

JUN 19 2018

Erik Niewald
Keyes Community Services District
5601 7th Street
Keyes, CA 95328

Re: Notice of Preliminary Decision - Authority to Construct
Facility Number: N-9482
Project Number: N-1181451

Dear Mr. Niewald:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of Keyes Community Services District's application for an Authority to Construct for the installation of a diesel-fired emergency engine powering an electrical generator, at 4290 Jessup Road, Keyes, CA.

The notice of preliminary decision for this project will be published approximately three days from the date of this letter. After addressing all comments made during the 30-day public notice period, the District intends to issue the Authority to Construct. Please submit your written comments on this project within the 30-day public comment period, as specified in the enclosed public notice.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Fred Cruz of Permit Services at (209) 557-6456.

Sincerely,



Arnaud Marjollet
Director of Permit Services

AM:fjc

Enclosures

cc: Tung Le, CARB (w/ enclosure) via email

Seyed Sadredin
Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

Northern Region
4800 Enterprise Way
Modesto, CA 95356-8718
Tel: (209) 557-6400 FAX: (209) 557-6475

Central Region (Main Office)
1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue
Fresno, CA 93726-0244
Tel: (559) 230-6000 FAX: (559) 230-6061

Southern Region
34946 Flyover Court
Bakersfield, CA 93308-9725
Tel: 661-392-5500 FAX: 661-392-5585

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District
Authority to Construct Application Review
Emergency Standby IC Engine

Facility Name:	Keyes Community Services District	Date:	May 29, 2018
Mailing Address:	5601 7 th Street Keyes, CA 95328	Engineer:	Fred Cruz
Contact Person:	Erik Niewald	Lead Engineer:	James Harader
Telephone:	(209) 462-0717 (209) 993-7326 cell		
Email:	erikn@cjfranke.com		
Application Nos:	N-9482-1-0		
Project No:	N-1181457		
Deemed Complete:	May 2, 2018		

I. Proposal:

Keyes Community Services District submitted an Authority to Construct application to install a diesel-fired emergency engine powering an electrical generator.

II. Applicable Rules:

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule (2/18/2016)
Rule 2410 Prevention of Significant Deterioration (6/16/2011)
Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits (6/21/2001)
Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (4/14/1999)
Rule 4002 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (5/20/2004)
Rule 4101 Visible Emissions (2/17/2005)
Rule 4102 Nuisance (12/17/1992)
Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration (12/17/1992)
Rule 4701 Stationary Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 1 (8/21/2003)
Rule 4702 Stationary Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 2 (11/14/2013)
Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds (12/17/1992)
CH&SC 41700 Health Risk Assessment
CH&SC 42301.6 School Notice
Title 17 CCR, Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines
California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)
Public Resources Code 21000-21177: California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)
California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15000-15387: CEQA Guidelines

III. Project Location:

The facility is located at 4290 Jessup Road, Keyes, CA. The project is not located within 1,000 feet of a K-12 school. Therefore, the school notification requirements of CH&SC Section 42301.6 are not required.

IV. Process Description:

The 896 bhp MTU diesel-fired emergency engine powers an electrical generator.

V. Equipment Listing:

N-9482-1-0: 896 BHP MTU MODEL 12V1600 DS600 DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY ENGINE (TIER 2 CERTIFIED) POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR.

VI. Emission Control Technology Evaluation:

The applicant has proposed to install a Tier 2 certified diesel-fired IC engine that is fired on very low-sulfur diesel fuel.

The proposed engine meets the latest Tier Certification requirements for emergency standby engines. Therefore, this engine meets the latest ARB/EPA emissions standards for diesel particulate matter, hydrocarbons, nitrogen oxides, and carbon monoxide (see Appendix C for a copy of the emissions data sheet and/or the ARB/EPA executive order).

The use of very low-sulfur diesel fuel (0.0015% by weight sulfur maximum) reduces SO_x emissions by over 99% from standard diesel fuel.

VII. Emission Calculations:

A. Assumptions:

Operating schedule:	24 hours/day, 50 hours/year
Density of diesel fuel:	7.1 lb/gal
EPA F-factor:	9051 dscf/MMBtu (corrected to 60° F)
PM ₁₀ fraction of diesel exhaust is 96% (Reference - CARB, 1988)	
Fuel heating value:	137,000 Btu/gal
BHP to Btu/hr conversion:	2542.5 Btu/hp-hr
Thermal efficiency of engine commonly ≈ 35%	
Fuel rate:	40.0 gal/hr @ 100% load

B. Emission Factors:

The engine manufacturer supplied the emissions factor for NO_x and VOC emissions as a combined emission factor. This engine has a certified NO_x + VOC emissions of 4.77 g/bhp-hr (6.4 g/kW-hr). It will be assumed the NO_x + VOC emission factor is split 95% NO_x and 5% VOC (per the Carl Moyer program).

The applicant supplied the combined NO_x + VOC emissions factor as 4.77 g/bhp-hr. Therefore, the NO_x and VOC emissions factors are calculated as follows:

$$\text{NO}_x \text{ g/bhp-hr} = 4.77 \text{ g/bhp-hr} \times 0.95$$

$$\text{NO}_x = 4.53 \text{ g/bhp-hr}$$

$$\text{VOC (g/bhp-hr)} = 4.77 \text{ g/bhp-hr} \times 0.05$$

$$\text{VOC} = 0.24 \text{ g/bhp-hr}$$

Pollutant	Emission Factor (g/bhp-hr)	Source
NO _x	4.53	Engine manufacturer
CO	2.61	Engine manufacturer
VOC	0.24	Engine manufacturer
PM ₁₀	0.149	Engine manufacturer
SO _x	0.005	See calculation below

The emission factor for SO_x may be calculated based on the current CARB standard for diesel sulfur content, which is 15 ppm by weight.

$$\frac{0.000015 \text{ lb-S}}{\text{lb-fuel}} \times \frac{7.1 \text{ lb-fuel}}{\text{gallon}} \times \frac{2 \text{ lb-SO}_2}{1 \text{ lb-S}} \times \frac{1 \text{ gal}}{137,000 \text{ Btu}} \times \frac{1 \text{ bhp input}}{0.35 \text{ bhp out}} \times \frac{2,542.5 \text{ Btu}}{\text{bhp-hr}} \times \frac{453.6 \text{ g}}{\text{lb}} = 0.0051 \frac{\text{g-SO}_x}{\text{bhp-hr}}$$

C. Calculations:

1. Pre-Project Emissions (PE1)

This emergency engine is considered as new emission units and PE1 will equal zero for all pollutants.

2. Post Project PE (PE2)

The potential to emit emissions from each emergency IC engine is based on the maximum operating capacity of the engine for 24 hours per day. The following calculation for NO_x emissions is representative of emission calculations for all pollutants. Annual emissions are calculated using 50 hours per year for non-emergency operation for this engine.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{NO}_x: & \quad 4.53 \text{ g/hp-hr} \times 896 \text{ hp} \times \text{lb}/453.6 \text{ g} \\ \text{NO}_x: & \quad 8.95 \text{ lb/hr, } 214.8 \text{ lb/day, } 447 \text{ lb/yr} \\ \text{CO:} & \quad 5.16 \text{ lb/hr, } 123.7 \text{ lb/day, } 258 \text{ lb/yr} \\ \text{VOC:} & \quad 0.47 \text{ lb/hr, } 11.4 \text{ lb/day, } 24 \text{ lb/yr} \\ \text{PM}_{10}: & \quad 0.29 \text{ lb/hr, } 7.1 \text{ lb/day, } 15 \text{ lb/yr} \\ \text{SO}_x: & \quad 0.01 \text{ lb/hr, } 0.2 \text{ lb/day, } 0.49 \text{ lb/yr}^1 \end{aligned}$$

¹ Per District Policy APR 1105, Use of Significant Figures, annual emissions less than 0.5 lbs are set to zero.

	NO _x	CO	VOC	PM ₁₀	SO _x
Daily PE	214.8	123.7	11.4	7.1	0.2
Annual PE	447	258	24	15	0

3. Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1):

Pursuant to Section 4.9 of District Rule 2201, the Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1) is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs at the Stationary Source and the quantity of Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site. This is a new facility and SSPE1 emissions will equal zero for all pollutants.

4. Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2):

Pursuant to Section 4.10 of District Rule 2201, the Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2) is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs, except for emissions units proposed to be shut down as part of the Stationary Project, at the Stationary Source and the quantity of Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

SSPE2 (lb/yr)					
Permit No	NO _x	CO	VOC	PM ₁₀	SO _x
N-9482-1-0 (ATC)	447	258	24	15	0
ERC	0	0	0	0	0
Total	447	258	24	15	0

5. Major Source Determination:

Pursuant to Section 3.24 of District Rule 2201, a Major Source is a stationary source with post project emissions or a Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2), equal to or exceeding one or more of the following threshold values. However, Section 3.24.2 states, “for the purposes of determining major source status, the SSPE2 shall not include the quantity of emission reduction credits (ERC) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.” This facility does not have ERCs, which have been banked at the source; therefore, SSPE2 does not have to be adjusted.

Major Source Determination					
Pollutant	SSPE1 (lb/yr)	SSPE2 (lb/yr)	Major Source Threshold (lb/yr)	Existing Major Source?	Becoming a Major Source?
NO _x	0	447	20,000	No	No
SO _x	0	0	140,000	No	No
PM ₁₀	0	15	140,000	No	No
CO	0	258	200,000	No	No
VOC	0	24	20,000	No	No

As seen in the table above, the facility is not an existing Major Source and does not become a Major Source as a result of this project.

Rule 2410 Major Source Determination:

The facility or the equipment evaluated under this project is not listed as one of the categories specified in 40 CFR 52.21 (b) (1) (I). Therefore, the following PSD Major Source thresholds are applicable.

PSD Major Source Determination (tons/year)						
	NO ₂	VOC	SO ₂	CO	PM	PM ₁₀
Estimated Facility PE before Project Increase	0	0	0	0	0	0
PSD Major Source Thresholds	250	250	250	250	250	250
PSD Major Source ? (Y/N)	N	N	N	N	N	N

As shown above, the facility is not an existing major source for PSD for any pollutant. Therefore, the facility is not an existing major source for PSD.

6. Baseline Emissions (BE):

The equipment is considered as a new emissions unit and the baseline emissions will equal zero for all pollutants.

7. SB 288 Major Modification:

The purpose of Major Modification calculations is to determine the following:

- A. If Best Available Control Technology (BACT) is triggered for a new or modified emission unit that results in a Major Modification (District Rule 2201, Section 4.1.3); and

B. If a public notification is triggered (District Rule 2201, Section 5.4.1).

Based on the post-project stationary source potential to emit calculations (less onsite Emission Reduction Credit's) in this document, the facility is not a Major Source for any pollutant. Therefore, the proposed project cannot trigger a SB288 modification and no further calculations are required.

8. Federal Major Modification

This facility is not a Major Source for any pollutant. Therefore, this project cannot constitute a Federal Major Modification and no further discussion is required.

9. Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The QNEC is calculated solely to establish emissions that are used to complete the District's PAS emissions profile screen. Detailed QNEC calculations are included in Appendix C.

10. Rule 2410 – Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) Applicability Determination:

Rule 2410 applies to pollutants for which the District is in attainment or for unclassified pollutants. The pollutants addressed in the PSD applicability determination are listed as follows:

- NO₂ (as a primary pollutant)
- SO₂ (as a primary pollutant)
- CO
- PM
- PM₁₀
- Greenhouse gases (GHG): CO₂, N₂O, CH₄, HFCs, PFCs, and SF₆

The first step of this PSD applicability evaluation consists of determining whether the facility is an existing PSD Major Source. This facility is not an existing PSD Major source (See Section VII.C.5 of this document). In the case the facility is NOT an existing PSD Major Source, the second step of the PSD evaluation is to determine if the project, by itself, would be a PSD major source.

Potential to Emit of attainment/unclassified pollutant for New or Modified Emission Units vs PSD Significant Emission Increase Thresholds

As a screening tool, the potential to emit from all new and modified units is compared to the PSD significant emission increase thresholds, and if total potential to emit from all new and modified units is below this threshold, no further analysis will be needed.

PSD Major Source Determination: Potential to Emit (tons/yr)						
	NO ₂	VOC	SO ₂	CO	PM	PM ₁₀
Total PE from New and Modified Units	0.22	0.01	0	0.13	0.008	0.008
PSD Major Source threshold	250	250	250	250	250	250
New PSD Major Source?	N	N	N	N	N	N

As demonstrated above, because the project has a total potential to emit from all new and modified emission units below the PSD significant emission increase thresholds, this project is not subject to the requirements of Rule 2410 due to a significant emission increase and no further discussion is required.

VII. Compliance

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule

A. Best Available Control Technology (BACT):

1. BACT Applicability:

BACT requirements are triggered on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis and on an emissions unit-by-emissions unit basis for the following*:

- a) Any new emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding 2.0 pounds per day,
- b) The relocation from one Stationary Source to another of an existing emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding 2.0 pounds per day,
- c) Modifications to an existing emissions unit with a valid Permit to Operate resulting in an AIPE exceeding 2.0 pounds per day, and/or
- d) Any new or modified emissions unit, in a stationary source project, which results in a Major Modification.

*Except for CO emissions from a new or modified emissions unit at a Stationary Source with an SSPE2 of less than 200,000 pounds per year of CO.

a. New emissions units – PE > 2.0 lb/day

These engines will be considered as new emission units at the time of installation and the daily emissions from this engine is compared to the BACT thresholds in the following table:

New Emissions Unit BACT Applicability				
Pollutant	Daily Emissions for unit -1-0 (lb/day)	BACT Threshold (lb/day)	SSPE2 (lb/yr)	BACT Triggered?
NO _x	214.8	> 2.0	N/A	Yes
SO _x	0.2	> 2.0	N/A	No
PM ₁₀	7.1	> 2.0	N/A	Yes
CO	123.7	> 2.0 and SSPE2 ≥ 200,000 lb/yr	258	No
VOC	11.4	> 2.0	N/A	Yes

BACT will be triggered for NO_x, PM₁₀ and VOC emissions for this engine.

b. Relocation of emissions units – PE > 2.0 lb/day

This engine is not being relocated from one stationary source to another stationary source as a result of this project.

c. Modification of emissions units – Adjusted Increase in Permitted Emissions (AIPE) > 2.0 lb/day

This engine is not being modified. Therefore, BACT is not triggered for the modification of emissions units with an AIPE > 2.0 lb/day.

d. Major Modification

This project does not constitute a Major Modification. Therefore, BACT is not triggered for a Major Modification.

2. BACT Guideline:

BACT Guideline 3.1.1, which appears in Appendix D of this report, covers diesel-fired emergency IC engines.

3. Top Down BACT Analysis

Per District Policy APR 1305, Section IX, “A top down BACT analysis shall be performed as a part of the Application Review for each application subject to the BACT requirements pursuant to the District’s NSR Rule for source categories or classes covered in the BACT Clearinghouse, relevant information under each of the following steps may be simply cited from the Clearinghouse without further analysis.”

Pursuant to the attached top down BACT Analysis, which appears in Appendix D of this report, BACT is satisfied with:

NO_x, VOC: Use of engine that meets the latest available Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower*

*Note: The test certification requirements for emergency engines are as follows: $50 \leq \text{bhp} < 75$ – Tier 4I; $75 \leq \text{bhp} < 750$ – Tier 3; ≥ 750 bhp – Tier 2.

PM₁₀: Use of an engine with emissions of 0.15 g/bhp-hr, or less, or an engine that meets the latest available Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower

B. Offsets:

Since emergency standby IC engines are exempt from the offset requirements of Rule 2201, per Section 4.6.2, offsets are not required for this engine, and offset calculations are not required.

C. Public Notification:

1. Applicability:

Public noticing is required for:

- a. New Major Sources, which is a new facility that also becomes a Major Source,
- b. Major Modifications,
- c. Any new emissions unit with a Potential to Emit greater than 100 pounds during any one day for any one pollutant,
- d. Any project which results in the offset thresholds being surpassed, and/or
- e. Any project with an SSIPE of greater than 20,000 lb/year for any pollutant,
- f. Title V Significant Modification.

a. New Major Source

A New Major Source is a new facility, which also becomes a major source. This is a new facility and does not become a Major Source from this project; public noticing is not required for this project for New Major Source purposes.

b. Major Modification

As demonstrated previously in Sections VII.C.7 and VII.C.8, this project does not constitute a Major Modification; therefore, public noticing for Major Modification purposes is not required.

c. PE > 100 lb/day

The Daily PE for this new emissions unit is compared to the daily PE Public Notice Thresholds in the following table:

PE > 100 lb/day Public Notice Thresholds			
Pollutant	Daily PE for unit -1-0 (lb/day)	Public Notice Threshold (lb/day)	Public Notice Triggered?
NO _x	214.8	100	Yes
SO _x	0.2	100	No
PM ₁₀	7.1	100	No
CO	123.7	100	Yes
VOC	11.4	100	No

As detailed in the preceding table, NO_x and CO emissions from this engine exceed the pollutant public notice threshold and public noticing is required for this project.

d. Offset Threshold

The following table compares the SSPE1 and SSPE2 with the offset thresholds to determine if any offset thresholds have been surpassed.

Offset Threshold				
Pollutant	SSPE1 (lb/yr)	SSPE2 (lb/yr)	Offset Threshold (lb/yr)	Public Notice Required?
NO _x	0	447	20,000	No
SO _x	0	0	54,750	No
PM ₁₀	0	15	29,200	No
CO	0	258	200,000	No
VOC	0	24	20,000	No

As detailed in the preceding table, there are no offset thresholds surpassed with this project. Therefore, public noticing is not required for this project

e. SSIPE > 20,000 lb/year

Public notification is required for any permitting action that results in a Stationary Source Increase in Permitted Emissions (SSIPE) of more than 20,000 lb/year of any affected pollutant. According to District policy, the SSIPE is calculated as the Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2) minus the Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1), i.e. SSIPE = SSPE2 – SSPE1. The values for SSPE2 and SSPE1 are calculated according to Rule 2201, Sections 4.9 and 4.10, respectively. The SSIPE is compared to the SSIPE Public Notice thresholds in the following table:

SSIPE Public Notice Threshold					
Pollutant	SSPE2 (lb/yr)	SSPE1 (lb/yr)	SSIPE (lb/yr)	SSIPE Threshold (lb/yr)	Public Notice Required?
NO _x	447	0	447	20,000	No
SO _x	0	0	0	20,000	No
PM ₁₀	15	0	15	20,000	No
CO	258	0	258	20,000	No
VOC	24	0	24	20,000	No

As detailed in the preceding table, there are no SSIPE thresholds surpassed with this project. Therefore, public noticing is not required for exceeding the SSIPE thresholds.

f. Title V Significant Modification:

This facility is not a Major Source and has not been issued a Title V permit. Therefore, public noticing for a Title V Significant Modification is not required.

2. Public Notice Action

As discussed above, this project results in emissions exceeding the 100-lb/day threshold for NO_x and CO emissions from this emergency engine. Therefore, public notice is required for this project.

D. Daily Emissions Limits

Daily Emissions Limitations (DELs) and other enforceable conditions are required by Section 3.15 to restrict a unit's maximum daily emissions, to a level at or below the emissions associated with the maximum design capacity. Per Sections 3.15.1 and 3.15.2, the DEL must be contained in the latest ATC and contained in or enforced by the latest PTO and enforceable, in a practicable manner, on a daily basis. DELs are also required to enforce the applicability of BACT. For this emergency standby IC engine, the DELs are stated in the form of emission factors, the maximum engine horsepower rating, and the maximum operational time of 24 hours per day. Therefore, the following conditions will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

N-9482-1-0:

- {4771} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 4.53 g-NO_x/bhp-hr, 2.61 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.24 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201 and 17 CCR 93115]

- {4772} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.149 g-PM₁₀/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115]
- {4258} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]

E. Compliance Assurance:

1. Source Testing

Pursuant to District Policy APR 1705, source testing is not required for emergency standby IC engines to demonstrate compliance with Rule 2201.

2. Monitoring

Monitoring is not required to demonstrate compliance with Rule 2201.

3. Recordkeeping

Recordkeeping is required to demonstrate compliance with the offset, public notification, and daily emission limit requirements of Rule 2201. As required by District Rule 4702, *Stationary Internal Combustion Engines - Phase 2*, this IC engine is subject to recordkeeping requirements. Recordkeeping requirements, in accordance with District Rule 4702, will be discussed in Section VIII, *District Rule 4702*, of this evaluation.

4. Reporting

Reporting is not required to ensure compliance with Rule 2201.

F. Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA)

An AAQA shall be conducted for the purpose of determining whether a new or modified Stationary Source will cause or make worse a violation of an air quality standard. The District's Technical Services Division conducted the required analysis. Refer to Appendix E of this document for the AAQA summary sheet.

The proposed location is in an attainment area for NO_x, CO, and SO_x. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for NO_x, CO, or SO_x.

The proposed location is in a non-attainment area for the state's PM₁₀ as well as federal and state PM_{2.5} thresholds. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}.

Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits

Since this facility's potential to emit does not exceed any major source thresholds of Rule 2201, this facility is not a major source and Rule 2520 does not apply.

Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)

40 CFR 60 Subpart IIII - Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engines

The District has not been delegated the authority to implement Subpart IIII requirements for non-Major Sources; therefore, no requirements shall be included on the permit.

Rule 4002 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants

40 CFR 63 Subpart ZZZZ - National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Emissions (RICE)

The District has not been delegated the authority to implement NESHAP regulations for Area Source requirements for non-Major Sources; therefore, no requirements shall be included on the permit.

Rule 4101 Visible Emissions

Rule 4101 states that no air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]

Rule 4102 Nuisance

Rule 4102 states that no air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere, which causes a public nuisance. Public nuisance conditions are not expected as a result of this operation provided the equipment is well maintained. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere, which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]

California Health & Safety Code 41700 (Health Risk Assessment)

District Policy APR 1905 - Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources (dated 3/2/01) specifies that for an increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification, the District perform an analysis to determine the possible impact to the nearest resident or worksite.

Technical Services performed a Risk Management Review for the installation of a 896 bhp diesel-fired emergency IC engine powering an electric generator. (See RMR Summary in Appendix E).

Toxic emissions for this proposed unit were calculated and provided by the processing engineer for particulate matter and input into the San Joaquin Valley APCD's Hazard Assessment and Reporting Program (SHARP). Prioritization for this unit was not conducted since it has been determined that all diesel-fired IC engines will result in a prioritization score greater than 1.0. Therefore, a refined health risk assessment was required. The AERMOD model was used, with the parameters outlined below and meteorological data for 2010-2014 from Modesto to determine the dispersion factors for a receptor grid. These dispersion factors were input into the SHARP Program, which then used the Air Dispersion Modeling and Risk Tool (ADMRT) of the Hot Spots Analysis and Reporting Program Version 2 (HARP 2) to calculate the chronic and acute hazard indices and the carcinogenic risk for the project.

RMR Summary						
Units	Prioritization Score	Acute Hazard Index	Chronic Hazard Index	Maximum Individual Cancer Risk	T-BACT Required?	Special Permit Requirements?
Unit 1-0 (896 bhp DICE)	N/A ¹	N/A ²	0.00	1.02E-06	Yes	Yes
Project Totals	N/A ¹	N/A ²	0.00	1.02E-06		
Facility Totals	>1	0.00	0.00	1.02E-06		

¹ Prioritization for this unit was not conducted since it has been determined that all diesel-fired IC engines will result in a prioritization score greater than 1.0.

² Acute Hazard Index was not calculated since there is no risk factor or the risk factor is so low that it has been determined to be insignificant for this type of unit.

The acute and chronic indices are below 1.0 and the cancer risk associated with the project is greater than 1.0 in a million, but less than 20 in a million. **In accordance with the District's Risk Management Policy, the project is approved with Toxic Best Available Control Technology (T-BACT).**

BACT for toxic emission control (T-BACT) is required if the cancer risk exceeds one in one million. As demonstrated above, T-BACT is required for this project because the HRA indicates that the risk is above the District's thresholds for triggering T-BACT requirements.

For this project T-BACT is triggered for PM₁₀. T-BACT is satisfied with BACT for PM₁₀ (see Appendix D), which is the use of an engine with PM₁₀ emissions of 0.15 g/bhp-hr, or less. Therefore, compliance with the District's Risk Management Policy is expected.

The following conditions will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance with the RMR:

Units N-9482-1-0:

1. The PM₁₀ emissions rate shall not exceed 0.149 g/bhp-hr based on US EPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure.

2. The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction.
3. This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year.

Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration

Particulate matter emissions from the engine will be less than or equal to the rule limit of 0.1 grain per cubic foot of gas at dry standard conditions as shown by the following:

$$0.149 \frac{g - PM_{10}}{bhp - hr} \times \frac{1 bhp - hr}{2,542.5 Btu} \times \frac{10^6 Btu}{8,578 dscf} \times \frac{0.35 Btu_{out}}{1 Btu_{in}} \times \frac{15.43 grain}{g} = 0.04 \frac{grain - PM}{dscf}$$

Since 0.04 grain-PM/dscf is ≤ to 0.1 grain per dscf, compliance with Rule 4201 is expected.

Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC permit to ensure compliance:

- {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration.
[District Rule 4201]

Rule 4701 Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 1

District Rule 4701 is applicable to diesel-fired emergency standby or emergency IC engines. Rule 4702 is at least as stringent as this rule in all aspects; therefore, compliance with that rule will ensure compliance with Rule 4701.

Rule 4702 Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 2

The following table demonstrates how the proposed engine will comply with the requirements of District Rule 4702.

District Rule 4702 Requirements Emergency Standby IC Engines	Proposed Method of Compliance with District Rule 4702 Requirements
Operation of emergency standby engines is limited to 100 hours or less per calendar year for non-emergency purposes, verified by the use of a non-resettable elapsed operating time meter.	The Air Toxic Control Measure for Stationary Compression Ignition Engines (Stationary ATCM) limits these engines for maintenance and testing purposes to 50 hours/year. Thus, compliance is expected.
Emergency standby engines cannot be used to reduce the demand for electrical power when normal electrical power line service has not failed, or to produce power for the electrical distribution system, or in conjunction with a voluntary utility demand reduction program or interruptible power contract.	The following conditions will be included on each permit: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • {3807} An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably

	<p>unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rules 4701 and 4702]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • {3808} This engine shall not be used to produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rules 4701 and 4702]
<p>The owner/operator must monitor the operational characteristics of each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier.</p>	<p>The following condition will be included on the permit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • {3478} During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rules 4701 and 4702]
<p>Records of the total hours of operation of the emergency standby engine, type of fuel used, purpose for operating the engine, all hours of non-emergency and emergency operation, and support documentation must be maintained. All records shall be retained for a period of at least five years, shall be readily available, and be made available to the APCO upon request.</p>	<p>The following conditions will be included on the permit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • {3496} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115] • The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the type of fuel purchased. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115] • {3475} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five years, and shall be made available for District

	inspection upon request. [District Rules 4701 and 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------

Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds

Rule 4801 requires that sulfur compound emissions (as SO₂) shall not exceed 0.2% by volume. Using the ideal gas equation, the sulfur compound emissions are calculated as follows:

$$\text{Volume SO}_2 = (n \times R \times T) \div P$$

n = moles SO₂

T (standard temperature) = 60 °F or 520 °R

$$R (\text{universal gas constant}) = \frac{10.73 \text{ psi} \cdot \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb} \cdot \text{mol} \cdot \text{°R}}$$

$$\frac{0.000015 \text{ lb} - S}{\text{lb} - \text{fuel}} \times \frac{7.1 \text{ lb}}{\text{gal}} \times \frac{64 \text{ lb} - \text{SO}_2}{32 \text{ lb} - S} \times \frac{1 \text{ MMBtu}}{9,051 \text{ scf}} \times \frac{1 \text{ gal}}{0.137 \text{ MMBtu}} \times \frac{\text{lb} - \text{mol}}{64 \text{ lb} - \text{SO}_2} \times \frac{10.73 \text{ psi} - \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb} - \text{mol} - \text{°R}} \times \frac{520 \text{°R}}{14.7 \text{ psi}} \times 1,000,000 = 1.0 \text{ ppmv}$$

Since 1.0 ppmv is ≤ 2,000 ppmv, each engine is expected to comply with Rule 4801. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]

California Health & Safety Code 42301.6 (School Notice)

The District has verified that this site is not located within 1,000 feet of a school. Therefore, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code 42301.6, a school notice is not required.

Title 17 California Code of Regulations (CCR), Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines

The following requirements apply to new engines (those installed after 1/1/2005):

Title 17 CCR Section 93115 Requirements for New Emergency IC Engines Powering Electrical Generators	Proposed Method of Compliance with Title 17 CCR Section 93115 Requirements
Emergency engines must be fired on CARB diesel fuel, or an approved alternative diesel fuel.	<p>The applicant has proposed the use of CARB certified diesel fuel. The proposed permit condition, requiring the use of CARB certified diesel fuel, is included on each permit.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • {4258} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]

<p>The engine must meet the emission standards in Table 1 of the ATCM for the specific power rating and model year of the proposed engine.</p>	<p>The applicant has proposed the use of an engine that is certified to the latest EPA Tier Certification standards for the applicable horsepower range, guaranteeing compliance with the emission standards of the ATCM. Additionally, the proposed diesel PM emissions rate is less than or equal to 0.15 g/bhp-hr.</p>
<p>The engine may not be operated more than 50 hours per year for maintenance and testing purposes unless the PM emissions are ≤ 0.01 g/bhp-hr, then the engine is allowed 100 hours per year. Emissions from this engine are certified at 0.05 g/bhp-hr, therefore the engine is allowed 50 hours.</p>	<p>The following conditions will be included on the permit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.149 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115] • {4920} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 2201, 4102, and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115]
<p>A non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours shall be installed upon engine installation, or by no later than January 1, 2005, on all engines subject to all or part of the requirements of sections 93115.6, 93115.7, or 93115.8(a) unless the District determines on a case-by-case basis that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator's compliance history.</p>	<p>The following condition will be included on the permit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • {4749} This engine shall be equipped with a non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours, unless the District determines that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator's compliance history. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
<p>An owner or operator shall maintain monthly records of the following: emergency use hours of operation; maintenance and testing hours of operation; hours of operation for emission testing; initial start-up testing hours; hours of operation for all other uses; and the type of fuel used. All records shall be retained for a minimum of 36 months.</p>	<p>The following conditions will be included on the permit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • {3496} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• {4263} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the type of fuel purchased. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]• {3475} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires each public agency to adopt objectives, criteria, and specific procedures consistent with CEQA Statutes and the CEQA Guidelines for administering its responsibilities under CEQA, including the orderly evaluation of projects and preparation of environmental documents. The San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (District) adopted its *Environmental Review Guidelines* (ERG) in 2001.

The basic purposes of CEQA are to:

- Inform governmental decision-makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of proposed activities.
- Identify the ways that environmental damage can be avoided or significantly reduced.
- Prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment by requiring changes in projects through the use of alternatives or mitigation measures when the governmental agency finds the changes to be feasible.
- Disclose to the public the reasons why a governmental agency approved the project in the manner the agency chose if significant environmental effects are involved.

The District is a Responsible Agency for the project because of its discretionary approval power over the project via its Permits Rule (Rule 2010) and New Source Review Rule (Rule 2201), (CEQA Guidelines §15381). The District's engineering evaluation of the project (this document) demonstrates that compliance with District rules and permit conditions would reduce Stationary Source emissions from the project to levels below the District's significance thresholds for criteria pollutants. The District has determined that no additional findings are required (CEQA Guidelines §15096(h)).

Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Significance Determination

District is a Responsible Agency

It is determined that another agency has prepared an environmental review document for the project. The District is a Responsible Agency for the project because of its discretionary approval power over the project via its Permits Rule (Rule 2010) and New Source Review Rule (Rule 2201), (CEQA Guidelines §15381). As a Responsible Agency, the District is limited to mitigating or avoiding impacts for which it has statutory authority. The District does not have statutory authority for regulating greenhouse gas emissions. The District has

determined that the applicant is responsible for implementing greenhouse gas mitigation measures, if any, imposed by the Lead Agency.

Indemnification Agreement/Letter of Credit Determination

According to District Policy APR 2010 (CEQA Implementation Policy), when the District is the Lead or Responsible Agency for CEQA purposes, an indemnification agreement and/or a letter of credit may be required. The decision to require an indemnity agreement and/or a letter of credit is based on a case-by-case analysis of a particular project's potential for litigation risk, which in turn may be based on a project's potential to generate public concern, its potential for significant impacts, and the project proponent's ability to pay for the costs of litigation without a letter of credit, among other factors.

The criteria pollutant emissions and toxic air contaminant emissions associated with the proposed project are not significant, and there is minimal potential for public concern for this particular type of facility/operation. Therefore, an Indemnification Agreement and/or a Letter of Credit will not be required for this project in the absence of expressed public concern.

IX. Recommendation:

Compliance with all applicable prohibitory rules and regulations is expected. Issue the Authority to Construct permit subject to the conditions on the attached permit.

X. Billing Information:

Permit Number	Fee Schedule	Fee Description
N-9482-1-0	3020-10-E	896 bhp (\$659)

- Appendix A - Authority to Construct permit N-9482-1-0
- Appendix B - Engine Emissions Certification Sheet
- Appendix C - QNEC Calculations
- Appendix D - BACT Top-down Analysis
- Appendix E - RMR Summary

Appendix A

**Authority to Construct Permit
N-9482-1-0**

San Joaquin Valley
Air Pollution Control District

AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT

ISSUANCE DATE: DRAFT

PERMIT NO: N-9482-1-0

LEGAL OWNER OR OPERATOR: KEYES COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT

MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 5601
7TH STREET
KEYES, CA 95328

LOCATION: 4290 JESSUP ROAD
KEYES, CA 95307

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:

896 BHP MTU MODEL 12V1600 DS600 DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY ENGINE (TIER 2 CERTIFIED) POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR.

CONDITIONS

1. {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]
2. {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]
3. {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]
4. {1898} The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]
5. {4749} This engine shall be equipped with a non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours, unless the District determines that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator's compliance history. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
6. {4258} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]
7. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 4.53 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 2.61 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.24 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201 and 17 CCR 93115]

CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

YOU MUST NOTIFY THE DISTRICT COMPLIANCE DIVISION AT (209) 557-6400 WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED AND PRIOR TO OPERATING THE EQUIPMENT OR MODIFICATIONS AUTHORIZED BY THIS AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT. This is NOT a PERMIT TO OPERATE. Approval or denial of a PERMIT TO OPERATE will be made after an inspection to verify that the equipment has been constructed in accordance with the approved plans, specifications and conditions of this Authority to Construct, and to determine if the equipment can be operated in compliance with all Rules and Regulations of the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. Unless construction has commenced pursuant to Rule 2050, this Authority to Construct shall expire and application shall be cancelled two years from the date of issuance. The applicant is responsible for complying with all laws, ordinances and regulations of all other governmental agencies which may pertain to the above equipment.

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Director / APCO

Arnaud Marjolle, Director of Permit Services

N-9482-1-0 May 29 2018 11:30AM - CRUZJF - Joint Inspection NOT Required

8. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.149 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115]
9. {4261} This engine shall be operated and maintained in proper operating condition as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emissions control system supplier. [District Rule 4702]
10. {3478} During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rule 4702]
11. {3807} An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
12. {3808} This engine shall not be used to produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
13. {3496} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
14. {4920} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 2201, 4102, and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115]
15. {4263} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the type of fuel purchased. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
16. {3475} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

DRAFT

Appendix B

Engine Emissions Certification Sheet




UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
2018 MODEL YEAR
CERTIFICATE OF CONFORMITY
WITH THE CLEAN AIR ACT

OFFICE OF TRANSPORTATION
AND AIR QUALITY
ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48105

Certificate Issued To: MTU America, Inc.
(U.S. Manufacturer or Importer)
Certificate Number: JMDDL21.0ZWR-004

Effective Date:
12/18/2017

Expiration Date:
12/31/2018


Byron J. Bunker, Division Director
Compliance Division

Issue Date:
12/18/2017

Revision Date:
N/A

Model Year: 2018
Manufacturer Type: Original Engine Manufacturer
Engine Family: JMDDL21.0ZWR

Mobile/Stationary Indicator: Stationary
Emissions Power Category: kW>560
Fuel Type: Diesel
After Treatment Devices: No After Treatment Devices Installed
Non-after Treatment Devices: Electronic Control

Pursuant to Section 111 and Section 213 of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. sections 7411 and 7547) and 40 CFR Part 60, and subject to the terms and conditions prescribed in those provisions, this certificate of conformity is hereby issued with respect to the test engines which have been found to conform to applicable requirements and which represent the following engines, by engine family, more fully described in the documentation required by 40 CFR Part 60 and produced in the stated model year.

This certificate of conformity covers only those new compression-ignition engines which conform in all material respects to the design specifications that applied to those engines described in the documentation required by 40 CFR Part 60 and which are produced during the model year stated on this certificate of the said manufacturer, as defined in 40 CFR Part 60.

It is a term of this certificate that the manufacturer shall consent to all inspections described in 40 CFR 1068 and authorized in a warrant or court order. Failure to comply with the requirements of such a warrant or court order may lead to revocation or suspension of this certificate for reasons specified in 40 CFR Part 60. It is also a term of this certificate that this certificate may be revoked or suspended or rendered void *ab initio* for other reasons specified in 40 CFR Part 60.

This certificate does not cover engines sold, offered for sale, or introduced, or delivered for introduction, into commerce in the U.S. prior to the effective date of the certificate.

Revision	a				
Change Index					

Motordaten

engine data

	Genset	Marine	O & G	Rail	C & I
Application	X				
Engine model	12V1600G80S				
Application group	3D				
Emission Stage/Optimisation	EPA Stationary Emerg Tier 2 (40CFR60)				
Test cycle	D2				
Fuel sulphur content [ppm]	372				
mg/mN³ values base on residual oxygen value of [%]	measured				

Not to exceed Werte*

not to exceed values*

Cycle point	[-]	n1	n2	n3	n4	n5	n6	n7	n8
Power (P/PN)	[-]	1	0,75	0,5	0,25				
Power	[kW]	667	501	335	167				
Speed (n/nN)	[-]	1	1	1	1				
Speed	[rpm]	1800	1800	1800	1800				
Exhaust back pressure	[mbar]	84	60	37	17				
NOx	[g/kWh]	9,1	7,9	7,0	7,8				
	[mg/mN³]	2165	1599	1218	984				
CO	[g/kWh]	0,7	0,9	1,2	3,0				
	[mg/mN³]	159	183	199	374				
HC	[g/kWh]	0,31	0,34	0,46	1,04				
	[mg/mN³]	72	68	79	129				
O2	[%]	10,4	11,8	12,7	14,2				
Particulate measured	[g/kWh]	0,06	0,17	0,18	0,21				
	[mg/mN³]	13	35	30	26				

* Calculated values are not proven by tests and therefore the accuracy cannot be guaranteed.

Emissions data measurement procedures are consistent with those described in the applicable rules and standards.

The NOx, CO, HC and PM emission data tabulated here were taken from a single new engine under the test conditions shown above and are valid for the following conditions:

- Ambient air pressure 1 bar
- Air intake temperature approx. 25°C
- Rel. Humidity 30%-60%
- New Engine
- New standard- air filter
- Exhaust gas back pressure according the given value in this EDS
- Fuel according to EN 590 or US EPA 40CFR89
- Coolant and Lubricants according MTU Fuels and Lubricants Specification

The nominal emissions data shown is subject to instrumentation, measurement, facility and engine to engine variations. Emissions data is based on single operating points and thus cannot be used to compare to EPA regulations which use values based on a weighted cycle. Emissions data may vary depending on the type of exhaust gas aftertreatment that may be installed on the engine, therefore it is suggested that the engine manufacturer be contacted directly for further information.

Field emission test data are not guaranteed to these levels. Actual field test results may vary due to test site conditions, installation, fuel specification, test procedures, and instrumentation. Over time deterioration may occur which may have an impact on emission levels. Engine operation with excessive air intake or exhaust restriction beyond published maximum limits, or with improper maintenance, may result in elevated emission levels.


MTU Friedrichshafen GmbH has made efforts to ensure that the information in this data sheet is accurate, but reserves the right to amend specifications and information without notice and without obligation or liability. No liability for any errors, facts or opinions is accepted. Customers must satisfy themselves as to the suitability of this product for their application. No responsibility for any loss as a result of any person placing reliance on any material contained in this data sheet will be accepted.

MTU Friedrichshafen GmbH reserves all rights in the information contained in this data sheet. It shall not be reproduced, made available to a third party or otherwise used in any way whatsoever.

GASEOUS EMISSIONS DATA MEASUREMENTS ARE CONSISTENT WITH THOSE DESCRIBED IN EPA 40 CFR PART 60 SUBPART IIII FOR MEASURING HC, CO, PM, AND NOX.

Locality	Agency	Regulation	Tier/Stage	Max. Limit G/(kW -HR)		
				T2	T3	
U.S. (INCL CALIF)	EPA	Stationary	Emergency Stationary			
			Tier 2 (>560kW)	NOx+		
			Tier 3 (<560kW)	NMHC:	6,4	4,0
				CO:	3,5	3,5
				PM:	0,20	0,20

** No standard test, To be measured on demand.

						Benennung/Title	
				MTU Friedrichshafen GmbH		Emissionsdatenblatt	
						Emission Data Sheet	
				Datum/Date		Name/Name	
				24.10.2011		Lenhof	
				Bereitgestellt/Drawn by		Geprüft/Checked	
				24.10.2011		30.06.2012	
				Original/Dozt		TKK	
				Schneemann		Zeichnungs-Nr./Drawing No.	
						EDS 1600 0116	
Änderung/Revision	Änderung/Modifikation	Datum/Date	Name/Name				
a	„not-to-exceed“-Werte hinzugefügt	29.04.15	Lenhof				

Fuer diese technische Unterlage behalten wir uns alle Rechte vor. Sie darf ohne unsere Zustimmung weder vervielfaeltigt, noch Dritten zugaenglich gemacht, noch in anderer Weise missbraeuchlich verwertet werden.
We reserve all rights to this technical document. Without our prior permission it shall not be reproduced, made available to any third party or otherwise misused in any way whatsoever.

Appendix C

QNEC Calculations

Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The Quarterly Net Emissions Change is used to complete the emission profile screen for the District's PAS database. The QNEC shall be calculated as follows:

QNEC = PE2 - PE1, where:

- QNEC = Quarterly Net Emissions Change for each emissions unit, lb/qtr
- PE2 = Post-Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr
- PE1 = Pre-Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr

Using the emission calculations in this evaluation, PE2_{quarterly} and BE_{quarterly} can be calculated as follows:

This calculation is required for application emission profile purposes. It is assumed that each unit's annual emissions are evenly distributed throughout the year as follows: $\Delta PE \text{ (lb/qtr)} = PE \text{ (lb/yr)} \div 4 \text{ qtr/yr}$

N-9482-1-0:

- $\Delta PE_{NOx} = 447 \text{ lb-NOx/year} - 0 \text{ lb-NOx/year} = 447 \text{ lb/year}$
- $\Delta PE_{CO} = 258 \text{ lb-CO/year} - 0 \text{ lb-CO/year} = 258 \text{ lb/year}$
- $\Delta PE_{VOC} = 24 \text{ lb-VOC/year} - 0 \text{ lb-VOC/year} = 24 \text{ lb/year}$
- $\Delta PE_{PM10} = 15 \text{ lb-PM10/year} - 0 \text{ lb-PM10/year} = 15 \text{ lb/year}$
- $\Delta PE_{SOx} = 0 \text{ lb-SOx/year} - 0 \text{ lb-SOx/year} = 0 \text{ lb/year}$

	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4
NOx	111	112	112	112
CO	64	64	65	65
VOC	6	6	6	6
PM₁₀	3	4	4	4
SOx	0	0	0	0

Appendix D

BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 3.1.1
Last Update: 9/10/2013
Emergency Diesel IC Engine

Pollutant	Achieved in Practice or in the SIP	Technologically Feasible	Alternate Basic Equipment
CO	Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range*		
NOx	Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range*		
PM ₁₀	0.15 g/bhp-hr or the Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range, whichever is more stringent. (ATCM)		
SOx	Very low sulfur diesel fuel (15 ppmw sulfur or less)		
VOC	Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range*		

*Note: The certification requirements are as follows: for emergency engines $50 \leq \text{bhp} < 75$ - Tier 4 Interim; for emergency engines $75 \leq \text{bhp} < 750$ - Tier 3; for emergency engines ≥ 750 bhp - Tier 2.

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in a state implementation plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State Implementation Plan.

Top Down BACT Analysis for the Emergency IC Engine

BACT Guideline 3.1.1 (September 10, 2013) applies to emergency diesel IC engines. In accordance with the District BACT policy, information from that guideline will be utilized without further analysis.

1. BACT Analysis for NO_x and VOC Emissions:

a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

BACT Guideline 3.1.1 identifies only the following option:

- *Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range*

To determine the latest applicable Tier level, the following EPA and state regulations were consulted:

- 40 CFR Part 89 – Control of Emissions from New and In-Use Nonroad Compression – Ignition Engines
- 40 CFR Part 1039 – Control of Emissions from New and In-Use Nonroad Compression-Ignition Engines
- Title 17 CCR, Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines

40 CFR Parts 89 and 1039, which apply only to nonroad engines, do not directly apply because the proposed emergency engine does not meet the definition of a nonroad engine. Therefore, only Title 17 CCR, Section 93115 applies directly to the proposed emergency engine.

Title 17 CCR, Section 93115.6(a)(3)(A) (CARB stationary diesel engine ATCM) applies to emergency standby diesel-fired engines and requires that such engines be certified to the emission levels in Table 1 (below).

Table 1: Emission Standards for New Stationary Emergency Standby Diesel-Fueled CI Engines g/bhp-hr (g/kW-hr)

Maximum Engine Power	Tier	Model Year(s)	PM	NMHC+NOx	CO
50 ≤ HP < 75 (37 ≤ kW < 56)	2	2007	0.15 (0.20)	5.6 (7.5) 3.5 (4.7)	3.7 (5.0)
	4i	2008+			
75 ≤ HP < 100 (56 ≤ kW < 75)	2	2007	0.15 (0.20)	5.6 (7.5) 3.5 (4.7)	3.7 (5.0)
	3	2008+			
100 ≤ HP < 175 (75 ≤ kW < 130)	3	2007	0.15 (0.20)	3.0 (4.0)	3.7 (5.0)
		2008+			
175 ≤ HP < 300 (130 ≤ kW < 225)	3	2007	0.15 (0.20)	3.0 (4.0)	2.6 (3.5)
		2008+			
300 ≤ HP < 600 (225 ≤ kW < 450)	3	2007	0.15 (0.20)	3.0 (4.0)	2.6 (3.5)
		2008+			
600 ≤ HP ≤ 750 (450 ≤ kW ≤ 560)	3	2007	0.15 (0.20)	3.0 (4.0)	2.6 (3.5)
		2008+			
HP > 750 (kW > 560)	2	2007	0.15 (0.20)	4.8 (6.4)	2.6 (3.5)
		2008+			

Therefore, the most stringent applicable emission standards are those listed in the CARB ATCM (Table 1).

For IC engines rated greater than or equal to 50 hp and less than 75 hp, the highest Tier required is Tier 4i. For IC engines rated greater than or equal to 75 hp and less than 750 hp, the highest Tier required is Tier 3. For engines rated equal to or greater than 750 hp, the highest Tier required is Tier 2.

Also, please note that neither the state ATCM nor the Code of Federal Regulations require the installation of IC engines meeting a higher Tier standard than those listed above for emergency applications, due to concerns regarding the effectiveness of the exhaust emissions controls during periods of short-term operation (such as testing operational readiness of an emergency engine). The proposed engine is rated at 896 hp. Therefore, the applicable control technology option is EPA Tier 2 certification.

b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

The control option listed in Step 1 is not technologically infeasible.

c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

Ranking is not necessary since there is only one control option listed in Step 1.

d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

The applicant has proposed the only control option remaining under consideration. Therefore, a cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

e. Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for NOx and VOC will be the use of an EPA Tier 2 certified engine. The applicant is proposing such units. Therefore, BACT will be satisfied.

2. BACT Analysis for PM₁₀ Emissions:

a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

BACT Guideline 3.1.1 identifies only the following option:

- 0.15 g/bhp-hr or the latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range, whichever is more stringent. (ATCM)

b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

The control option listed in Step 1 is not technologically infeasible.

c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

Ranking is not necessary since there is only one control option listed in Step 1.

d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

The applicant has proposed the only control option remaining under consideration. Therefore, a cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

e. Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for PM₁₀ will be the use of an engine with emissions of 0.15 g/bhp-hr, or less. Therefore, BACT will be satisfied.

Appendix E

RMR Summary

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Risk Management Review

Date: May 2, 2018
 To: Fred Cruz – Permit Services
 From: Eddie Arredondo – Technical Services
 Facility Name: Keyes Community Service District
 Location: 4290 Jessup Road, Keyes
 Application No: N-9482-1-0
 Project No: N-1181457

A. RMR SUMMARY

RMR Summary						
Units	Prioritization Score	Acute Hazard Index	Chronic Hazard Index	Maximum Individual Cancer Risk	T-BACT Required?	Special Permit Requirements?
Unit 1-0 (896 bhp DICE)	N/A ¹	N/A ²	0.00	1.02E-06	Yes	Yes
Project Totals	N/A ¹	N/A ²	0.00	1.02E-06		
Facility Totals	>1	0.00	0.00	1.02E-06		

¹ Prioritization for this unit was not conducted since it has been determined that all diesel-fired IC engines will result in a prioritization score greater than 1.0.

² Acute Hazard Index was not calculated since there is no risk factor or the risk factor is so low that it has been determined to be insignificant for this type of unit.

Proposed Permit Requirements

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the following shall be included as requirements for:

Unit # 1-0

1. The PM10 emissions rate shall not exceed 0.149 g/bhp-hr based on US EPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure.
2. The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction.
3. This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year.

T-BACT is required for this unit because of emissions of Diesel Particulate Matter which is a PM-10.

B. RMR REPORT

I. Project Description

Technical Services received a request on May 1, 2018, to perform a Risk Management Review and Ambient Air Quality Analysis for a proposed installation of a 896 bhp MTU 12V1600 DS600 diesel fired emergency IC engine powering an electrical generator.

II. Analysis

Toxic emissions for this proposed unit were calculated and provided by the processing engineer for particulate matter and input into the San Joaquin Valley APCD's Hazard Assessment and Reporting Program (SHARP). Prioritization for this unit was not conducted since it has been determined that all diesel-fired IC engines will result in a prioritization score greater than 1.0. Therefore, a refined health risk assessment was required. The AERMOD model was used, with the parameters outlined below and meteorological data for 2010-2014 from Modesto to determine the dispersion factors (i.e., the predicted concentration or X divided by the normalized source strength or Q) for a receptor grid. These dispersion factors were input into the SHARP Program, which then used the Air Dispersion Modeling and Risk Tool (ADMRT) of the Hot Spots Analysis and Reporting Program Version 2 (HARP 2) to calculate the chronic and acute hazard indices and the carcinogenic risk for the project.

The following parameters were used for the review:

Analysis Parameters Unit 1-0			
Source Type	Point	Location Type	Rural
Stack Height (m)	3.05	Closest Receptor (m)	60.96
Stack Diameter. (m)	0.15	Type of Receptor	Business
Stack Exit Velocity (m/s)	120.61	PM ₁₀ (lb/hr)	0.29
Stack Exit Temp. (°K)	698.00	PM ₁₀ (lb/yr)	15

Technical Services performed modeling for criteria pollutants CO, NO_x, SO_x, and PM₁₀ with the emission rates below:

Unit #	NO _x (Lbs.)		SO _x (Lbs.)		CO (Lbs.)		PM ₁₀ (Lbs.)	
	Hr.	Yr.	Hr.	Yr.	Hr.	Yr.	Hr.	Yr.
1-0	N/A ¹	447	N/A ¹	0.5	N/A ¹	258	N/A ¹	15

¹ The project is an intermittent source as defined in APR-1920. In accordance with APR-1920, compliance with short-term (i.e., 1-hour, 3-hour, 8-hour and 24-hour) standards is not required.

The results from the Criteria Pollutant Modeling are as follows:

Criteria Pollutant Modeling Results*

	Background Site	1 Hour	3 Hours	8 Hours	24 Hours	Annual
CO	Modesto-14 Street (2016)	NA ¹	X	NA ¹	X	X
NO _x	Turlock (2016)	NA ¹	X	X	X	Pass
SO _x	Fresno – Garland (2016)	NA ¹	NA ¹	X	NA ¹	Pass
PM ₁₀	Modesto-14 Street (2016)	X	X	X	NA ¹	Pass ²
PM _{2.5}	Modesto-14 Street (2016)	X	X	X	NA ¹	Pass ³

*Results were taken from the attached PSD spreadsheet.

¹ The project is an intermittent source as defined in APR-1920. In accordance with APR-1920, compliance with short-term (i.e., 1-hour, 3-hour, 8-hour and 24-hour) standards is not required.

² The criteria pollutants are below EPA's level of significance as found in 40 CFR Part 51.165 (b)(2).

³ The court has vacated EPA's PM_{2.5} SILs. Until such time as new SIL values are approved, the District will use the corresponding PM₁₀ SILs for both PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} analyses.

III. Conclusion

The acute and chronic indices are below 1.0 and the cancer risk associated with the project is greater than 1.0 in a million, but less than 20 in a million. **In accordance with the District's Risk Management Policy, the project is approved with Toxic Best Available Control Technology (T-BACT).**

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the permit requirements listed on page 1 of this report must be included for this proposed unit.

These conclusions are based on the data provided by the applicant and the project engineer. Therefore, this analysis is valid only as long as the proposed data and parameters do not change.

The emissions from the proposed equipment will not cause or contribute significantly to a violation of the State and National AAQS.

Attachments

- A. RMR request from the project engineer
- B. Additional information from the applicant/project engineer
- C. Facility Summary