumes worst case.	yes
5.	Is <u>any</u> scraped manure sent to a lagoon/storage pond? Answering "yes" assumes worst case.	yes

	Pre-Project Herd Size							
Herd	Flushed Freestalls	Screped Freestalls	Flushed Correls	Scraped Correls	Total # of Animals			
Milk Cows	2,300				2,300			
Dry Cows	300				300			
upport Stock (Heders, Cakes, and Buils			2,110		2,110			
Large Heifers					0			
Medium Heifers					0			
Small Heifers					0			
Rulls					0		_	
I		Calf Hut	thes		Calf C	orrels	ļ	
	Aboveground Flushed	Aboveground Scraped	On-Ground Flushed	On-Ground Scraped	Flushed	Scraped	Total # of Cal	
Calves							•	

Total Herd Summary				
Total Milk Cows	2,300			
Total Mature Cows	2,600			
Support Stock (Helders, Calves, and Built)	2,110			
Total Calves	0			
Total Dairy Head	4,710			

Pre-Project Sliage Information								
Foed Type Max # Open Piles Max Height (ft) Max Width								
Corn	1	24	105					
Alfalia								
Wheat	1	23	105					

Post-Project Facility Information

1.	Does this facility house Holstein or Jersey cows? Most facilities house Holstein cows unless explicitly stated on the P	Notatein TO or application.
2.	Does the facility have an anserobic treatment lagoon?	no
3.	Does the facility land apply Equid manure? Answering "yes" assumes worst case.	yes
4	Does the facility land apply solid manure? Answering "yes" essumes worst case.	yes
\$.	is <u>any</u> scraped manure sent to a lagoon/storage pond? Answering "yes" essumes worst case.	yes
6.	Does this project result in any new lagoon/storage pond(s) or an increase in surface area for any existing lagoon/storage pond(s)?

	Post-Project Herd Size						
Herd	Flushed Freestalls	Scraped Freestells	Flushed Corrals	Scraped Corrals	Total # of Animals		
Milk Cows	2,300	ĺ			2,300		
Dry Cows	300				300		
upport Stock (Meilers, Calves, and Bulls)			2,110		2,110		
Large Heifers					0		
Medium Heifers					0		
Small Heifers					0		
Bulls					0		•
		Calf Huts	thes		Calf C	orrats	
	Aboveground Flushed	Aboveground Scraped	On-Ground Flushed	On-Ground Scraped	Flushed	Scraped	Total # of Cale
Calves		· · · · · ·					٥

Total Herd Summary					
Total Milk Cows	2,300				
Total Mature Cows	2,600				
Support Stock (review, Calves, and Bulls)	2,110				
Total Calves	0				
Total Dairy Head	4.710				

Post-Project Silage Information								
Feed Type Max # Open Piles Max Height (ft) Max Wid								
Com	1	24	105					
Alfaifa								
Wheat	1	23	105					

VOC Mitigation Measures and Control Efficiencies

	Milking Parlor							
Measure f	roposed?	Atteleration Management and Francisco Bullet		Efficiency (%)				
Pre-Project	ro-Project Post-Project Mitigation Measure(s) per Emissions Point		Pre-Project	Post-Project				
		Enteric Emissions Mitigations						
9	9	(D) Feed according to NRC guidelines	10%	10%				
	-	Total Control Efficiency	10%	10%				
		Milking Parlor Floor Mitigations	jan la di					
Ø.		(D) Feed according to NRC guidelines	10%	10%				
•	•	(D) Flush or hose milk partor immediately prior to, immediately after, or during each milking. Note: If selected for dairies > 999 milk cows, control efficiency is already included in EF.	0%	0%				
		Total Control Efficiency	10%	10%				

		Cow Housing		
	roposed?	Mitigation Measure(s) per Emissions Point	VOC Control	Efficiency (%)
Pre-Project	Post-Project	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Pre-Project	Post-Project
	<u> </u>	Enteric Emissions Mitigations	: 	
Ø	<u> </u>	Feed according to NRC guidelines	10%	10%
		Total Control Efficiency	10%	10%
		Corrate/Pens Mitigations		
Ø	0	Feed according to NRC guidelines	10%	10%
Ø	Ø	Inspect water pipes and troughs and repair leaks at least once every seven days. Note: If selected for dairies > 999 milk cows, CE is aiready included in EF.	0%	0%
Ø	0	Dainlog: Clean manure from corrals at least four times per year with at least 60 days between cleaning, or clean corrals at least cince between April and July and at least cince between September and December. Note: If selected for daines > 999 milk cows, CE is already included in EF. Note: No additional control given for increased cleaning frequency (e.g. BACT requirement). Holferfealf Banchog: Scrape corrals times a year with at least 90 days between cleanings, excluding in-corral mounds. Note: No additional control given for increased cleaning frequency (e.g. BACT requirement).	0%	0%
2	Ø	Scrape, vacuum, or flush concrete lanes in corrals at least once every day for mature cows and every seven days for support stock, or clean concrete lanes such that the depth of manure does not exceed 12 inches at any point or time. Note: No additional control given for increased cleaning frequency (e.g. BACT requirement).	10%	10%
•	Ø	Implement one of the following: 1) stope the surface of the corrals at least 3% where the available space for each animal is 400 sq ft or less and stope the surface of the corrals at least 1.5% where the available space for each animal is more than 400 sq ft; 2) maintain corrals to ensure proper drainage preventing water from standing more than 48 hrs. 3) harrow, rake, or scrape pens sufficiently to maintain a dry surface. Note: If selected for daintes > 999 milk cows, CE already included in EF.	0%	0%
Ø	Ø	Install shade structures such that they are constructed with a light permeable roofing material. Note: If selected for dairies > 999 milk cows, the control efficiency will be 5% since the EF used includes a partial control for this measure.		5%
0		Install all shade structures uphili of any stope in the corral. Note: If selected for darries > 999 milk cows, the control efficiency will be 5% since the EF used includes a partial control for this measure.	5%	
0		Ctean manure from under corral shades at least once every 14 days, when weather permits access into corral. Note: If selected for dairies > 999 milk cows, the control efficiency will be 5% since the EF used includes a partial control for this measure	376	
0	0	Install shade structure so that the structure has a North/South orientation. Note: If selected for dainles > 1 1999 milk cows, the control efficiency will be 5% since the EF used includes a partial control for this measure.		
0	0	Manage corrais such that the manure depth in the corral does not exceed 12 inches at any time or point, except for in-corral mounding. Manure depth may exceed 12 inches when corrals become inaccessible due to rain events. The manure facility must resume management of the manure depth of 12 inches or lower immediately upon the corral becoming accessible. Note: If selected for dairies > 999 milk cows, control efficiency is already included in EF.	0%	0%
Ø	Ø	Knockdown fence line manure build-up prior to it exceeding a height of 12 inches at any time or point. Manure depth may exceed 12 inches when corrals become inaccessible due to rain events. The facility must resume management of the manure depth of 12 inches or lower immediately upon the corral becoming accessible.	10%	10%
_	0	Use time or a similar absorbent material in the corral according to the manufacturer's recommendation to minimize moisture in the corrals.	0%	0%
		Apply thyrnol to the corral soil in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendation.	0%	0%
-		Total Control Efficiency	30.75%	30.75%
		Bedding Mitigations		
o o	Ø	Feed according to NRC guidelines	10%	10%
	0	Use non-manure-based bedding and non-separated solids based bedding for at least 90% of the bodding material, by weight, for freestalls (e.g. rubbor mats, almond shells, sand, or waterbods).	0%	0%

0	Ø	For a large dairy (1,000 milk cows or larger) or a herier/calf ranch - Remove manure that is not dry from individual cow freestall beds or rake, harrow, scrape, or grade freestall bedding at least once every 7 days.	10%	10%
0	0	(D) For a medium dairy only (500 to 999 milk cows) - Remove manure that is not dry from individual cow froestall beds or rake, harrow, scrape, or grade freestall bedding at least once every 14 days.	0%	0%
		Total Control Efficiency	19.00%	19.00%
		Lanes Mitigations		
2	•	Feed according to NRC guidelines	10%	10%
Ø	Ø	Pave feedlanes, where present, for a width of al least 8 feet along the corral side of the feedlane fence for milk and dry cows and at least 6 feet along the corral side of the feedlane for heifers Note: No control efficiency at this time.	0%	0%
Ø	2	Dairlos: Flush, scrape, or vacuum freestall flush lanes immediately pnor to or after, or during each milking; or flush or scrape freestall flush lanes at least 3 times per day. Holfer/Calf Ranches: Vacuum, scrape, or flush freestalls at least once every seven days.	10%	10%
		(D) Have no animals in exercise pens or corrals at any time.	0%	0%
		Total Control Efficiency	19.00%	19.00%

		Liquid Manure Handling		
Measure F	roposed?	Mitigation Measure(s) per Emissions Point	VOC Control	Efficiency (%)
Pre-Project	Post-Project	intugation invastrials) for Emissions Point	Pre-Project	Post-Project
		Lagoons/Storage Ponds Mitigations		
9	•	Feed according to NRC guidelines	10%	10%
		Use phototropic lagoon	0%	0%
		Use an anaerobic treatment lagoon designed according to NRCS Guideline No. 359	0%	0%
Ø	0	Remove solids from the waste system with a solid separator system, prior to the waste entering the lagoon. Note: If selected for dairies > 999 milk cows, control efficiency is already included in EF.	0%	0%
		Maintain lagoon pH between 6.5 and 7.5	0%	0%
		Total Control Efficiency	10.00%	10.00%
		Liquid Manure Land Application Mitigations	•	
Ø	0	Feed according to NRC guidelines	10%	10%
		Only apply liquid manure that has been treated with an anaerobic or aerobic treatment lagoon, aerobic lagoon, or digester system	0%	0%
•	0	Allow bould manure to stand in the fields for no more than 24 hours after irrigation. Note: if selected for daines > 999 milk cows, control efficiency is already included in EF.	0%	0%
		Apply liquid/slurry manure via injection with drag hose or similar apparatus	0%	0%
	·	Total Control Efficiency	10.00%	10.00%

		Solid Manure Handling		
Moasuro I	Proposed?	Application Massaumist non-Emissione Balan	VOC Control	Efficiency (%)
Pre-Project	Post-Project	Mitigation Measure(s) per Emissions Point	Pre-Project	Post-Project
		Solid Manure Storage Mitigations		
Ø	Ø	Feed according to NRC guidelines	10%	10%
0	2	LARGE CAFO ONLY: Within 72 hours of removal from housing, either a) remove dry manure from the facility, or b) cover dry manure outside the housing with a weatherproof covering from October through May, except for times when wind events remove the covering, not to exceed 24 hours per event.	10%	10%
		Total Control Efficiency	19.00%	19.00%
		Separated Solids Piles Mitigations		
•	Ø	Feed according to NRC guidelines	10%	10%
0	_	LARGE CAFO ONLY: Within 72 hours of removal from the drying process, either a) remove separated solids from the facility, or b) cover separated solids outside the housing with a weatherproof covering from October through May, except for times when wind events remove the covering, not to exceed 24 hours per event.	0%	0%
		Total Control Efficiency	10.00%	10.00%
	1	Soild Manuro Land Application Mitigations	•	·
0	4	Feed according to NRC guidelines	10%	10%
0	0	Incorporate all solid manure within 72 hours of land application. Note: If selected for daines > 999 milk cows, control efficiency is already included in EF. Note: No additional control given for rapid manure incorporation (e.g. BACT requirement)	0%	0%
O		Only apply solid manure that has been treated with an anaerobic treatment lagoon, aerobic lagoon or diposter system.	0%	0%
0		Apply no solid manure with a moisture content of more than 50%	0%	0%
*****	-	Total Control Efficiency	10.00%	10.00%

		Silege and TMR		
Measure I	Proposed?	Mitigation Measure(s) per Emissions Point	VOC Control	Efficiency (%)
Pre-Project	Post-Project	muniferioti urosantala) bat cursacous Louiz	Pre-Project	Post-Project
		Com/Alfalfa/Wheat Silage Mitigations		
		Utitize a sealed feed storage system (e.g. Ag-Bag) for bagged silage, or		
		2. Cover the surface of stage piles, except for the area where feed is being removed from the pile, with a plastic tarp that is at least 5 mils thick (0.005 inches), multiple plastic tarps with a cumulative thickness of at least 5 mils (0.005 inches), or an oxygen barrier film covered with a UV resistant material within 72 hours of last delivery of material to the pile, and implement one of the following:		

9	Ø	a) build stage piles such that the average bulk density is at least 44 lb/cu-ft for com stage and 40 lb/cu-ft for other stage types, as measured in accordance with Section 7.10 of Rule 4570, b) when creating a stage pile, adjust filting parameters to assure a calculated average bulk density of at least 44 lb/cu-ft for com sitage and at least 40 lb/cu-ft for other sitage types, using a spreadsheet approved by the District, c) harvest stage crop at > or = 65% moisture for com; and >= 80% moisture for affatfa/grass and other stage crops; manage sitage material delivery such that no more than 6 inches of materials are uncompacted on top of the pile; and incorporate the applicable Theoretical Length of Chop (TLC) and roller opening for the crop being harvested. For dairies - implement two of the following: For heifer/call ranches - implement one of the following: Manage Exposed Sitage, a) manage stage piles such that only one sitage pile has an uncovered face and the uncovered face has a total exposed surface area of less than 2,150 sq. ft., or b) manage multiple uncovered face has a total exposed surface area of less than 2,150 sq. ft., or b) manage multiple uncovered stage piles such that the total exposed surface area of all sitage piles is less than 4,300 sq. ft. Manitain Sitage Working Face, a) use a shaver/facer to remove stage from the sitage pile, or b) manitain a smooth venical surface on the working face of the sitage pile. Sitage Additive: a) inoculate stage with homolactic acid bacteria in accordance with manufacturer recommendations to achieve a concentration of at least 100,000 colony forming units per gram of wet forage or apply proprionic acid, benzoic acid, softic acid, sodium benzoate, or potassium sorbate at a rate specified by the manufacturer to reduce yeast counts when forming sitage pile; or b) apply other additives at specified rates that have been demonstrated to reduce alcohol concentrations in sitage and/or VOC emissions from sitage and have been approved by the District and EPA.	39.0%	39.0%
		Total Control Efficiency	39.00%	39.00%

^{*}Assumes 25% control for density mitigation measures and 10% each for the two optional measures, resulting in an overall control of 39%. The same conservative control efficiency will be applied to the sealed feed storage system (Ag-Bag)

		TMR Mitigations		
Ø	Ø	(D) Push feed so that it is within 3 feet of feedlane fence within 2 hrs of putting out the feed or use a feed trough or other feeding structure designed to maintain feed within reach of the cows.	10%	10%
Ø	2	(D) Begin feeding total mixed rations within 2 hrs of grinding and mixing rations. Note: If selected for dairies > 999 milk cows, control efficiency already included in EF.	0%	0%
Ø	Ø	Feed steam-flaked, dry rolled, cracked or ground com or other ground cereal grains.	10%	10%
		Remove uneaten wet feed from feed bunks within 24 hrs after then end of a rain event.	0%	0%
		(D) For total mixed rations that contain at least 30% by weight of silage, feed animals total mixed rations that contain at least 45% moisture.	0%	0%
•	0	Feed according to NRC guidelines. Note: If selected for dairies, control efficiency already included in EF.	0%	0%
		Total Control Efficiency	19.00%	19.00%

State Control Contro												or Dainy	Entssion	ns Factor	s for Hots	Difid-yr Dairy Emissions Factors for Holstein Cows	4												
					200	ş	Н		Ony Coms		٤) suggest (15 to 24 mm.	(SC)	T C	n Methers (7	10 14 mont.	192	Small H.	Opers (3 to 6	(Sugarous	Ц	Cabres fo	- 3 months	63		40		ŀ
Maria Mari				Checoat	30Bed	Contro	P	Uncombrol	_	Anthroping	3	pagnogen	3	troffed	Unecott	7	Control	3	Uncontrol		Controlled	5	controlled	3	proged	Chapter	Incontrolled	3	Controlled
House Containment Color				┝	į	5	-		\vdash	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	5	_	11	5	_	\vdash	_	-		į,	\vdash	25	1	11	£	5
Mail			Enterc Emerons in Mana Perton	3	3	'n	20	 -	\vdash	Ŀ	Ŀ	Ŀ	Ŀ	Ŀ	Ī -	-				Ŀ	Ŀ	<u> </u>	ŀ	_	Ŀ	L	Ŀ	·	Ŀ
Marked State Marked Ma	Mithing Parlor	8	Mileurg Partor Ploor	ğ	8	Н	80	H		Ľ	ŀ	Ŀ	Ŀ	ŀ	ŀ		Н	H	Н	Ĺ	L	Ц	Ŀ	•	ŀ		·	·	·
Market M			Total	3	#0	Н	976	ŀ	L	Ŀ			Ŀ	\cdot	ŀ	-	-	H	Н		Ľ	Ц	٠	•			_ • •	ŀ	·
Marie Mari		KH3	Total	61.0	0.19	Н	91.0	-	H	Ľ	Ľ	Ŀ				H	H	H	Н		H	Ц	ŀ			Ц	·	·	•
VoC Bestigney (15) (15) (15) (15) (15) (15) (15) (15)			Enterc Emauora in Com Housing	38	360	3.22	æc	-	_	┝	<u> </u>	171	2	2	123	117	100	_	┡	┢	<u> </u>	_	H	970	0.28	1.10	101	80	40
Main and part 1			Correts/Pens	80	8	194	⊢	⊢	⊢	⊢	Ļ	2,20	181	5	286	188	8.	H	H	Н	Н	Н	H	9	H	256	167	-	9:
House 15 marks 1		200	Bedding	8	8	Н	Н	H	Н	Н	Ц	045	03	X O	80	920	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	900	H	22.0	0.25	020	8
High Figures and the control of the			1909	70	980	Н	Н	H	Н	Н	Н	αο	020	020	10.00	0.23	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	900	H	120	020	910	91.0
High Sections and Color (1) (2) (2) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3	and an and an		Total	16.78	13.00	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	2	8	\$	4.62	3,56	2.77	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	0.74	Н	413	3,18	2.48	3.48
Complement Com	Constant and		Enterio Emesions in Com Housing			·	ŀ	 -	<u> </u>	ŀ.	·	ŀ		•		·		<u> </u>		<u>.</u> .	_	•	-	·	•	٠	·	·	Ŀ
Marche March Marche Marche Marche Marche Marche Marche Ma			Constaffens	8	╂╌	┿-	-	⊢	⊢	₽-	╄	╀	┝	9:	28	8	280	H	H	Н	Н	H	H	- 8	╀	15,30	15.30	15.30	15.30
House Sign Sign Sign Sign Sign Sign Sign Sign			Bedding	630	630	8.8	Н	H	Н	Н	Ц	5	1.30	. y	Q 1	22	120	Η	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	000	Н	OC 2	2.00	230	230
Total Miles 1,500			Lanes	\$ 10	5 10	\$ 10	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	130	Ŋ	8	š	8	8	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	020	Н	190	190	1 80	9
VVC. Interpretation of the control of the c			Total	63,30	61.30	н	Н	н	н	Н	Н	14.00	2002	14.00	10.10	10.10	10.10	Ц	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	2,30	2,30	19.50	19.60	19,50	05'66
VVC. Age and Market Land (14) (15) </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>Legiona/Storage Ponds</th> <th>25.</th> <th>8</th> <th>_</th> <th>117</th> <th>-</th> <th>\vdash</th> <th>-</th> <th>_</th> <th>750</th> <th>0.49</th> <th>040</th> <th>043</th> <th>0.37</th> <th>0.33</th> <th>_</th> <th></th> <th>-</th> <th>_</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>000</th> <th>000</th> <th>0.40</th> <th>0.33</th> <th>αo</th> <th>OX O</th>			Legiona/Storage Ponds	25.	8	_	117	-	\vdash	-	_	750	0.49	040	043	0.37	0.33	_		-	_			000	000	0.40	0.33	αo	OX O
Total Line		ğ	Lead Manus Land Acateston	ž	8	8	20	—	-	-	┡	8	ន	30	0.47	0.0	×	_	_	_	⊢	H	 	0.0	⊢	200	920	Яo	ä
Holy Supplication (a. 1) (a. 1) (b. 1) (a. 1	Liquid Manure		Total	111	2.20	25	172	Н	Н	Н	Ц	=	8	1,03	08.0	£,	0.43	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	9.18	0.13	240	0.68	0.81	5
Mile	Manding		Legopre/Storage Pends	83	02.0	88	88	⊢	-	┝	┡	220	230	230	8	8	8	8	H	_	Ŀ	_	_	80	970	300	200	œε	œε
Triangle		200	Lead Menue Land	8	8	8	8	├	-	┝	⊢	8	g 7	ă	8	Ē.	Ę.	Ē.	┝	-	Ľ	H	-	δ	0.37	ž	323	ac	22.
Voc. Septiment Strange 015 015 016 017 017 016 016 016 017			Total	5.75	<u>8</u>	-	Н	┡	┝	⊢	H	3	3	937	S.	2	£	H	H	Н	H	Н	Н	27.0	27.0	2	23	3	629
VOC. Septement Seads Name, and officers of the control o			Sold Manue Strage	35	510	Н	⊢	-	Н	Н	L	900	900	900	900	P 00	800	Н	H	Н	Н	Н	Н	БО	100	800	800	80	80
VOC. Conditionary Lange 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.31 0.42 0.42 <th></th> <th></th> <th>Separated Solids Piles</th> <td>8</td> <td>80</td> <td>Н</td> <td>Н</td> <td>Н</td> <td>Н</td> <td>Н</td> <td>Н</td> <td>800</td> <td>æ</td> <td>200</td> <td>g</td> <td>æ</td> <td>æ</td> <td>Н</td> <td>Н</td> <td>Н</td> <td>Н</td> <td>Н</td> <td>Н</td> <td>8</td> <td>800</td> <td>200</td> <td>200</td> <td>000</td> <td>200</td>			Separated Solids Piles	8	80	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	800	æ	200	g	æ	æ	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	8	800	200	200	000	200
Total Additionary Control Office C		ğ	Sold Manure Land Andicator	030	Ŋ	S,	0.00		_	_		:	015	015	Ę	80	80	_	_	_	-			å	80	9	8	000	200
Miles Mile	Solid Manura		Total	190	14.0	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	0.23	e to	αo	6,17	0.15	0.13	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	10.0	900	91.0	0.14	6.12	0.12
Septemental Sector Person Consort Cons	Handling		Sold Manure Storage	80	80	Н	-	-	Н	-	Н	820	20	220	91.0	910	910	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	000	0.04	90.0	90.0	SE O	ΩO
Sold Market Land 3 209 2 09 2 09 2 09 1 06 1 06 1 06 1 06 0 05 0 05 0 05 0 05			Separated Solids Piles	8	920	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	010	010	010	200	000	200	Н	Н	Н	Н	H	Н	8	8	0.14	910	014	110
3.42 3.42 3.42 1.75 1.75 1.75 1.75 1.75 1.75 1.75 1.75		2	Solid Manuro Land Application	88	8	8	38				_	8	8	800	ox o	0.30	0.30		_	_			-	600	8	970	0.70	8	ő
			Total	3.42	342	3.42	375	Н	Н	Н	Н	8	8	8	750	170	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	91.0	Н	126	- 38	1.25	1.28

	Stage and T	TMR (Total Mixed Rati	tion) Emissions (s	g/m^2-min)	
		Slage Type	Uncontrolled	143	EF2
		Com Skage	34,661	991'12	21.156
Feed Storage and	ş	Affata Shape	95971	C#9'01	10.649
Handling	į	Wheel Stage	13.844	597.95	26.745
		Thes	13,066	525,01	10,575

Assumptions 1) Each skape pile is completely covered except for the first tipe and 2) februre are fed within 40 hours

		PM ₄₉ Emission Factors (Dind-yr)
Type of Com	13 AURO	Source
Come on Freedable	181	Based on a Summer 2003 study by Texas A&M ASAE at a West Texas Dany
MatDry in Loading Barns	273	SAVAPCD
Hechniches on Locking Barre	92'S	SAMPCD
Cabon or Losting Barns	090	SAVACD
MALDy n Const	246	Based on a Summer 2003 study by Texas ASM ASAE at a West Texas Dary
Support Stock (Herters/Buils) in Open Comiss	9501	Based on a USDANC Daris report quaritying dairy and foodiol emissions in Tubro & Kein Courbos (April 11)
Large Heders on Open Corrada	108	SAMPCD
Cad (under 3 mo.) open consts	137	SAMAPCD
Celf on-ground hutches	0.343	SAVAPCD
Ceff above ground flushed	6300	SAVAPCD
Cad stove-ground scraped	0.206	SJVAPCD

The controlled PAGDSF will be calculated based on the specific PAGD miligation measures. If any, for each freestall, cored, or call furth area. See the PAR Militation Measures for taitobations.

Post-Project PM10 Mitigation Measures

							Post-Pr	oject PM10	Post-Project PM10 Mitigation Measures	asures						
Column C	Housing		Type of Housing	Type of cow	Total # of cows in Each Housing Structure(s)	Maximum Design Capacity of <u>Each</u> Structure	# of Combined Housing Structures in row	Shaded Corrats	Downwind Shelterbelts	Upwind Shelterbelts	No exercise pens, non-manura bedding	No exercise pens, manure bedding	Fibrous	Bi-weekh scraping Corrafs/Pens	Sprinkling Correls/Pens	Feed Young Stock Near Dusk
Notices Notices Security Notices Not		200	francial	- The Control	3.00	3 300		-			ı	-	-			-
Column C			francis in	2000	1	300					L					
Column C			and the same	comment clock	210	2110		0			ı					
No. of Variable Conf. No.									C		L					
1								-		C	Ī					
Column C	\ \ \ \								ונ		1			2		
Column C	اوا										1		1	2	7	
Comparison	7							7		3	1		- -		3	5
Column C	8							0	0	0		0		0	0	0
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	6							0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
March Parce March	OI							0	0	0		0	P	0	0	0
Continue of the continue of	11							6			l	6	-	0	-	 -
Comparison of	2									6	1	_		0		6
Marie Name Mar									E	F	Ł					
Control of the cont	2										ı					
Comparison of	14							3		-	١	-				-
Note Part	15		_					_		_	į	כ	5	ח	0	0
Note of the color of the colo	16							0	0	0		0		0	0	0
1	2 5							F		E	L					_
March Marc	1										L					
Control Reservicy Control Legislation	21							7		7	1	3		וכ)	
No. 10.00 No.	19							7		7	ı	5	-	_	2	-
Control Cont	20							0		0		0	0	0	0	0
Control Cont	12							0	0	0		0	0	0	D	0
March Marc	Į.							0			ŀ	6	6		Ь	 -
Marie Mari								C	C	_	ı	E			Ξ	c
Note of thoughty Type of core	3										ı					
Foot Project Politicating Foot Project Politicating Consisted # Foot Project Pol	77										1					•
No. of the control	25							7		7	ı				9	
No. of Married No.	26								7		ı	9	7	7	כ	9
Notice Part	22							0	0	0			0		0	0
Foot-file Foot	328								0	0		-	0	0	0	0
Total of clearing Total of clearing Total of clear Mission in New Housing Units at an Expending Daily Special of Control o	2								٥	6			0	P	0	0
House Manual Prost Project PM10 Miligation Measures for New Housing Unite at an Expanding Dalay Prost Project PM10 Miligation Measures for New Housing Unite at an Expanding Dalay Prost Project PM10 Miligation Measures for New Housing Unite at an Expanding Dalay Prost Project PM10 Miligation Measures for New Housing Unite at an Expanding Dalay Prost of Indian Person Prost Project PM10 Miligation Measures for New Housing Unite at an Expanding Dalay Prost of New Housing Dalay Prost of New Ho	S										ı		0	-	0	P
The of Housing Type of Hou	1							-								
	1 5							F	_		ı		-	_		
Note that Note of footing Type of footing	;;;							C		E	1		-			
Fost-Project PM10 Mitigation Measures for New Housing Units at an Expanding Duity Created of covers in Maximum Decign Created of Cores Cores Shaked Covers Cover	; ; ;										L	Ε		-	c	
Note of Housing Name(s) Note of Housing Lates of core Lead to core Lead t	X I	1							ם כ		ı				3	3 0
Post Project PM10 Mittgation Measures for New Housing Units at an Expanding Dairy Contact and Expending Dairy Contact and Expanding Dairy Contact an	X							7	5	-	1	9		2	3	
Posst-Project PM10 Militarition Measures for New Housing United at an Expanding Daily Posst-Project PM10 Militarition Measures for New Housing United PM10 Militarition PM10 Milit	36							7	ם			5	5	כ	0	0
Post-Project PM10 Militation Measures for New Housing Luhra an Expanding Dality at an Expanding Dality Control of Con	37							0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
Fost Project PM10 Mitigation Measures for New Housing Units at an Expanding Dalry Post Project PM10 Mitigation Measures for New Housing Units at an Expanding Dalry Post Project PM10 Mitigation Measures for New Housing Units at an Expanding Dalry Post Project PM10 Mitigation Measures for New Housing Units at an Expanding Dalry Post Project PM10 Mitigation Measures for New Housing Units at an Expanding Dalry Post Project PM10 Mitigation Measures for New Housing Units at an Expanding Dalry Post Project PM10 Mitigation Measures for New Housing Units at an Expanding Dalry Post Project PM10 Mitigation Measures for New Housing Units at an Expanding Dalry Post Project PM10 Mitigation Measures for New Housing Units at an Expanding Dalry Post Pm10 Mitigation Measures for New Housing Units at an Expanding Dalry Post Pm10 Mitigation Measures for New Housing Units at an Expanding Dalry Post Pm10 Mitigation Measures for New Housing Units at an Expanding Dalry Post Pm10 Mitigation Measures for New Housing Units at an Expanding Dalry Post Pm10 Mitigation Measures for New Housing Units at an Expanding Units at Expanding Units at an Expanding Units at Expa	200							0	٥	Ь		0	0	0	0	0
Housing Name(s) or Type of fourising Topic form (Each Housing Structure) or Structure(s) Structure (structure) (Appendix Control (Appendix	33								6		ł	0	0	0		6
Housing Name(s) of Type of toxing Total a of cover in Measures for New Housing Univariant Project PM10 Militation Measures for New Housing Univariant Post-Project PM10 Militation Measures for New Housing Univariant Post Project PM10 Militation Measures for New Housing Univariant Performs Structure Corras Shalterbalts from manure bedding bedding bedding bedding manure bedding manure bedding bedding bedding bedding manure bedding manure bedding bedding bedding manure bedding bedding bedding bedding manure bedding be	9							0	0	0	ı		٥	0	0	D
Housing Name(s) Type of foots Type of cow Type of cow Type of cow Type of twitten Type o						Doct Designat	PM10 Mitication	Moseuroe	for New Housin	to Ifaits of an	Expanding Dairy					
Housing state of thousing and the contract of the contr						יישורי ושובייני	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH									
Type of Housing Structures Type of Cow Each Housing Structures	the sales				Total # of cows in	Maximum Design	a of Combined	Shaded	Downwind	Upwind	No exercise pens.			Biweekly	Sorinkline	Feed Young Stock
Structure hrow Correspond Corresp				Type of cow	Each Housing	뎔	Housing Structures	Commit	Shelterbelts		non-manure bedding		Fibros.	scraping	Correth/Pens	Near Dusk
Control of the cont		,,,			Structure(s)	1	h row			_		_	_	Corrais/Pens		
Pest-Project Total and Cover 1970	1							0	0		0	0	0	0		0
Control of the fourth of Control of At 1710 Con	2											اد		0		٥
Control of the following state of the follo	3							-						0		0
Pest-Project Total and Cover 1970	7							5	-		_ _ _			0		_
Control of the cont	5							0	0	_	0	0	0	0	0	
Control of the cont	9							0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_
Pest-Project Total and Cover 1770 1	•							0	0		0	0	0	0	o	0
Control of Control o								-	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	-
Control of Control o	0									6	0			_	0	_
Pest-Project Todal and Count 47710	2							E				-				
Peachtyled Total # of Cown 4,710	22	Ī						ŀ								
Peach-Project Total 2 of Covy 4,710 4,710	3 :	Ī						٦	c							
Pesst-Project Todal and Count 4710 4710 4710	7 1							þ						ŀ		ם כ
Post-Project Total a of Cown 4,710 4,710	2							3 C	וכ				3		3 E	3 C
4,710	F)											,				
			Post-Pr.	oject Total # of Cows					4,710							

٦	Γ		Γ	Γ	Ī	T	T	T	Ţ	7		Ī	Γ	Γ	Γ	Τ	Τ	Ι	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	Ţ	Τ	T	Τ	Τ	T	T	Τ	Τ	Π	Ñ	П	Γ	<u> </u>	7	П	Т	Ţ	T	Τ	Τ	Γ	П	7		Γ
Controlled EF (Ib/hd-yr)	137	1.37	196																																					Controlled [F	_											
Feed Young Stack Near Dusk																																								Feed Young Stock												
Sprinkling Correla/Pers																																								Sprinkling Correls/Perts												
Bi-weelily scraping Corrals/Pens																										ĺ													Riemoskite	scraping	Corrals/Pens											
f ibrous layer		-							1																	Ī														fibrous layer												-
No exertise pent, manure bedding																																						ons Units		No exercise pens,												
No exercise pens, non-manure bedding																																						Control Efficiencies and Emission Factors for New Housing Emissions Units		No exercise pens, non-manum beddine												
Upwind Shelterbelts																																						Factors for Ne		Upwind Shelterhelts												
Downwind Shelterbelts																																						and Emission		Chetherheth												
Shaded Correls			8.3%																																			Efficiencles		Shaded												
Uncontrolled EF (Ib/hd-yr)	1.370	1370	10.550																Ī																			ct PM10 Contro		Uncontrolled EF	1.1											
5 4	2,300	300	2,110																																			Post-Project PM10	ŝ	3	Structure											
Total # of cows in Each Housing Structure(s)	2,300	8	2,110																																				Total o of course by	Each Housing	Structure(s)											
Acc of cow	malk cows	dry cows	support stock																																					Type of cow												
Type of Housing	freestall	freestall	open correl							,																														Type of Housing												
(s)s so (s)sure(s) oc	Mdb Cows	Dry Cours	Support																																	30				Housing Name(s) or			7									

Post-Project Potential to Emit - Cow Housing

				P	'ost-Project Pa	tential to Emit - C	ow Housing					
Ľ	lousing Name(s) or #(s)	Type of Cow	# of Cows	Controlled VOC EF (lb/hd-yr)		Controlled PM10 EF (ib/hd-yr)		VOC (lb/yr)	NH3 (lb/day)	NH3 (lb/yr)	PM10 (lb/day)	PM10 (lb/yr)
Г	Milk Cows	milk cows	2,300	9.35	53.30	1.37	58.9	21,505	335.9	122,590	8.6	3,151
Г	Dry Cows	dry cows	300	5.29	27.00	1.37	4,3	1,587	22.2	8,100	1.1	411
Π	Support	support stock	2,110	4.06	14.00	9.67	23.5	8,567	80.9	29,540	55.9	20,412
									<u> </u>			
С												
			i	I					i			
ľ												
Г									I			
ĬΞ					1							
T												
Т						1						
Е			i						1			
Г			i						1			
1-			i						î		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1			i	1					·			
Ì				i i		i			ì——	i		
									1	1		
			· · · · · ·	}					Î			
T						1				1		
T									·			
t			 		· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1						
T			i e						·	1		
t				1		····				i		
✝									1	1		
t			 	1								
╆╌			 									
			 	1		 						
F			 	1	i	 			D	 		
t			 	Į	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					t		
t			 	 						 		
L			h	 					1	i		
t			†	 					 	 		
t			 						1	 		
	Post-Project # of Cow	·	4,710	<u> </u>			86.7	31,659	439.0	160.230	65.6	23,974

			Post-P	roject Potential	to Emit - Cow I	Housing: New Hot	using Units a	t an Expand	ing Dairy			
	Housing Name(s) or #(s)	Type of Cow	# of Cows	Controlled VOC EF (lb/hd-yr)	Controlled NH3 EF (lb/hd-yr)	Controlled PM10 EF (ib/hd-yr)	VOC (lb/day)	VOC (lb/yr)	NH3 (lb/day)	NH3 (lb/yr)	PM10 (lb/day)	PM10 (lb/yr)
1												
2												
3												
4						-						
5												
ş												
8			 	 	-	 						
9				†								
10												
11			— —	1								
12												
13												
14	·											
	Total # of Cows Fr	om Expansion	0		·		0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0

		FUS	st-Project Totals	i		
Total # of Cows V	OC (lb/day)	VOC (lb/yr)	NH3 (lb/day)	NH3 (lb/yr)	PM10 (lb/day)	PM10 (lb/yr)
4,710	86.7	31,659	439.0	160,230	65.6	23,974

Calculations:

Annual PE 2 for each pollutant (lb/ γ_1) = Controlled EF (lb/ γ_1) x 0 of cows (hd) Daily PE2 for each pollutant (lb/day) = [Controlled EF [lb/ γ_1] x 0 of cows [hd)] + 365 (day/ γ_1)

Post-Project Herd Size									
Herd	Total # of Animals								
Milk Cows	2,300		0	0	2,300				
Ory Cows	300	0	0	0	300				
Support Storb Printing Calves, and Bullet	0	0	2,110	0	2,110				
Large Heifers	0	0	0	0	0				
Medium Heifers	0	0	0	0	0				
Small Heifers	0	0	0	0	0				
Bulls	C C	0	0	0	0				

	0443				•			_	
П	,	Calf Hutches Calf Corrais							
		Aboveground Flushed	Aboveground Scraped	On-Ground Flushed	On-Ground Scraped	Flushed	Scraped	Total # of Calves	
	Calves	0	0	0	0		0	0	

	Silage Information									
Feed Type	Feed Type Maximum # Open Piles Maximum Height (ft) Maximum Width (ft) Open Face Area (ft*2									
Corn	1	24	105	1,945						
Alfalfa	0	. 0	0							
Wheat	1	23	105	1,853						

Milking Parlor							
Cow VOC NH3							
Milk Cows	lb/day	lb/yr	lb/day	Eb/yr			
Total	2.5	920	1.2	437			

Cow Housing								
	\	OC	KH3		PM10			
	lb/day	£b/yr	Ib/day	В/уг	lb/day	Ds/yr		
Total 84.7 31,659 439.0 160,230 65.6 23,974								

	U	quid Manur	Handling			
Cow	v	×	NI	43	HZS	
LOW	(b/day	lb/yr	ib/day	lb/yr	lb/day	lb/yr
Milk Cows	15.3	5,589	107.8	39,330	5.2	1,886
Dry Cows	1.1	399	7.2	2,610	0.3	126
Support Stack (Harbors, Cahon, and Bulls)	5.9	2,152	26.0	9,495	1.3	464
Large Heifers	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	0
Medium Heifers	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	0
Small Helfors	0.0	0	0.0	0		0
Calves	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	0
Bulls	0.0	0	0.0	0		0
Total	22.3	8,140	141.0	51,435	6.8	2,476

Solid Manure Handling								
Cow	V	oc .	NI	43				
COW	lb/day	lb/yr	lb/day	tb/yr				
Milk Cows	3.0	1,081	21.6	7,866				
Dry Cows	0.3	78	1.4	519				
Support Stock (Hollers, Calves, and Bulls)	1.2	422	5.2	1,899				
Large Heifers	0.0	0	0.0	0				
Medium Heifers	0.0	0	0.0	0				
Small Heifers	0.0	0	0.0	0				
Calves	0.0	0	0.0	0				
Buils	0.0	0	0.0	.0				
Total	4.4	1,581	28.2	10,284				

F	Feed Handling and Storage								
	Daily PE (ib-VOC/day) Annual PE (ib-VOC/yr)								
Corn Emissions	12.1	4,419							
Alfalfa Emissions	0.0	0							
Wheat Emissions	14.6	5,322							
TMR	103.8	37,898							
Total	130.5	47,640							

	Total Daily Post-Project Potential to Emit (Ib/day)									
Permit	NOx	SOx	PM10	co	VOC	NH3	H23			
Miking Parlor	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	1.2	0.0			
Cow Housing	0.0	0.0	65.6	0.0	86.7	439 0	0.0			
Liquid Manure	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.3	141.0	6.8			
Sold Manure	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.4	28.2	0.0			
Feed Handling	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	130.5	00	0.0			
Total	0.0	0.0	65,6	0.0	246.4	609.4	6.6			

	Total Annual Post-Project Potential to Emit (lb/yr)									
Permit	NOx	SOx	PM10	CO	VOC	NH3	H29			
Milling Parlor	٥	0	0	0	920	437	0			
Cow Housing	0	٥	23,974	0	31.659	160.230	0			
Liquid Manure	0	0	0	0	8.140	51,435	2.476			
Sold Manure	0	0	0	0	1.581	10,284	0			
Feed Handing	٥	0	0	0	47,640		0			
Total	•	0	23,974	۰	89,940	222,384	2,476			

Calculations for milking parlor:

Annual PE = (# milk cows) = (EF2 | lb-pollutant/hd-yr)

Daily PE = (Annual PE lb/yr) + (365 day/yr)

Calculations for cow housing:

See detailed calculations under Cow Housing Calculations worksheet.

Calculations for liquid manure and solid manure handling:

Annual PE = [(# milk cows) x (EF1 lb-pollutant/hd-yr)] + [(# dry cows) x (EF2 lb-pollutant/hd-yr)] + [(# large heiders) x (EF2 lb-pollutant/hd-yr)] + [(# medium heiders) x (EF2 lb-pollutant/hd-yr)] + [(# small heiders) x (EF2 lb-pollutant/hd-yr)] + [(# cabws) x (EF2 lb-pollutant/hd-yr)] + [(# bulls) x (EF2 lb-pollutant/hd-yr)]

Daily PE = (Annual PE lb/yr) + (365 day/yr)

The H2S emission factor is assumed to be 10% of the NH3 lagoon/storage pond(s) emission factor, for each respective herd size.

Calculations for silage emissions:

Annual PE = (EF2) = (area ft²) = (0.0929 m²/ft²) = (8,760 hr/yr) = (60 min/hr) = 2.20E-9 lb/µg

Daily PE = (Annual PE lb/yr) + (365 day/yr)

Calculation for TMR emissions:

Annual PE = {# cows} = {EF2} x (0.658 m3) x (525,600 min/yr) x (2.20E-9 fb/µg)

Daily PE = (Annual PE lb/yr) + (365 day/yr)

Calves are not included in TMR calculation.

Major Source Emissions (lb/yr)								
Permit	NOx	SOx	PM10	CO	VOC			
Mik Parior	0	0	0	0	-0			
Cow Housing	0	0	0	0	٥			
Liquid Manure	0	0	0	0	3,917			
Solid Manure	0	0	0	0	0			
Feed Hand≦ng	0	۰	0	0	0			
Total	٥	0	0	0	3,917			

Appendix E PE Calculations for Permit Units C-5489-5-0 and -6-0

PE Calculations for Permit Unit C-5489-5-0 (Agricultural Gasoline Dispensing Operation)

Equipment Description:

C-5489-5-0:

AGRICULTURAL GASOLINE DISPENSING OPERATION WITH ONE 500 GALLON PHASE I EXEMPT ABOVEGROUND STORAGE TANK AND 1 FUELING POINT WITH 1 PHASE II EXEMPT GASOLINE DISPENSING NOZZLE (IMPLEMENTS OF HUSBANDRY)

Assumptions

- VOC is the only pollutant emitted from this operation
- Annual PE is calculated based on 2,400 gallon per year (per applicant from Project C-1042654)

Emission Factors

These following emission factors were obtained from Appendix A - Emission Factors For Gasoline Stations published by CAPCOA Air Toxic "Hot Spots" Program in the Gasoline Service Station Industrywide Risk Assessment Guidelines dated December 1997.

8.4 lb/1,000 gal Tank filling loss (no control)
2.1 lb/1,000 gal Breathing loss
8.4 lb/1,000 gal Vehicle fueling loss (no control)
0.61 lb/1,000 gal Spillage
19.5 lb/1,000 gal Total VOC losses

Potential to Emit (PE)

		Annual Poten	tial to	Emit (PE)		
VOC	2,400	(lb/1000 gal) x	19.5	(gal/year) =	47	(lb/year)

PE Calculations for Permit Unit C-5489-6-0 (Agricultural Emergency IC Engine)

Equipment Description:

C-5489-6-0:

300 BHP CUMMINS MODEL NRT06 DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY

IC ENGINE POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR

Assumptions

• Non-emergency operating schedule: 100 hours/year (Current PTO C-5489-6-0)

Fuel heating value:Thermal efficiency of engine:

137,000 Btu/gal

commonly ≈ 35%

Conversion factor:

1.34 bhp/kw

Emission Factors

Current permit C-5489-6-0 does not list emission factors for the existing IC engine; therefore the following conservative emission factors will be used.

	Emission Factors for IC Existing Engine							
Pollutant	Emission Factor (g/bhp-hr)	Emission Factor (g/kw-hr)	Source					
NOx	10.23	13.72	Carl Moyer Program 2017 Guidelines (120+ bhp, 1980-1987 Model Year)					
SOx	0.0051	0.0068	Ultra-Low Sulfur Fuel Mass Balance Equation Below					
PM ₁₀	0.40	0.53	Carl Moyer Program 2017 Guidelines (120+ bhp, 1980-1987 Model Year)					
CO	3.04	4.08	AP-42, Table 3.3-1 (10/96)					
VOC	1.14	1.53	AP-42, Table 3.3-1 (10/96)					

$$\frac{0.000015 \ lb-S}{lb-fuel} \times \frac{7.1 \ lb-fuel}{gallon} \times \frac{2 \ lb-SO_2}{1 \ lb-S} \times \frac{1 \ gal}{137,000 \ Btu} \times \frac{1 \ bhp \ input}{0.35 \ bhp \ out} \times \frac{2,542.5 \ Btu}{bhp-hr} \times \frac{453.6 \ g}{lb} = 0.0051 \qquad \frac{g-SO_x}{bhp-hr} \times \frac{1}{1000 \ bhp-hr} \times \frac{1}{10000 \ bhp-hr} \times \frac{1}{1000 \ bhp-hr} \times \frac{1}{10000 \ bhp-hr} \times \frac{1}{1000 \ bhp-hr} \times \frac{1}{10000 \ bhp-hr} \times \frac{1}{1000 \ bhp-hr} \times \frac{1}{10000 \ bhp-hr} \times \frac{1}{1000 \ bhp-hr} \times \frac{1}{10000$$

Potential to Emit (PE)

Potential to Emit (PE) for C-5489-6-0								
Pollutant	Emissions Factor (g/bhp-hr)	Rating (bhp)	Annual Hours of Operation (hrs/year)	Annual PE (lb/yr)				
NOx	10.23	300	100	677				
SOx	0.0051	300	100	0				
PM ₁₀	0.40	300	100	26				
СО	3.04	300	100	201				
VOC	1.14	300	100	75				

Appendix F QNEC Calculations

Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The Quarterly Net Emissions Change is used to complete the emission profile screen for the District's PAS database. The QNEC shall be calculated as follows:

QNEC = PE2 - PE1, where:

QNEC = Quarterly Net Emissions Change for each emissions unit, lb/qtr

PE2 = Post-Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr

PE1 = Pre-Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr

Since this is a new unit, PE1 = 0 for all pollutants. Thus, QNEC = PE2 (lb/qtr).

Using the PE2 (lb/yr) values calculated in Section VII.C.2, Quarterly PE2 is calculated as follows:

PE2_{quarterly} = PE2 (lb/yr) ÷ 4 quarters/year = QNEC

QNEC							
Pollutant	PE2 Total (lb/yr)	Quarterly PE2 (lb/qtr)					
NOx	704	176.0					
SOx	1	0.3					
PM ₁₀	14	3.5					
CO	88	22.0					
VOC	25	6.3					

Appendix G BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 3.1.1

Last Update: 9/10/2013

Emergency Diesel IC Engine

Pollutant	Achieved in Practice or in the SIP	Technologically Feasible	Alternate Basic Equipment
СО	Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range*		
NOX	Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range*		
PM10	0.15 g/bhp-hr or the Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range, whichever is more stringent. (ATCM)		
sox	Very low sulfur diesel fuel (15 ppmw sulfur or less)		
voc	Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range*		

^{*}Note: The certification requirements are as follows: for emergency engines $50 \le bhp < 75$ - Tier 4 Interim; for emergency engines $75 \le bhp < 750$ - Tier 3; for emergency engines $250 \le bhp < 750$ - Tier 2.

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in a state implementation plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State Implementation Plan.

Top Down BACT Analysis for the Emergency IC Engine

BACT Guideline 3.1.1 (September 10, 2013) applies to emergency diesel IC engines. In accordance with the District BACT policy, information from that guideline will be utilized without further analysis.

1. BACT Analysis for NO_X and VOC Emissions:

a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

BACT Guideline 3.1.1 identifies only the following option:

Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range

To determine the latest applicable Tier level, the following EPA and state regulations were consulted:

- 40 CFR Part 89 Control of Emissions from New and In-Use Nonroad Compression Ignition Engines
- 40 CFR Part 1039 Control of Emissions from New and In-Use Nonroad Compression-Ignition Engines
- Title 17 CCR, Section 93115 Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines

40 CFR Parts 89 and 1039, which apply only to nonroad engines, do not directly apply because the proposed emergency engine does not meet the definition of a nonroad engine. Therefore, only Title 17 CCR, Section 93115 applies directly to the proposed emergency engine.

Title 17 CCR, Section 93115.6(a)(3)(A) (CARB stationary diesel engine ATCM) applies to emergency standby diesel-fired engines and requires that such engines be certified to the emission levels in Table 1 (below).

Table 1: Emission Standards for New Stationary Emergency Standby Diesel-Fueled CI Engines g/bhp-hr (g/kW-hr)								
Maximum Engine Power	Tier	Model Year(s)	PM	NMHC+NOx	со			
50 ≤ HP < 75	2	2007	0.15 (0.20)	5.6 (7.5)	3.7 (5.0)			
(37 ≤ kW < 56)	4i	2008+	0.15 (0.20)	3.5 (4.7)	3.7 (3.0)			
75 ≤ HP < 100	2	2007	0.15 (0.20)	5.6 (7.5)	3.7 (5.0)			
(56 ≤ kW < 75)	3	2008+	0.15 (0.20)	3.5 (4.7)	3.7 (5.0)			
100 ≤ HP < 175	3	2007	0.15 (0.20)	3.0 (4.0)	3.7 (5.0)			
(75 ≤ kW < 130)	<u> </u>	2008+	0.15 (0.20)					
175 ≤ HP < 300	3	2007	0.15 (0.20)	3.0 (4.0)	2.6 (3.5)			
(130 ≤ kW < 225)	3	2008+	7 0.15 (0.20)	3.0 (4.0)	2.0 (3.5)			
300 ≤ HP < 600	3	2007	0.45 (0.20)	20(40)	26 (25)			
(225 ≤ kW < 450)	3	2008+	0.15 (0.20)	3.0 (4.0)	2.6 (3.5)			
600 ≤ HP ≤ 750	3	2007	0.15 (0.20)	3.0 (4.0)	26 (25)			
(450 ≤ kW ≤ 560)	<u> </u>	2008+	0.15 (0.20)	3.0 (4.0)	2.6 (3.5)			
HP > 750	2	2007	0.15 (0.20)	A 9 (6 A)	26 (25)			
(kW > 560)	2	2008+	0.15 (0.20)	4.8 (6.4)	2.6 (3.5)			

Therefore, the most stringent applicable emission standards are those listed in the CARB ATCM (Table 1).

For IC engines rated greater than or equal to 50 hp and less than 75 hp, the highest Tier required is Tier 4i. For IC engines rated greater than or equal to 75 hp and less than 750 hp, the highest Tier required is Tier 3. For engines rated equal to or greater than 750 hp, the highest Tier required is Tier 2.

Also, please note that neither the state ATCM nor the Code of Federal Regulations require the installation of IC engines meeting a higher Tier standard than those listed above for emergency applications, due to concerns regarding the effectiveness of the exhaust emissions controls during periods of short-term operation (such as testing operational readiness of an emergency engine).

The proposed engine is rated at 768 hp. Therefore, the applicable control technology option is EPA Tier 2 certification.

b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

The control option listed in Step 1 is not technologically infeasible.

c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

No ranking needs to be done because there is only one control option listed in Step 1.

d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

The applicant has proposed the only control option remaining under consideration. Therefore, a cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

e. Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for NOx and VOC will be the use of an EPA Tier 2 certified engine. The applicant is proposing such a unit. Therefore, BACT will be satisfied.

2. BACT Analysis for PM₁₀ Emissions:

a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

BACT Guideline 3.1.1 identifies only the following option:

 0.15 g/bhp-hr or the Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range, whichever is more stringent. (ATCM)

The latest EPA Tier Certification level for an engine of the proposed model year and horsepower rating is Tier 2. Refer to the Top-Down BACT analysis for NOx for a discussion regarding the determination of the EPA Tier level to be considered.

Please note Tier 2 IC engines do not have a PM emission standard that is more stringent than 0.15 g/hp-hr. Additionally, the ATCM requires a PM emission standard of 0.15 g/hp-hr for all new emergency diesel IC engines.

Therefore, a PM/PM10 emission standard of 0.15 g/hp-hr is required as BACT.

b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

The control option listed in Step 1 is not technologically infeasible.

c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

No ranking needs to be done because there is only one control option listed in Step 1.

d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

The applicant has proposed the only control option remaining under consideration. Therefore, a cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

e. Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for PM10 is emissions of 0.15 g/hp-hr or less. The applicant is proposing an engine that meets this requirement. Therefore, BACT will be satisfied.

Appendix H RMR Summary and AAQA

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Risk Management Review

To:

Ramon Norman - Permit Services

From:

Will Worthley- Technical Services

Date:

March 21, 2018

Facility Name:

Flint Dairy

Location:

6511 Flint Ave, Hanford

Application #(s):

C-5489-9-0

Project #:

C-1180769

A. RMR SUMMARY

RMR Summary								
Units	Prioritization Score	Acute Hazard Index	Chronic Hazard Index	Maximum Individual Cancer Risk	T-BACT Required?	Special Permit Requirements?		
Unit 9-0 (768 BHP DICE)	NA¹	NA ²	0.00	3.67E-07	No	Yes		
Project Totals	NA¹	NA ²	0.00	3.67E-07		SANGE OF SERVE		
Facility Totals	>1	0.00	0.00	3.67E-07				

Prioritization for this unit was not conducted since it has been determined that all diesel-fired IC engines will result in a prioritization score greater than 1.0.

Proposed Permit Requirements

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the following shall be included as requirements for:

Unit # 9-0

- 1. The PM10 emissions rate shall not exceed 0.08 g/bhp-hr based on US EPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure.
- 2. The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction.
- This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per calendar year.

²Acute Hazard Index was not calculated since there is no risk factor or the risk factor is so low that it has been determined to be insignificant for this type of unit.

B. RMR REPORT

I. Project Description

Technical Services received a revised request on March 20, 2018, to perform an Ambient Air Quality Analysis and a Risk Management Review for a diesel-fired emergency IC engine rated at 768 bhp and powering an electrical generator.

II. Analysis

Toxic emissions for this proposed unit were calculated and provided by the processing engineer for diesel particulate matter and input into the San Joaquin Valley APCD's Hazard Assessment and Reporting Program (SHARP). Prioritization for this unit was not conducted since it has been determined that all diesel-fired IC engines will result in a prioritization score greater than 1.0. The prioritization score for this proposed facility was greater than 1.0 (see RMR Summary Table). Therefore, a refined health risk assessment was required. The AERMOD model was used, with the parameters outlined below and meteorological data for 2010-2014 from Hanford to determine the dispersion factors (i.e., the predicted concentration or X divided by the normalized source strength or Q) for a receptor grid. These dispersion factors were input into the SHARP Program, which then used the Air Dispersion Modeling and Risk Tool (ADMRT) of the Hot Spots Analysis and Reporting Program Version 2 (HARP 2) to calculate the chronic and acute hazard indices and the carcinogenic risk for the project.

The following parameters were used for the review:

Analysis Parameters Unit 9-0						
Source Type	Point	Location Type	Rural			
Stack Height (m)	4.27	Closest Receptor (m)	150			
Stack Diameter. (m)	0.20	Type of Receptor	Residential			
Stack Exit Velocity (m/s)	56.74	Max Hours per Year	100			
Stack Exit Temp. (°K)	751	Diesel PM10 (lb/yr)	14			

Criteria Pollutant Modeling Results*

Technical Services performed modeling for criteria pollutants CO, NO_x, SO_x, and PM10 with the emission rates below:

11=:4-#	NO _x (NO _x (Lbs.)		SO _x (Lbs.)		CO (Lbs.)		PM ₁₀ (Lbs.)	
Unit #	Hr.	Yr.	Hr.	Yr.	Hr.	Yr.	Hr.	Yr.	
9-0	N/A	704	N/A	1	N/A	88	N/A	14	

The results from the Criteria Pollutant Modeling are as follows:

Flint Dairy, Project 1180769 Page 3 of 3

	Background Site	1 Hour	3 Hours	8 Hours	24 Hours	Annual
CO	Hanford-Irwin (2016)	NA ¹	Х	NA ¹	X	Х
NOx	Hanford-Irwin (2016)	NA ¹	Х	Х	Х	Pass
SO _x	Fresno – Garland (2016)	NA ¹	NA ¹	Х	NA ¹	Pass
PM ₁₀	Hanford-Irwin (2016)	Х	X	Х	NA ¹	Pass ²
PM _{2.5}	Hanford-Irwin (2016)	Х	Х	Х	NA ¹	Pass ³

^{*}Results were taken from the attached PSD spreadsheet.

III. Conclusion

The acute and chronic indices are below 1.0 and the cancer risk factor associated with the project is less than 1.0 in a million. In accordance with the District's Risk Management Policy, the project is approved without Toxic Best Available Control Technology (T-BACT).

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the permit requirements listed on page 1 of this report must be included for this proposed unit.

These conclusions are based on the data provided by the applicant and the project engineer. Therefore, this analysis is valid only as long as the proposed data and parameters do not change.

The emissions from the proposed equipment will not cause or contribute significantly to a violation of the State and National AAQS.

IV. Attachments

- A. RMR request from the project engineer
- B. Additional information from the applicant/project engineer
- C. Facility Summary
- D. AAQA Summary

¹The project is an intermittent source as defined in APR-1920. In accordance with APR-1920, compliance with short-term (i.e., 1-hour, 3-hour, 8-hour and 24-hour) standards is not required.

²The criteria pollutants are below EPA's level of significance as found in 40 CFR Part 51.165 (b)(2).

³The court has vacated EPA's PM_{2.5} SILs. Until such time as new SIL values are approved, the District will use the corresponding PM₁₀ SILs for both PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} analyses.