



APR - 1 2019

Ed Fikse
Milk-Time Dairy Farms
12576 Road 17
Madera, CA 93637

Re: Notice of Preliminary Decision - Authority to Construct
Facility Number: C-5161
Project Number: C-1190001

Dear Mr. Fikse:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of Milk-Time Dairy Farms' application for an Authority to Construct for the installation of a 762 bhp Tier 2 certified diesel-fired emergency standby internal combustion (IC) engine powering an electrical generator, at 12519 Road 16, Madera, CA.

The notice of preliminary decision for this project will be published approximately three days from the date of this letter. After addressing all comments made during the 30-day public notice period, the District intends to issue the Authority to Construct. Please submit your written comments on this project within the 30-day public comment period, as specified in the enclosed public notice.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Ramon Norman of Permit Services at (559) 230-5909.

Sincerely,

Arnaud Marjollet
Director of Permit Services

AM:rn

Enclosures

cc: Brian Clerico, CARB (w/ enclosure) via email
Alex Riordan, F&R Ag Services, Inc. (w/ enclosure) via email

Samir Sheikh
Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

Northern Region
4800 Enterprise Way
Modesto, CA 95356-8718
Tel: (209) 557-6400 FAX: (209) 557-6475

Central Region (Main Office)
1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue
Fresno, CA 93726-0244
Tel: (559) 230-6000 FAX: (559) 230-6061

Southern Region
34946 Flyover Court
Bakersfield, CA 93308-9725
Tel: 661-392-5500 FAX: 661-392-5585

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District

Authority to Construct

Application Review

Diesel-Fired Emergency Standby IC Engine

Facility Name: Milk-Time Dairy Farms

Date: March 25, 2019

Mailing Address: 12576 Road 17
Madera, CA 93637

Engineer: Ramon Norman

Lead Engineer: Jerry Sandhu

Contact Person: Ed Fikse

Telephone: (559) 479-2618

E-mail: Edfiske@yahoo.com

Consultant: Alex Riordan, F&R Ag Services, Inc.

Telephone: (209) 250-2471

Cell Phone: (559) 313-3584

E-mail: ariordan@fragservices.com

Application #: C-5161-12-0

Project #: C-1190001

Deemed Complete: February 7, 2018

I. Proposal

Milk-Time Dairy Farms has requested an Authority to Construct (ATC) permit to install a 762 bhp (intermittent) diesel-fired emergency standby internal combustion (IC) engine powering an electrical generator at the dairy to replace an existing 465 bhp diesel-fired emergency standby IC engine (Permit Unit C-5161-9-0). The draft ATC permit for the proposed IC engine is included in Appendix A. The Permit to Operate (PTO) for the existing IC engine being replaced is included in Appendix B.

II. Applicable Rules

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule (2/18/16)

Rule 2410 Prevention of Significant Deterioration (6/16/11)

Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits (6/21/01)

Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (4/14/99)

Rule 4002 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (5/20/04)

Rule 4101 Visible Emissions (2/17/05)

Rule 4102 Nuisance (12/17/92)

Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration (12/17/92)

Rule 4701 Internal Combustion Engines - Phase 1 (8/21/03)

Rule 4702 Internal Combustion Engines (11/14/13)

Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds (12/17/92)

CH&SC 41700 Health Risk Assessment

CH&SC 42301.6 School Notice

Title 17 CCR, Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines
Public Resources Code 21000-21177: California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)
California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15000-15387: CEQA Guidelines

III. Project Location

The equipment will be located at 12519 Road 16, Madera, CA.

The District has verified that the equipment is not located within 1,000 feet of the outer boundary of a K-12 school. Therefore, the public notification requirement of California Health and Safety Code 42301.6 is not applicable to this project.

IV. Process Description

The emergency standby IC engine will power an electrical generator. The engine will replace an older uncertified emergency standby engine that is no longer reliable. Other than emergency standby operation, the engine may be operated up to 100 hours per year for maintenance and testing purposes.

V. Equipment Listing

PTO Equipment Description of Existing IC Engine to be Replaced:

C-5161-9-0: 465 BHP CUMMINS MODEL NTTA-855-GS DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR

ATC Equipment Description of Replacement IC Engine:

C-5161-12-0: 762 BHP (INTERMITTENT) CATERPILLAR MODEL C15 TIER 2 CERTIFIED DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR (REPLACEMENT FOR PERMIT UNIT C-5161-9)

VI. Emission Control Technology Evaluation

The applicant has proposed to install a Tier 2 certified diesel-fired IC engine that is fired on very low-sulfur diesel fuel.

The proposed engine meets the latest Tier Certification requirements for emergency standby engines; therefore, the engine meets the latest ARB/EPA emissions standards for diesel particulate matter, hydrocarbons, nitrogen oxides, and carbon monoxide (see Appendix C for the certified emissions for the engine (EPA Family # JCPXL15.2NZS) from

the EPA Large Engine Certification Data, available at <https://www.epa.gov/compliance-and-fuel-economy-data/annual-certification-data-vehicles-engines-and-equipment>).

The use of CARB certified diesel fuel (0.0015% by weight sulfur maximum) reduces SO_x emissions by over 99% from standard diesel fuel.

VII. General Calculations

A. Assumptions

Assumptions for the Emergency Standby IC Engines

- Emergency operating schedule: 24 hours/day
- Non-emergency operating schedule: 100 hours/year (District Rule 4702 and Current PTO C-5161-9-0)
- Density of diesel fuel: 7.1 lb/gal
- EPA F-factor (adjusted to 60 °F): 9,051 dscf/MMBtu
- Fuel heating value: 137,000 Btu/gal
- BHP to Btu/hr conversion: 2,542.5 Btu/bhp-hr
- Thermal efficiency of engine: commonly \approx 35%
- PM₁₀ fraction of diesel exhaust: 0.96 (CARB, 1988)
- Conversion factor: 1.34 bhp/kw

Assumptions for Dairy Permit Units

- For calculations of the Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE), the Potential to Emit (PE) for the dairy permit units will be based on the maximum design capacity of the number and types of cows at the dairy.
- Information previously provided by the dairy and District inspection reports indicate that the milk cows at the dairy are housed in open corrals with shade structures and the dry cows and support stock (heifers) at the dairy are housed in open corrals with no shade structures. Therefore, for calculations of the PE from the dairy cow housing permit, it will be assumed that all milk cows are housed in open corrals with shade structures with all other cattle at the dairy housed in open corrals with no shade structures.

B. Emission Factors

Existing IC Engine being Replaced (C-5161-9-0)

Current permit C-5161-9-0 does not list emission factors for the existing IC engine; therefore, the conservative emission factors listed in the following table will be used.

Emission Factors for Existing Engine			
Pollutant	Emission Factor (g/bhp-hr)	Emission Factor (g/kw-hr)	Source
NO _x	10.23	13.72	Carl Moyer Program 2017 Guidelines (120+ bhp, 1980-1987 Model Year)
SO _x	0.0051	0.0068	Ultra-Low Sulfur Fuel See Mass Balance Equation Below
PM ₁₀	0.396	0.531	Carl Moyer Program 2017 Guidelines (120+ bhp, 1980-1987 Model Year)
CO	3.04	4.08	AP-42, Table 3.3-1 (10/96)
VOC	1.14	1.53	AP-42, Table 3.3-1 (10/96)

$$\frac{0.000015 \text{ lb} - S}{\text{lb} - \text{fuel}} \times \frac{7.1 \text{ lb} - \text{fuel}}{\text{gallon}} \times \frac{2 \text{ lb} - SO_2}{1 \text{ lb} - S} \times \frac{1 \text{ gal}}{137,000 \text{ Btu}} \times \frac{1 \text{ bhp input}}{0.35 \text{ bhp out}} \times \frac{2,542.5 \text{ Btu}}{\text{bhp} - \text{hr}} \times \frac{453.6 \text{ g}}{\text{lb}} = 0.0051 \frac{\text{g} - SO_x}{\text{bhp} - \text{hr}}$$

New Replacement IC Engine (C-5161-12-0)

Emission Factors for New Engine			
Pollutant	Emission Factor (g/bhp-hr)	Emission Factor (g/kw-hr)	Source
NO _x	4.00	5.37	EPA Certification Data (EPA Family # JCPXL15.2NZS; See Appendix C)
SO _x	0.0051	0.0068	Ultra-Low Sulfur Fuel See Mass Balance Equation Above
PM ₁₀	0.07	0.09	EPA Certification Data (EPA Family # JCPXL15.2NZS; See Appendix C)
CO	1.2	1.6	EPA Certification Data (EPA Family # JCPXL15.2NZS; See Appendix C)
VOC	0.07	0.09	EPA Certification Data (EPA Family # JCPXL15.2NZS; See Appendix C)

C. Calculations

1. Pre-Project Potential to Emit (PE1)

Existing IC Engine being Replaced (C-5161-9-0)

The daily and annual PE1 are calculated as follows:

$$\text{Daily PE1 (lb-pollutant/day)} = \text{EF (g-pollutant/bhp-hr)} \times \text{rating (bhp)} \times \text{operation (hr/day)} / 453.6 \text{ g/lb}$$

$$\text{Annual PE1 (lb-pollutant/yr)} = \text{EF (g-pollutant/bhp-hr)} \times \text{rating (bhp)} \times \text{operation (hr/yr)} / 453.6 \text{ g/lb}$$

Pre-Project Potential to Emit (PE1) for C-5161-9-0						
Pollutant	Emissions Factor (g/bhp-hr)	Rating (bhp)	Daily Hours of Operation (hrs/day)	Annual Hours of Operation (hrs/year)	Daily PE1 (lb/day)	Annual PE1 (lb/yr)
NO _x	10.23	465	24	100	251.7	1,049
SO _x	0.0051	465	24	100	0.1	1
PM ₁₀	0.396	465	24	100	9.7	41
CO	3.04	465	24	100	74.8	312
VOC	1.14	465	24	100	28.0	117

New Replacement IC Engine (C-5161-12-0)

Since this is a new emissions unit, PE1 = 0 for all affected pollutants

2. Post-Project Potential to Emit (PE2)

Existing IC Engine being Replaced (C-5161-9-0)

Because this engine will be replaced by the new engine, PE2 = 0 for all affected pollutants.

New Replacement IC Engine (C-5161-12-0)

The daily and annual PE2 are calculated as follows:

$$\text{Daily PE2 (lb-pollutant/day)} = \text{EF (g-pollutant/bhp-hr)} \times \text{rating (bhp)} \times \text{operation (hr/day)} / 453.6 \text{ g/lb}$$

$$\text{Annual PE2 (lb-pollutant/yr)} = \text{EF (g-pollutant/bhp-hr)} \times \text{rating (bhp)} \times \text{operation (hr/yr)} / 453.6 \text{ g/lb}$$

Post-Project Potential to Emit (PE2) for C-5161-12-0						
Pollutant	Emissions Factor (g/bhp-hr)	Rating (bhp)	Daily Hours of Operation (hrs/day)	Annual Hours of Operation (hrs/year)	Daily PE2 (lb/day)	Annual PE2 (lb/yr)
NO _x	4.00	762	24	100	161.3	672
SO _x	0.0051	762	24	100	0.2	1
PM ₁₀	0.07	762	24	100	2.8	12
CO	1.2	762	24	100	48.4	202
VOC	0.07	762	24	100	2.8	12

3. Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1)

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, the SSPE1 is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid Authorities to Construct (ATCs) or Permits to Operate (PTOs) at the Stationary Source and the quantity of Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions (AER) that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

SSPE1 is summarized in the following table.

SSPE1 (lb/year)					
Permit Unit	NO _x	SO _x	PM ₁₀	CO	VOC
C-5161-1-2 (Milking Operation)*	0	0	0	0	1,200
C-5161-2-2 (Cow Housing)*	0	0	38,340	0	42,330
C-5161-3-2 (Liquid Manure Handling)*	0	0	0	0	10,175
C-5161-4-2 (Solid Manure Handling)*	0	0	0	0	2,005
C-5161-9-0 (465 bhp Emergency Engine)	1,049	1	41	312	117
C-5161-10-0 (500 Gal Gasoline Tank)**	0	0	0	0	47
C-5161-11-1 (Feed Storage & Handling)*	0	0	0	0	69,568
SSPE1	1,049	1	38,381	312	125,442

* PE for these units taken from the Dairy Emissions Calculation Spreadsheet in Appendix D

** PE for this unit calculated in Appendix E

4. Post-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2)

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, the Post-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2) is the PE from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs, except for emissions units proposed to be shut down as part of the Stationary Project, at the Stationary Source and the quantity of ERCs which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for AER that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

SSPE2 is summarized in the following table.

SSPE2 (lb/year)					
Permit Unit	NO _x	SO _x	PM ₁₀	CO	VOC
C-5161-1-2 (Milking Operation)*	0	0	0	0	1,200
C-5161-2-2 (Cow Housing)*	0	0	38,340	0	42,330
C-5161-3-2 (Liquid Manure Handling)*	0	0	0	0	10,175
C-5161-4-2 (Solid Manure Handling)*	0	0	0	0	2,005
C-5161-10-0 (500 Gal Gasoline Tank)**	0	0	0	0	47
C-5161-11-1 (Feed Storage & Handling)*	0	0	0	0	69,568
ATC C-5161-12-0 (762 bhp Emergency Engine)	672	1	12	202	12
SSPE2	672	1	38,352	202	125,337

* PE for these units taken from the Dairy Emissions Calculation Spreadsheet in Appendix D

** PE for this unit calculated in Appendix E

5. Major Source Determination

Rule 2201 Major Source Determination:

Pursuant to District Rule 2201, a Major Source is a stationary source with a SSPE2 equal to or exceeding one or more of the following threshold values. For the purposes of determining major source status the following shall not be included:

- any ERCs associated with the stationary source
- Emissions from non-road IC engines (i.e. IC engines at a particular site at the facility for less than 12 months)
- Fugitive emissions, except for the specific source categories specified in 40 CFR 51.165

As mentioned above and pursuant to District Rule 2201, fugitive emissions are not counted when determining if a facility is a major source unless the facility belongs to one of the specific source categories identified in the major source definition in 40 CFR Part 70.2, or when determining if a stationary source is a major source for hazardous air pollutants. Because agricultural operations do not fall under any of the specific source categories listed in 40 CFR Part 70.2, fugitive emissions are not counted when determining if an agricultural operation is a major source.

The District has determined that emissions from dairy milking parlors, cow housing units, liquid manure land application, solid manure handling, and dairy feed storage

and handling are all fugitive and the only non-fugitive emissions from dairy permit units are from the lagoons and storage ponds. Therefore, only emissions from the lagoons/storage ponds, gasoline dispensing operation, and IC engines will be used to determine if this facility is a major source.

The non-fugitive emissions from the dairy lagoons/storage ponds are calculated and shown in the dairy calculation spreadsheet in Appendix D. The following table shows the non-fugitive Stationary Source Potential to Emit for the facility.

Rule 2201 Major Source Determination (lb/year)						
	NO_x	SO_x	PM₁₀	PM_{2.5}	CO	VOC
Non-Fugitive SSPE1	1,049	1	41	41	312	5,061
Non-Fugitive SSPE2	672	1	12	12	202	4,956
Major Source Threshold	20,000	140,000	140,000	140,000	200,000	20,000
Major Source?	No	No	No	No	No	No

Note: Non-Fugitive PM_{2.5} assumed to be equal to PM₁₀

As seen in the table above, the facility is not an existing Major Source and is not becoming a Major Source as a result of this project.

Rule 2410 Major Source Determination:

The facility is not an existing Major Source for PSD for at least one pollutant. Therefore the facility is not an existing Major Source for PSD.

6. Baseline Emissions (BE)

BE = Pre Project Potential to Emit for:

- Any unit located at a non-Major Source,
- Any Highly-Utilized Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source,
- Any Fully-Offset Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source, or
- Any Clean Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source.

otherwise,

BE = Historic Actual Emissions (HAE), calculated pursuant to District Rule 2201

New Replacement IC Engine (C-5161-12-0)

Since this is a new emissions unit, BE = PE1 = 0 for all affected pollutants.

7. SB 288 Major Modification

SB 288 Major Modification is defined in 40 CFR Part 51.165 as "any physical change in or change in the method of operation of a major stationary source that would result in a significant net emissions increase of any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act."

Since this facility is not a major source for any of the pollutants addressed in this project, this project does not constitute an SB 288 major modification.

8. Federal Major Modification

District Rule 2201 states that a Federal Major Modification is the same as a "Major Modification" as defined in 40 CFR 51.165 and part D of Title I of the CAA.

Since this facility is not a Major Source for any pollutants, this project does not constitute a Federal Major Modification.

9. Rule 2410 - Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) Applicability Determination

The project potential to emit, by itself, will not exceed any PSD major source thresholds. Therefore Rule 2410 is not applicable and no further discussion is required.

10. Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The QNEC is calculated solely to establish emissions that are used to complete the District's PAS emissions profile screen. Detailed QNEC calculations are included in Appendix F.

VIII. Compliance

Rule 1070 Inspections

This rule applies to any source operation, which emits or may emit air contaminants.

This rule allows the District to perform inspections for the purpose of obtaining information necessary to determine whether air pollution sources are in compliance with applicable rules and regulations. The rule also allows the District to require record keeping, to make inspections and to conduct tests of air pollution sources.

The following conditions will be listed on the ATC permit to ensure compliance:

- {3215} Upon presentation of appropriate credentials, a permittee shall allow an authorized representative of the District to enter the permittee's premises where a permitted source is located or emissions related activity is conducted, or where records must be kept under condition of the permit. [District Rule 1070]
- {3216} Upon presentation of appropriate credentials, a permittee shall allow an authorized representative of the District to have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of the permit. [District Rule 1070]

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule

A. Best Available Control Technology (BACT)

1. BACT Applicability

BACT requirements are triggered on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis and on an emissions unit-by-emissions unit basis for the following¹:

- a. Any new emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
- b. The relocation from one Stationary Source to another of an existing emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,
- c. Modifications to an existing emissions unit with a valid Permit to Operate resulting in an AIPE exceeding two pounds per day, and/or
- d. Any new or modified emissions unit, in a stationary source project, which results in an SB288 Major Modification or a Federal Major Modification, as defined by the rule.

As discussed in Section I, the facility is proposing to install a new emergency standby IC engine. Additionally, as determined in Sections VII.C.7 and VII.C.8, this project does not result in an SB288 Major Modification or a Federal Major Modification, respectively. Therefore, BACT can only be triggered if the daily potential to emit exceeds 2.0 lb/day for any pollutant.

The daily potentials to emit from the new IC engine are compared to the BACT threshold levels in the following table:

¹ Except for CO emissions from a new or modified emissions unit at a Stationary Source with an SSPE2 of less than 200,000 pounds per year of CO.

New Emissions Unit BACT Applicability				
Pollutant	Daily Emissions for the new unit (lb/day)	BACT Threshold (lb/day)	SSPE2 (lb/yr)	BACT Triggered?
NO _x	161.3	> 2.0	n/a	Yes
SO _x	0.2	> 2.0	n/a	No
PM ₁₀	2.8	> 2.0	n/a	Yes
CO	48.4	> 2.0 and SSPE2 ≥ 200,000 lb/yr	202	No
VOC	2.8	> 2.0	n/a	Yes

As shown above, BACT will be triggered for NO_x, PM₁₀, and VOC emissions from the engine for this project.

2. BACT Guideline

BACT Guideline 3.1.1, which appears in Appendix G of this report, covers diesel-fired emergency IC engines.

3. Top Down BACT Analysis

Per District Policy APR 1305, Section IX, "A top down BACT analysis shall be performed as a part of the Application Review for each application subject to the BACT requirements pursuant to the District's NSR Rule for source categories or classes covered in the BACT Clearinghouse, relevant information under each of the following steps may be simply cited from the Clearinghouse without further analysis."

Pursuant to the attached top down BACT Analysis, which appears in Appendix B of this report, BACT is satisfied with:

NO_x: Latest Available Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower*
 VOC: Latest Available Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower*
 PM₁₀: 0.15 g/bhp-hr

*Note: The certification requirements for emergency engines are as follows:
 50 ≤ bhp < 75 – Tier 4; 75 ≤ bhp < 750 – Tier 3; ≥ 750 bhp – Tier 2.

The facility has proposed to install a 762 bhp Tier 2 certified IC engine (with a PM₁₀ emissions rate of 0.07 g/bhp-hr). Therefore, BACT is satisfied for NO_x, VOC, and PM₁₀.

B. Offsets

1. Offset Applicability

Pursuant to Section 4.6.2 of this rule, offsets are not required for emergency IC engines. The engine evaluated under this project is an emergency IC engine; therefore, this exemption is applicable to this project.

However, even when there is an applicable exemption, the SSPE2 values are compared to the offset threshold to determine if offsets are triggered. In its PAS database, the District keeps track of facilities where offsets are triggered but an exemption applies. The SSPE2 values are compared to the offset trigger thresholds in the following table:

Offset Determination (lb/year)					
	NOx	SOx	PM ₁₀	CO	VOC
SSPE2	672	1	38,352	202	125,337
Offset Thresholds	20,000	54,750	29,200	200,000	20,000
Offsets Threshold Surpassed?	No	No	Yes	No	Yes

2. Quantity of Offsets Required

As shown in the table above, the SSPE2 exceeds the offset threshold for PM₁₀ and VOC emissions; however, because an older emergency IC engine is being removed there is a decrease in the SSPE2 for these pollutants and, as previously discussed, the offset exemption from Section 4.6.2 of District Rule 2201 is applicable to this project; therefore, offset calculations are not necessary and offsets are not required.

C. Public Notification

1. Applicability

Public noticing is required for:

- a. New Major Sources, SB288 Major Modifications, and Federal Major Modifications

As shown in Sections VII.C.5, VII.C.7, and VII.C.8, this facility is not a new Major Source, not an SB 288 Major Modification, and not a Federal Major Modification, respectively.

- b. Any new emissions unit with a Potential to Emit greater than 100 pounds during any one day for any pollutant

As calculated in Section VII.C.2, the daily potential to emit for NO_x is greater than 100 lb/day. Therefore public noticing is required for this project for PE > 100 lb/day from a new emissions unit.

- c. Any project which results in the offset thresholds being surpassed

The SSPE1 and SSPE2 are compared to the offset thresholds in the following table.

Offset Thresholds				
Pollutant	SSPE1 (lb/year)	SSPE2 (lb/year)	Offset Threshold	Public Notice Required?
NO _x	1,049	672	20,000 lb/year	No
SO _x	1	1	54,750 lb/year	No
PM ₁₀	38,381	38,352	29,200 lb/year	No
CO	312	202	200,000 lb/year	No
VOC	125,442	125,337	20,000 lb/year	No

As detailed above, there were no thresholds surpassed with this project; therefore public noticing is not required for surpassing an offset threshold.

- d. Any project with a Stationary Source Project Increase in Permitted Emissions (SSIPE) greater than 20,000 lb/year for any pollutant

For this project, the proposed engine is the only emissions unit that will generate an increase in Potential to Emit. Since the proposed engine emissions are well below 20,000 lb/year for all pollutants (See Section VII.C.2), the SSIPE for this project will be below the public notice threshold.

- e. Any project which results in a Title V significant permit modification

Since this facility does not have a Title V operating permit, this change is not a Title V significant Modification, and therefore public noticing is not required.

2. Public Notice Action

As demonstrated above, this project will require public noticing for an emissions unit with a daily NO_x PE in excess of 100 lb/day. Therefore, public notice documents will be submitted to the California Air Resources Board (ARB) and a

public notice will be published in a local newspaper of general circulation prior to the issuance of the ATC for this equipment.

D. Daily Emissions Limits

Daily Emissions Limitations (DELs) and other enforceable conditions are required by Rule 2201 to restrict a unit's maximum daily emissions, to a level at or below the emissions associated with the maximum design capacity. The DEL must be contained in the latest ATC and contained in or enforced by the latest PTO and enforceable, in a practicable manner, on a daily basis. Therefore, the following conditions will be listed on the ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

- {4771} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 4.00 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 1.2 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.07 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201 and 17 CCR 93115]
- {4772} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.07 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115]
- {4258} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]

In addition, because the proposed IC engine will replace another permitted unit, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- Within 90 days after startup of the equipment authorized by this Authority to Construct, Permit to Operate C-5161-9-0 shall be surrendered to the District and the associated equipment shall be removed or rendered inoperable. [District Rule 2201]

E. Compliance Assurance

1. Source Testing

Pursuant to District Policy APR 1705, source testing is not required for emergency standby IC engines to demonstrate compliance with District Rule 2201.

2. Monitoring

No monitoring is required to demonstrate compliance with District Rule 2201.

3. Recordkeeping

Recordkeeping requirements, in accordance with District Rule 4702, will be discussed in Section VIII, District Rule 4702, of this evaluation.

4. Reporting

No reporting is required to ensure compliance with District Rule 2201.

F. Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA)

An AAQA shall be conducted for the purpose of determining whether a new or modified Stationary Source will cause or make worse a violation of an air quality standard. The District's Technical Services Division conducted the required analysis. Refer to Appendix H of this document for the AAQA summary sheet.

The proposed location is in an attainment area for NO_x, CO, and SO_x. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for NO_x, CO, or SO_x.

The proposed location is in a non-attainment area for the state's PM₁₀ as well as federal and state PM_{2.5} thresholds. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}.

Rule 2410 Prevention of Significant Deterioration

As shown in Section VII.C.9 above, this project does not result in a new PSD major source or PSD major modification. No further discussion is required.

Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits

Since this facility's potential to emit does not exceed any Major Source thresholds of Rule 2201, this facility is not a Major Source, and Rule 2520 does not apply.

Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)

40 CFR 60 Subpart IIII - Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engines

The District has not been delegated the authority to implement Subpart IIII requirements for non-Major Sources; therefore, no requirements will be included on the permit.

Rule 4002 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants

40 CFR 63 Subpart ZZZZ - National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Emissions (RICE)

The District has not been delegated the authority to implement NESHAP regulations for Area Source requirements for non-Major Sources; therefore, no requirements will be included on the permit.

Rule 4101 Visible Emissions

Rule 4101 states that no air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

- {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]

Rule 4102 Nuisance

Rule 4102 states that no air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. Public nuisance conditions are not expected as a result of these operations, provided the equipment is well maintained. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

- {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]

California Health & Safety Code 41700 (Health Risk Assessment)

District Policy APR 1905 – *Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources* specifies that for an increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification, the District perform an analysis to determine the possible impact to the nearest resident or worksite.

A Risk Management Review (RMR) is not required for a project with a total facility prioritization score of less than one. According to the Technical Services Memo for this project (Appendix H), the total facility prioritization score including this project was greater than one. Therefore, an RMR was required to determine the short-term acute and long-term chronic exposure from this project.

The results of the Risk Management Review (RMR) are summarized in the table below.

RMR Summary						
Units	Prioritization Score	Acute Hazard Index	Chronic Hazard Index	Maximum Individual Cancer Risk	T-BACT Required?	Special Permit Requirements?
Unit 12-0 (762 bhp Diesel Emergency IC Engine)	N/A ¹	N/A ²	0.00	1.20E-07	No	Yes
Project Totals	N/A ¹	N/A ²	0.00	1.20E-07		
Facility Totals	>1	N/A ²	0.00	1.20E-07		

¹ Prioritization for this unit was not conducted since it has been determined that all diesel-fired IC engines will result in a prioritization score greater than 1.0.

² Acute Hazard was not calculated since there is no risk factor or the risk factor is so low that it has been determined to be insignificant for this type of unit.

Discussion of T-BACT

BACT for toxic emission control (T-BACT) is required if the cancer risk exceeds one in one million. As demonstrated above, T-BACT is not required for this project because the HRA indicates that the risk is not above the District's thresholds for triggering T-BACT requirements; therefore, compliance with the District's Risk Management Policy is expected.

District policy APR 1905 also specifies that the increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification not have acute or chronic indices, or a cancer risk greater than the District's significance levels (i.e. acute and/or chronic indices greater than 1 and a cancer risk greater than 20 in a million). As outlined by the Technical Services Memo in Appendix H of this report, the emissions increases for this project were determined to be less than significant.

The following conditions will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance with assumptions of the RMR:

- {1898} The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]
- {4772} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.07 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115]
- {4775} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 2201 and 4702]

Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration

Rule 4201 limits particulate matter emissions from any single source operation to 0.1 g/dscf, which, as calculated below, is equivalent to a PM₁₀ emission factor of 0.4 g-PM₁₀/bhp-hr.

$$0.1 \frac{\text{grain} - \text{PM}}{\text{dscf}} \times \frac{1 \text{ g}}{15.43 \text{ grain}} \times \frac{1 \text{ Btu}_{\text{in}}}{0.35 \text{ Btu}_{\text{out}}} \times \frac{2,542.5 \text{ Btu}}{1 \text{ bhp} - \text{hr}} \times \frac{\text{MMBtu}}{10^6 \text{ Btu}} \times \frac{9,051 \text{ dscf}}{\text{MMBtu}} \times \frac{0.96 \text{ g PM}_{10}}{1 \text{ g PM}} = 0.4 \frac{\text{g PM}_{10}}{\text{bhp} - \text{hr}}$$

The new engine has a PM₁₀ emission factor less than 0.4 g/bhp-hr. Therefore, compliance is expected and the following condition will be listed on the ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

- {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]

Rule 4701 Internal Combustion Engines - Phase 1

The purpose of this rule is to limit the emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO_x), carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compounds (VOC) from internal combustion engines. Except as provided in Section 4.0, the provisions of this rule apply to any internal combustion engine, rated greater than 50 bhp, that requires a PTO.

Section 4.1 of the rule specifically exempts IC engines in agricultural operations used for the growing of crops or raising of fowl or animals. Since the engine(s) are used for the growing of crops or raising of fowl or animals, they are exempt from the requirements of this rule. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance.

- {4002} This IC engine shall only be used for the growing and harvesting of crops or the raising of fowl or animals for the primary purpose of making a profit, providing a livelihood, or conducting agricultural research or instruction by an educational institution. [District Rules 4701 and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115]

Rule 4702 Internal Combustion Engines

Emergency standby engines are subject to District Rule 4702 requirements. Emergency standby engines are defined in Section 3.0 of District Rule 4702 as follows:

3.15 Emergency Standby Engine: an internal combustion engine which operates as a temporary replacement for primary mechanical or electrical power during an unscheduled outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the operator. An engine shall be considered to be an emergency standby engine if it is used only for the following purposes: (1) periodic maintenance, periodic

readiness testing, or readiness testing during and after repair work; (2) unscheduled outages, or to supply power while maintenance is performed or repairs are made to the primary power supply; and (3) if it is limited to operate 100 hours or less per calendar year for non-emergency purposes. An engine shall not be considered to be an emergency standby engine if it is used: (1) to reduce the demand for electrical power when normal electrical power line service has not failed, or (2) to produce power for the utility electrical distribution system, or (3) in conjunction with a voluntary utility demand reduction program or interruptible power contract.

Emergency standby engines cannot be used to reduce the demand for electrical power when normal electrical power line service has not failed, or to produce power for the electrical distribution system, or in conjunction with a voluntary utility demand reduction program or interruptible power contract. The following conditions will be included on the permit:

- {3807} An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
- {3808} This engine shall not be used to produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
- {4775} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 2201 and 4702]

The following exemption in Section 4.2 of District Rule 4702 applies to emergency standby engines:

4.2 Except for the requirements of Section 5.9 and Section 6.2.3, the requirements of this rule shall not apply to:

4.2.1 An emergency standby engine as defined in Section 3.0 of this rule, and provided that it is operated with a nonresettable elapsed operating time meter. In lieu of a nonresettable time meter, the owner of an emergency engine may use an alternative device, method, or technique, in determining operating time provided that the alternative is approved by the APCO. The owner of the engine shall properly maintain and operate the time meter or alternative device in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

Pursuant to the exemption in Section 4.2, the following requirements of Section 5.9 are applicable to emergency standby engines

Section 5.9 requires the owner to:

5.9.2 Properly operate and maintain each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier.

5.9.3 Monitor the operational characteristics of each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier.

5.9.4 Install and operate a nonresettable elapsed operating time meter. In lieu of installing a nonresettable time meter, the owner of an engine may use an alternative device, method, or technique, in determining operating time provided that the alternative is approved by the APCO and is allowed by Permit-to-Operate or Permit-Exempt Equipment Registration condition. The owner of the engine shall properly maintain and operate the time meter or alternative device in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

Properly operate and maintain each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier. The following condition will be included on the permit:

- {4261} This engine shall be operated and maintained in proper operating condition as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emissions control system supplier. [District Rule 4702]

Monitor the operational characteristics of each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier. The following condition will be included on the permit:

- {3478} During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rule 4702]

Install and operate a nonresettable elapsed time meter. In lieu of installing a nonresettable elapsed time meter, the operator may use an alternative device, method, or technique, in determining operating time provided that the alternative is approved by the APCO and EPA and is allowed by Permit-to-Operate condition. The operator shall properly maintain and operate the nonresettable elapsed time meter or alternative device in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

The following condition will be included on the permit:

- {4749} This engine shall be equipped with a non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours, unless the District determines that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator's compliance history. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

The exemption in Rule 4702 Section 4.2 for emergency standby engines requires the engines to comply with Section 6.2.3, shown below.

6.2.3 An owner claiming an exemption under Section 4.2 or Section 4.3 shall maintain annual operating records. This information shall be retained for at least five years, shall be readily available, and provided to the APCO upon request. The records shall include, but are not limited to, the following:

- 6.2.3.1 Total hours of operation,*
- 6.2.3.2 The type of fuel used,*
- 6.2.3.3 The purpose for operating the engine,*
- 6.2.3.4 For emergency standby engines, all hours of non-emergency and emergency operation shall be reported, and*
- 6.2.3.5 Other support documentation necessary to demonstrate claim to the exemption.*

Records of the total hours of operation, type of fuel used, purpose for operating the engine, all hours of non-emergency and emergency operation, and other support documentation must be maintained. All records shall be retained for a period of at least five years, shall be readily available, and be made available to the APCO upon request. The following conditions will be included on the permit:

- {3496} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
- {4263} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the type of fuel purchased. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

- {3475} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds

Rule 4801 requires that sulfur compound emissions (as SO₂) shall not exceed 0.2% by volume. Using the ideal gas equation, the sulfur compound emissions are calculated as follows:

$$\text{Volume SO}_2 = (n \times R \times T) \div P$$

n = moles SO₂

T (standard temperature) = 60 °F or 520 °R

$$R \text{ (universal gas constant)} = \frac{10.73 \text{ psi} \cdot \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb} \cdot \text{mol} \cdot \text{°R}}$$

$$\frac{0.000015 \text{ lb-S}}{\text{lb-diesel}} \times \frac{7.1 \text{ lb-diesel}}{\text{gal-diesel}} \times \frac{1 \text{ gal-diesel}}{0.137 \text{ MMBtu}} \times \frac{1 \text{ MMBtu}}{9,051 \text{ dscf}} \times \frac{64 \text{ lb-SOx}}{32 \text{ lb-S}} \times \frac{1 \text{ lb-mol}}{64 \text{ lb-SOx}} \times \frac{10.73 \text{ psi} \cdot \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb} \cdot \text{mol} \cdot \text{°R}} \times \frac{520 \text{ °R}}{14.7 \text{ psi}} \times \frac{10^6 \text{ parts}}{\text{million}} = 1.0 \text{ ppmv}$$

Since 1.0 ppmv is ≤ 2,000 ppmv, this engine is expected to comply with Rule 4801. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC as a mechanism to ensure compliance:

- {4258} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]

California Health & Safety Code 42301.6 (School Notice)

The District has verified that this engine is not located within 1,000 feet of a school. Therefore, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code 42301.6, a school notice is not required.

Title 17 California Code of Regulations (CCR), Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines

The following requirements apply to new engines (those installed after 1/1/05):

<p>Title 17 CCR Section 93115 Requirements for New Emergency IC Engines Powering Electrical Generators</p>	<p>Proposed Method of Compliance with Title 17 CCR Section 93115 Requirements</p>
<p>The requirements in Sections 93115.6, 93115.7, and 93115.10(a) do not apply to new stationary diesel-fueled CI engines used in agricultural operations.</p>	<p>The following condition will be added to the permit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • {4002} This IC engine shall only be used for the growing and harvesting of crops or the raising of fowl or animals for the primary purpose of making a profit, providing a livelihood, or conducting agricultural research or instruction by an educational institution. [District Rules 4701 and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115]
<p>Emergency engine(s) must be fired on CARB diesel fuel, or an approved alternative diesel fuel.</p>	<p>The applicant has proposed the use of CARB certified diesel fuel. The proposed permit condition, requiring the use of CARB certified diesel fuel, was included earlier in this evaluation.</p>
<p>The engine(s) must meet Table 6 of the ATCM, which requires the Off-road engine certification standard for the specific power rating of the proposed engine on the date of acquisition (purchase date) or permit application submittal to the District, whichever is earliest.</p>	<p>The applicant has proposed the use of an engine that is certified to the latest EPA Tier Certification standards for the applicable horsepower range, guaranteeing compliance with the emission standards of the ATCM. Additionally, the proposed diesel PM emissions rate is less than or equal to 0.15 g/bhp-hr.</p>
<p>Engines, with a PM10 emissions rate greater than 0.01 g/bhp-hr and located at schools, may not be operated for maintenance and testing whenever there is a school sponsored activity on the grounds. Additionally, engines located within 500 feet of school grounds may not be operated for maintenance and testing between 7:30 AM and 3:30 PM</p>	<p>The District has verified that this engine is not located within 500' of a school.</p>
<p>A non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours shall be installed upon engine installation, or by no later than January 1, 2005, on all engines subject to all or part of the requirements of sections 93115.6, 93115.7, or 93115.8(a) unless the District determines on a case-by-case basis that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator's compliance history.</p>	<p>The following condition will be included on the permit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • {4749} This engine shall be equipped with a non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours, unless the District determines that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator's compliance history. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

Title 17 CCR Section 93115 Requirements for New Emergency IC Engines Powering Electrical Generators	Proposed Method of Compliance with Title 17 CCR Section 93115 Requirements
<p>An owner or operator shall maintain monthly records of the following: emergency use hours of operation; maintenance and testing hours of operation; hours of operation for emission testing; initial start-up testing hours; hours of operation for all other uses; and the type of fuel used. All records shall be retained for a minimum of 36 months.</p>	<p>The following condition will be included on the permit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • {3496} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires each public agency to adopt objectives, criteria, and specific procedures consistent with CEQA Statutes and the CEQA Guidelines for administering its responsibilities under CEQA, including the orderly evaluation of projects and preparation of environmental documents. The San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (District) adopted its *Environmental Review Guidelines* (ERG) in 2001. The basic purposes of CEQA are to:

- Inform governmental decision-makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of proposed activities.
- Identify the ways that environmental damage can be avoided or significantly reduced.
- Prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment by requiring changes in projects through the use of alternatives or mitigation measures when the governmental agency finds the changes to be feasible.
- Disclose to the public the reasons why a governmental agency approved the project in the manner the agency chose if significant environmental effects are involved.

The District performed an Engineering Evaluation (this document) for the proposed project and determined that the project qualifies for ministerial approval under the District's Guideline for Expedited Application Review (GEAR). Section 21080 of the Public Resources Code exempts from the application of CEQA those projects over which a public agency exercises only ministerial approval. Therefore, the District finds that this project is exempt from the provisions of CEQA.

To ensure that issuance of this permit does not conflict with any conditions imposed by any local agency permit process, the following permit condition will be listed on the ATC:

- {3658} This permit does not authorize the violation of any conditions established for this facility in the Conditional Use Permit (CUP), Special Use Permit (SUP), Site Approval, Site Plan Review (SPR), or other approval documents issued by a local, state, or federal agency. [Public Resources Code 21000-21177: California Environmental Quality Act]

Indemnification Agreement/Letter of Credit Determination

According to District Policy APR 2010 (CEQA Implementation Policy), when the District is the Lead or Responsible Agency for CEQA purposes, an indemnification agreement and/or a letter of credit may be required. The decision to require an indemnity agreement and/or a letter of credit is based on a case-by-case analysis of a particular project's potential for litigation risk, which in turn may be based on a project's potential to generate public concern, its potential for significant impacts, and the project proponent's ability to pay for the costs of litigation without a letter of credit, among other factors.

As described above, the project requires only ministerial approval, and is exempt from the provisions of CEQA. As such, an Indemnification Agreement or a Letter of Credit will not be required for this project in the absence of expressed public concern.

IX. Recommendation

Pending a successful NSR public noticing period, issue Authority to Construct (ATC) C-5161-12-0 subject to the permit conditions on the attached draft ATC in Appendix A.

X. Billing Information

Billing Schedule			
Permit Number	Fee Schedule	Fee Description	Fee Amount
C-5161-12-0	3020-10-D	762 bhp IC engine	\$551

Appendixes

- A. Draft ATC C-5161-12-0
- B. PTO C-5161-9-0 for IC Engine being Replaced
- C. EPA Engine Certification Emissions Data (EPA Family # JCPXL15.2NZS)
- D. Dairy Emissions Calculation Spreadsheet
- E. PE Calculations for Permit Unit C-5161-10-0
- F. QNEC Calculations
- G. BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis
- H. RMR Summary and AAQA

Appendix A
Draft ATC C-5161-12-0

San Joaquin Valley
Air Pollution Control District

AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT

ISSUANCE DATE: DRAFT
DRAFT

PERMIT NO: C-5161-12-0

LEGAL OWNER OR OPERATOR: MILK-TIME DAIRY FARMS
MAILING ADDRESS: 12576 ROAD 17
MADERA, CA 93637

LOCATION: 12519 ROAD 16
MADERA, CA 93637

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:
762 BHP (INTERMITTENT) CATERPILLAR MODEL C15 TIER 2 CERTIFIED DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR (REPLACEMENT FOR PERMIT UNIT C-5161-9)

CONDITIONS

1. Within 90 days after startup of the equipment authorized by this Authority to Construct, Permit to Operate C-5161-9-0 shall be surrendered to the District and the associated equipment shall be removed or rendered inoperable. [District Rule 2201]
2. {3215} Upon presentation of appropriate credentials, a permittee shall allow an authorized representative of the District to enter the permittee's premises where a permitted source is located or emissions related activity is conducted, or where records must be kept under condition of the permit. [District Rule 1070]
3. {3216} Upon presentation of appropriate credentials, a permittee shall allow an authorized representative of the District to have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of the permit. [District Rule 1070]
4. {3658} This permit does not authorize the violation of any conditions established for this facility in the Conditional Use Permit (CUP), Special Use Permit (SUP), Site Approval, Site Plan Review (SPR), or other approval documents issued by a local, state, or federal agency. [Public Resources Code 21000-21177: California Environmental Quality Act]
5. {4002} This IC engine shall only be used for the growing and harvesting of crops or the raising of fowl or animals for the primary purpose of making a profit, providing a livelihood, or conducting agricultural research or instruction by an educational institution. [District Rules 4701 and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115]
6. {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]

CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

YOU **MUST** NOTIFY THE DISTRICT COMPLIANCE DIVISION AT (559) 230-5950 WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED AND PRIOR TO OPERATING THE EQUIPMENT OR MODIFICATIONS AUTHORIZED BY THIS AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT. This is NOT a PERMIT TO OPERATE. Approval or denial of a PERMIT TO OPERATE will be made after an inspection to verify that the equipment has been constructed in accordance with the approved plans, specifications and conditions of this Authority to Construct, and to determine if the equipment can be operated in compliance with all Rules and Regulations of the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. Unless construction has commenced pursuant to Rule 2050, this Authority to Construct shall expire and application shall be cancelled two years from the date of issuance. The applicant is responsible for complying with all laws, ordinances and regulations of all other governmental agencies which may pertain to the above equipment.

Samir Sheikh, Executive Director / APCCO

DRAFT

Arnaud Marjolle, Director of Permit Services

C-5161-12-0 - Mar 25 2019 10:53AM - NORMANR : Joint Inspection NOT Required

7. {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]
8. {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]
9. {1898} The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]
10. {4749} This engine shall be equipped with a non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours, unless the District determines that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator's compliance history. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
11. {4258} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]
12. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 4.00 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 1.2 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.07 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201 and 17 CCR 93115]
13. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.07 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115]
14. {4261} This engine shall be operated and maintained in proper operating condition as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emissions control system supplier. [District Rule 4702]
15. {3478} During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rule 4702]
16. {3807} An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
17. {3808} This engine shall not be used to produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
18. {3496} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
19. {4775} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 2201 and 4702]
20. {4263} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the type of fuel purchased. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
21. {3475} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

DRAFT

Appendix B
PTO C-5161-9-0 for IC Engine being Replaced

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District

PERMIT UNIT: C-5161-9-0

EXPIRATION DATE: 12/31/2021

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:

465 BHP CUMMINS MODEL NTTA-855-GS DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR

PERMIT UNIT REQUIREMENTS

1. Upon presentation of appropriate credentials, a permittee shall allow an authorized representative of the District to enter the permittee's premises where a permitted source is located or emissions related activity is conducted, or where records must be kept under condition of the permit. [District Rule 1070]
2. Upon presentation of appropriate credentials, a permittee shall allow an authorized representative of the District to have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of the permit. [District Rule 1070]
3. This permit does not authorize the violation of any conditions established for this facility in the Conditional Use Permit (CUP), Special Use Permit (SUP), Site Approval, Site Plan Review (SPR), or other approval documents issued by a local, state, or federal agency. [Public Resources Code 21000-21177: California Environmental Quality Act]
4. No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]
5. No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]
6. Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]
7. This IC engine shall only be used for the growing and harvesting of crops or the raising of fowl or animals for the primary purpose of making a profit, providing a livelihood, or conducting agricultural research or instruction by an educational institution. [District Rules 4701 and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115]
8. This engine shall be equipped with a non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours, unless the District determines that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator's compliance history. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
9. Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]
10. This engine shall be operated and maintained in proper operating condition as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emissions control system supplier. [District Rule 4702]
11. During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rule 4702]
12. An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

PERMIT UNIT REQUIREMENTS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

These terms and conditions are part of the Facility-wide Permit to Operate.

13. This engine shall not be used to produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
14. This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per calendar year. [District Rule 4702]
15. The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
16. The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the type of fuel purchased. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
17. All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

These terms and conditions are part of the Facility-wide Permit to Operate.

Appendix C
EPA Engine Certification Emissions Data
(EPA Family # JCPXL15.2NZZ)

Model Year	Engine Family	Manufacturer	Certificate #	Issue Date
2018	JCPXL15.2NZS	CATERPILLAR (CPX)	JCPXL15.2NZS-007	19-JUN-2017

Commerce Introduction Date	Carryover Engine Family Name	Power Category
01-DEC-2017	HCPXL15.2NZS	14 = 560<kW<=2237

Applicable Regulation	Applicable Tier
4 = Part 60 only certified to the requirements of part 89	2 = Tier 2

Applicable Compliance Standard	Fuel Meter System
N = Not Applicable	D = Direct Diesel Injection

Useful Life of Engine Family	Engine Combustion Cycle	Non Aftertreatment Device Type	Aftertreatment Device Type
C = 10 years / 8,000 hrs	A = 4 Stroke Compression Ignition	X = Engine Design Modification, Y = Electronic Control	

Certification Level Steady-State Discrete Modal Test Results (g/kW-hr)			
NMHC	NOx	NMHC+NOx	CO
0.09	5.37	5.5	1.6
		PM	CO2
		0.09	671.69
			N2O
			CH4

Certification Level Transient Test Results (g/kW-hr)			
NMHC	NOx	NMHC+NOx	CO
		PM	CO2
			N2O
			CH4

Smoke Opacity (in pct)	
Acceleration	Peak

FEL (g/kW-hr)		
NMHC	NOx	NMHC+NOx
		CO

Engine Model	Engine Code	Displacement	Certification Fuel
C15	CERT TEST 1	15.213	L = 300-500 ppm Low Sulfur Diesel

Engine Operation	Test Procedure	Test Type
C = Constant Speed	2 = Steady-State 5-Mode Cycle	DMT = Discrete-Modal Testing

Appendix D Dairy Emissions Calculation Spreadsheet

Pre-Project Facility Information

- Does this facility house Holstein or Jersey cows?
Most facilities house Holstein cows unless explicitly stated on the PTO or application.
- Does the facility have an anaerobic treatment lagoon?
- Does the facility land apply liquid manure?
Answering "yes" assumes worst case.
- Does the facility land apply solid manure?
Answering "yes" assumes worst case.
- Is any scraped manure sent to a lagoon/storage pond?
Answering "yes" assumes worst case.

Pre-Project Herd Size							
Herd	Flushed Freestalls	Scraped Freestalls	Flushed Corrals	Scraped Corrals	Total # of Animals		
Milk Cows			3,000		3,000		
Dry Cows			620		620		
Support Stock (Heifers, Calves, and Bulls)			2,020		2,020		
Large Heifers					0		
Medium Heifers					0		
Small Heifers					0		
Bulls					0		
	Calf Hutches				Calf Corrals		Total # of Calves
	Aboveground Flushed	Aboveground Scraped	On-Ground Flushed	On-Ground Scraped	Flushed	Scraped	
Calves							0

Total Herd Summary	
Total Milk Cows	3,000
Total Mature Cows	3,620
Support Stock (Heifers, Calves, and Bulls)	2,020
Total Calves	0
Total Dairy Head	5,640

Pre-Project Silage Information			
Food Type	Max # Open Piles	Max Height (ft)	Max Width (ft)
Corn	2	27	160
Alfalfa	1	25	120
Wheat	1	25	160

Post-Project Facility Information

- Does this facility house Holstein or Jersey cows?
Most facilities house Holstein cows unless explicitly stated on the PTO or application.
- Does the facility have an anaerobic treatment lagoon?
- Does the facility land apply liquid manure?
Answering "yes" assumes worst case.
- Does the facility land apply solid manure?
Answering "yes" assumes worst case.
- Is any scraped manure sent to a lagoon/storage pond?
Answering "yes" assumes worst case.
- Does this project result in any new lagoon/storage pond(s) or an increase in surface area for any existing lagoon/storage pond(s)?

Post-Project Herd Size							
Herd	Flushed Freestalls	Scraped Freestalls	Flushed Corrals	Scraped Corrals	Total # of Animals		
Milk Cows			3,000		3,000		
Dry Cows			620		620		
Support Stock (Heifers, Calves, and Bulls)			2,020		2,020		
Large Heifers					0		
Medium Heifers					0		
Small Heifers					0		
Bulls					0		
	Calf Hutches				Calf Corrals		Total # of Calves
	Aboveground Flushed	Aboveground Scraped	On-Ground Flushed	On-Ground Scraped	Flushed	Scraped	
Calves							0

Total Herd Summary	
Total Milk Cows	3,000
Total Mature Cows	3,620
Support Stock (Heifers, Calves, and Bulls)	2,020
Total Calves	0
Total Dairy Head	5,640

Post-Project Silage Information			
Food Type	Max # Open Piles	Max Height (ft)	Max Width (ft)
Corn	2	27	160
Alfalfa	1	25	120
Wheat	1	25	160

This spreadsheet serves only as a resource to calculate potential emissions from dairies, and may not reflect the final emissions used by the District due to parameters not addressed in this spreadsheet and/or omissions from the spreadsheet. Any other permissible equipment (e.g. IC engines, gasoline tanks, etc.) at a facility will need to be calculated separately. All final calculations used in permitting projects will be conducted by District staff.

VOC Mitigation Measures and Control Efficiencies

Milking Parlor				
Measure Proposed?		Mitigation Measure(s) per Emissions Point	VOC Control Efficiency (%)	
Pre-Project	Post-Project		Pre-Project	Post-Project
Enteric Emissions Mitigations				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	(D) Feed according to NRC guidelines	10%	10%
Total Control Efficiency			10%	10%
Milking Parlor Floor Mitigations				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	(D) Feed according to NRC guidelines	10%	10%
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	(D) Flush or hose milk parlor immediately prior to, immediately after, or during each milking. Note: If selected for dairies > 999 milk cows, control efficiency is already included in EF.	0%	0%
Total Control Efficiency			10%	10%

Cow Housing				
Measure Proposed?		Mitigation Measure(s) per Emissions Point	VOC Control Efficiency (%)	
Pre-Project	Post-Project		Pre-Project	Post-Project
Enteric Emissions Mitigations				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Feed according to NRC guidelines	10%	10%
Total Control Efficiency			10%	10%
Corrals/Pens Mitigations				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Feed according to NRC guidelines	10%	10%
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Inspect water pipes and troughs and repair leaks at least once every seven days. Note: If selected for dairies > 999 milk cows, CE is already included in EF.	0%	0%
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Dairies: Clean manure from corrals at least four times per year with at least 60 days between cleaning, or clean corrals at least once between April and July and at least once between September and December. Note: If selected for dairies > 999 milk cows, CE is already included in EF. Note: No additional control given for increased cleaning frequency (e.g. BACT requirement). Heifer/Calf Ranches: Scrape corrals twice a year with at least 90 days between cleanings, excluding in-corral mounds. Note: No additional control given for increased cleaning frequency (e.g. BACT requirement).	0%	0%
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Scrape, vacuum, or flush concrete lanes in corrals at least once every day for mature cows and every seven days for support stock, or clean concrete lanes such that the depth of manure does not exceed 12 inches at any point or time. Note: No additional control given for increased cleaning frequency (e.g. BACT requirement).	10%	10%
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Implement one of the following: 1) slope the surface of the corrals at least 3% where the available space for each animal is 400 sq ft or less and slope the surface of the corrals at least 1.5% where the available space for each animal is more than 400 sq ft; 2) maintain corrals to ensure proper drainage preventing water from standing more than 48 hrs; 3) harrow, rake, or scrape pens sufficiently to maintain a dry surface. Note: If selected for dairies > 999 milk cows, CE already included in EF.	0%	0%
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Install shade structures such that they are constructed with a light permeable roofing material. Note: If selected for dairies > 999 milk cows, the control efficiency will be 5% since the EF used includes a partial control for this measure.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Install all shade structures uphill of any slope in the corral. Note: If selected for dairies > 999 milk cows, the control efficiency will be 5% since the EF used includes a partial control for this measure.	5%	5%
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Clean manure from under corral shades at least once every 14 days, when weather permits access into corral. Note: If selected for dairies > 999 milk cows, the control efficiency will be 5% since the EF used includes a partial control for this measure.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Install shade structure so that the structure has a North/South orientation. Note: If selected for dairies > 999 milk cows, the control efficiency will be 5% since the EF used includes a partial control for this measure.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Manage corrals such that the manure depth in the corral does not exceed 12 inches at any time or point, except for in-corral mounding. Manure depth may exceed 12 inches when corrals become inaccessible due to rain events. The manure facility must resume management of the manure depth of 12 inches or lower immediately upon the corral becoming accessible. Note: If selected for dairies > 999 milk cows, control efficiency is already included in EF.	0%	0%
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Knockdown fence line manure build-up prior to it exceeding a height of 12 inches at any time or point. Manure depth may exceed 12 inches when corrals become inaccessible due to rain events. The facility must resume management of the manure depth of 12 inches or lower immediately upon the corral becoming accessible.	0%	0%
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Use lime or a similar absorbent material in the corral according to the manufacturer's recommendation to minimize moisture in the corrals.	0%	0%
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Apply thymol to the corral soil in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendation.	0%	0%
Total Control Efficiency			23.05%	23.05%
Bedding Mitigations				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Feed according to NRC guidelines	10%	10%
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Use non-manure-based bedding and non-separated solids based bedding for at least 90% of the bedding material, by weight, for freestalls (e.g. rubber mats, almond shells, sand, or waterbeds).	0%	0%

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	For a large dairy (1,000 milk cows or larger) or a heifer/calf ranch - Remove manure that is not dry from individual cow freestall beds or rake, harrow, scrape, or grade freestall bedding at least once every 7 days.	0%	0%
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	(D) For a medium dairy only (500 to 999 milk cows) - Remove manure that is not dry from individual cow freestall beds or rake, harrow, scrape, or grade freestall bedding at least once every 14 days.	0%	0%
Total Control Efficiency			10.00%	10.00%
Lanes Mitigations				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Feed according to NRC guidelines	10%	10%
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pave feedlines, where present, for a width of at least 8 feet along the corral side of the feedlane fence for milk and dry cows and at least 6 feet along the corral side of the feedlane for heifers. Note: No control efficiency at this time.	0%	0%
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dairies: Flush, scrape, or vacuum freestall flush lanes immediately prior to or after, or during each milking; or flush or scrape freestall flush lanes at least 3 times per day. Heifer/Calf Ranches: Vacuum, scrape, or flush freestalls at least once every seven days.	0%	0%
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	(D) Have no animals in exercise pens or corrals at any time.	0%	0%
Total Control Efficiency			10.00%	10.00%

Liquid Manure Handling				
Measure Proposed?		Mitigation Measure(s) per Emissions Point	VOC Control Efficiency (%)	
Pre-Project	Post-Project		Pre-Project	Post-Project
Lagoons/Storage Ponds Mitigations				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Feed according to NRC guidelines	10%	10%
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Use phototropic lagoon	0%	0%
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Use an anaerobic treatment lagoon designed according to NRCS Guideline No. 359, or aerobic treatment lagoon, or mechanically aerated lagoon, or covered lagoon digester vented to a control device with minimum 95% control	0%	0%
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Remove solids from the waste system with a solid separator system, prior to the waste entering the lagoon. Note: If selected for dairies > 999 milk cows, control efficiency is already included in EF.	0%	0%
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Maintain lagoon pH between 6.5 and 7.5	0%	0%
Total Control Efficiency			10.00%	10.00%
Liquid Manure Land Application Mitigations				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Feed according to NRC guidelines	10%	10%
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Only apply liquid manure that has been treated with an anaerobic or aerobic treatment lagoon, aerobic lagoon, or digester system	0%	0%
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Allow liquid manure to stand in the fields for no more than 24 hours after irrigation. Note: If selected for dairies > 999 milk cows, control efficiency is already included in EF.	0%	0%
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Apply liquid/slurry manure via injection with drag hose or similar apparatus	0%	0%
Total Control Efficiency			10.00%	10.00%

Solid Manure Handling				
Measure Proposed?		Mitigation Measure(s) per Emissions Point	VOC Control Efficiency (%)	
Pre-Project	Post-Project		Pre-Project	Post-Project
Solid Manure Storage Mitigations				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Feed according to NRC guidelines	10%	10%
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	LARGE CAFO ONLY: Within 72 hours of removal from housing, either a) remove dry manure from the facility, or b) cover dry manure outside the housing with a weatherproof covering from October through May, except for times when wind events remove the covering, not to exceed 24 hours per event.	0%	0%
Total Control Efficiency			10.00%	10.00%
Separated Solids Piles Mitigations				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Feed according to NRC guidelines	10%	10%
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	LARGE CAFO ONLY: Within 72 hours of removal from the drying process, either a) remove separated solids from the facility, or b) cover separated solids outside the housing with a weatherproof covering from October through May, except for times when wind events remove the covering, not to exceed 24 hours per event.	10%	10%
Total Control Efficiency			19.00%	19.00%
Solid Manure Land Application Mitigations				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Feed according to NRC guidelines	10%	10%
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Incorporate all solid manure within 72 hours of land application. Note: If selected for dairies > 999 milk cows, control efficiency is already included in EF. Note: No additional control given for rapid manure incorporation (e.g. BACT requirement).	0%	0%
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Only apply solid manure that has been treated with an anaerobic treatment lagoon, aerobic lagoon or digester system.	0%	0%
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Apply no solid manure with a moisture content of more than 50%	0%	0%
Total Control Efficiency			10.00%	10.00%

Silage and TMR				
Measure Proposed?		Mitigation Measure(s) per Emissions Point	VOC Control Efficiency (%)	
Pre-Project	Post-Project		Pre-Project	Post-Project
Corn/Alfalfa/Wheat Silage Mitigations				
		1. Utilize a sealed feed storage system (e.g. Ag-Bag) for bagged silage, or		

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>2. Cover the surface of silage piles, except for the area where feed is being removed from the pile, with a plastic tarp that is at least 5 mils thick (0.005 inches), multiple plastic tarps with a cumulative thickness of at least 5 mils (0.005 inches), or an oxygen barrier film covered with a UV resistant material within 72 hours of last delivery of material to the pile, and implement one of the following:</p> <p>a) build silage piles such that the average bulk density is at least 44 lb/cu-ft for corn silage and 40 lb/cu-ft for other silage types, as measured in accordance with Section 7.10 of Rule 4570.</p> <p>b) when creating a silage pile, adjust filling parameters to assure a calculated average bulk density of at least 44 lb/cu-ft for corn silage and at least 40 lb/cu-ft for other silage types, using a spreadsheet approved by the District.</p> <p>c) harvest silage crop at > or = 65% moisture for corn; and >= 60% moisture for alfalfa/grass and other silage crops; manage silage material delivery such that no more than 6 inches of materials are uncompacted on top of the pile; and incorporate the applicable Theoretical Length of Chop (TLC) and roller opening for the crop being harvested.</p> <p>For dairies - implement <u>two</u> of the following: For heifer/calf ranches - implement <u>one</u> of the following:</p> <p>Manage Exposed Silage. a) manage silage piles such that only one silage pile has an uncovered face and the uncovered face has a total exposed surface area of less than 2,150 sq. ft., or b) manage multiple uncovered silage piles such that the total exposed surface area of all silage piles is less than 4,300 sq ft.</p> <p>Maintain Silage Working Face. a) use a shaver/facer to remove silage from the silage pile, or b) maintain a smooth vertical surface on the working face of the silage pile</p> <p>Silage Additive: a) inoculate silage with homolactic acid bacteria in accordance with manufacturer recommendations to achieve a concentration of at least 100,000 colony forming units per gram of wet forage or apply propionic acid, benzoic acid, sorbic acid, sodium benzoate, or potassium sorbate at a rate specified by the manufacturer to reduce yeast counts when forming silage pile; or b) apply other additives at specified rates that have been demonstrated to reduce alcohol concentrations in silage and/or VOC emissions from silage and have been approved by the District and EPA.</p>	39.0%	39.0%
		Total Control Efficiency*	39.00%	39.00%

*Assumes 25% control for density mitigation measures and 10% each for the two optional measures, resulting in an overall control of 39%. The same conservative control efficiency will be applied to the sealed feed storage system (Ag-Bag).

		TMR Mitigations		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	(D) Push feed so that it is within 3 feet of feedlane fence within 2 hrs of putting out the feed or use a feed trough or other feeding structure designed to maintain feed within reach of the cows.	10%	10%
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	(D) Begin feeding total mixed rations within 2 hrs of grinding and mixing rations. Note: If selected for dairies > 999 milk cows, control efficiency already included in EF.	0%	0%
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Feed steam-flaked, dry rolled, cracked or ground corn or other ground cereal grains.	10%	10%
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Remove uneaten wet feed from feed bunks within 24 hrs after the end of a rain event.	0%	0%
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	(D) For total mixed rations that contain at least 30% by weight of silage, feed animals total mixed rations that contain at least 45% moisture.	0%	0%
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Feed according to NRC guidelines. Note: If selected for dairies, control efficiency already included in EF.	0%	0%
		Total Control Efficiency	19.00%	19.00%

Dairy Emission Factors

Milking Parlor		Ib/d-yr Dairy Emissions Factors for Holstein Cows																								
		Milk Cows				Dry Cows				Large Heifers (15 to 24 months)				Medium Heifers (7 to 14 months)				Small Heifers (3 to 6 months)				Calves (0 - 3 months)				
		Uncontrolled	Controlled	Uncontrolled	Controlled	Uncontrolled	Controlled	Uncontrolled	Controlled	Uncontrolled	Controlled	Uncontrolled	Controlled	Uncontrolled	Controlled	Uncontrolled	Controlled	Uncontrolled	Controlled	Uncontrolled	Controlled					
VOC	Enteric Emissions in Milking Parlors	0.43	0.41	0.37	0.37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Milking Parlor Floor	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Total	0.47	0.44	0.40	0.40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	NH3	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	0.66	0.63	0.59	0.59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VOC	Enteric Emissions in Cow Housing	3.89	3.69	3.32	3.32	2.23	2.23	2.01	2.01	1.81	1.71	1.54	1.54	1.23	1.17	1.05	1.05	0.65	0.65	0.50	0.50	0.32	0.31	0.28	0.28	0.28
	Composting	10.00	6.60	5.08	5.08	5.40	3.59	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.12	2.12	2.12	2.05	1.80	1.45	1.45	1.60	1.04	0.80	0.80	0.75	0.50	0.39	0.39	0.39
	Bedding	1.05	1.00	0.90	0.90	0.54	0.54	0.49	0.49	0.44	0.44	0.38	0.38	0.30	0.28	0.26	0.26	0.17	0.16	0.14	0.14	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07
	Lanes	0.84	0.80	0.72	0.72	0.45	0.44	0.39	0.39	0.35	0.33	0.30	0.30	0.24	0.23	0.21	0.21	0.13	0.13	0.11	0.11	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05
	Total	18.78	12.09	10.02	10.02	8.75	6.80	6.85	6.85	6.81	6.22	4.34	4.34	4.82	3.56	2.96	2.96	2.96	2.89	1.93	1.64	1.64	1.22	0.85	0.78	0.78
NH3	Enteric Emissions in Cow Housing	41.90	41.90	41.90	41.90	21.20	21.20	21.20	21.20	11.00	11.00	11.00	11.00	7.90	7.90	7.90	7.90	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	1.80	1.80	1.80	1.80	1.80
	Composting	6.30	6.30	6.30	6.30	3.20	3.20	3.20	3.20	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
	Bedding	5.10	5.10	5.10	5.10	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
	Lanes	5.10	5.10	5.10	5.10	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
	Total	53.30	53.30	53.30	53.30	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	14.00	14.00	14.00	14.00	10.10	10.10	10.10	10.10	7.60	7.60	7.60	7.60	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30
VOC	Lagoon/Storage Ponds	1.52	1.30	1.17	1.17	0.82	0.71	0.64	0.64	0.54	0.49	0.49	0.49	0.43	0.37	0.33	0.33	0.24	0.21	0.19	0.19	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.09
	Liquid Manure Land Application	1.64	1.40	1.26	1.26	0.69	0.76	0.69	0.69	0.58	0.53	0.53	0.47	0.40	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.26	0.22	0.20	0.20	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.10
	Total	3.16	2.70	2.43	2.43	1.51	1.47	1.33	1.33	1.13	1.02	1.02	0.96	0.77	0.69	0.69	0.69	0.51	0.43	0.39	0.39	0.24	0.21	0.19	0.18	0.18
	NH3	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
	Total	6.90	6.90	6.90	6.90	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37
VOC	MA/Dry in Loading Barns	17.10	17.10	17.10	17.10	8.70	8.70	8.70	8.70	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	3.20	3.20	3.20	3.20	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72
	MA/Heifers in Loading Barns	0.16	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
	MA/Calves in Loading Barns	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	MA/Dry in Corrals	0.39	0.33	0.30	0.30	0.21	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02
	Total	0.81	0.64	0.48	0.48	0.33	0.29	0.26	0.26	0.23	0.20	0.20	0.17	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04
NH3	Solid Manure Storage	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48
	Separated Solids Piles	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19
	Solid Manure Land Application	2.09	2.09	2.09	2.09	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06
	Total	3.42	3.42	3.42	3.42	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73
	Total	3.42	3.42	3.42	3.42	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73	1.73

Silage and TMR (Total Mixed Ration) Emissions (µg/m ² -min)	
Stage Type	Emissions
Uncontrolled	21,155
Controlled	21,155
Com Stage	17,459
MA/Heifers Stage	43,644
MA/Calves Stage	26,745
TMR	10,575
Total	10,575

Assumptions: 1) Each silage pile is completely covered except for the front (see area 2) Raucors are tied within 40 hours.

Type of Cow	Dairy EF	Source
Cows in Freealls	1.37	Based on a Summer 2003 study by Texas A&M ASAE at a West Texas Dairy
MA/Dry in Loading Barns	2.73	SNAPCD
MA/Heifers in Loading Barns	5.26	SNAPCD
MA/Calves in Loading Barns	0.69	SNAPCD
MA/Dry in Corrals	5.46	Based on a Summer 2003 study by Texas A&M ASAE at a West Texas Dairy
Support Stock (Heifers/Calves) in Open Corrals	10.96	Based on a USDA/UC Davis report quantifying dairy and feedlot emissions in Tulare & Kern Counties (April '01)
Large Heifers in Open Corrals	6.01	SNAPCD
Calves (Under 3 mo) in Open Corrals	1.37	SNAPCD
Calves on-ground hatches	0.343	SNAPCD
Calves above-ground hatches	0.669	SNAPCD
Calves above-ground hatches	0.208	SNAPCD

The controlled PM10 EF will be calculated based on the specific PM10 mitigation measures, if any, for each freestall, corral, or calf hutch area. See the PM Mitigation Measures for calculations.

Control Measure	PM10 Control Efficiency
Shaded corrals (milk and dry cows)	16.7%
Shaded corrals (heifers and bulls)	8.3%
Downwind shelterbelts	12.5%
Upwind shelterbelts	10%
Freestall with no exercise pens and non-manure based bedding	90%
Freestall with no exercise pens and manure based bedding	80%
Bi-weekly corral/exercise pen scraping and/or manure removal using a pull type manure harvesting equipment in morning hours when moisture in air except during periods of rainy weather	15%
Sprinkling of open corrals/exercise pens	15%
Feeding young stock (heifers and calves) near dusk	10%

Pre-Project PM10 Mitigation Measures

Pre-Project PM10 Mitigation Measures														
Housing Name(s) or #s	Type of Housing	Type of cow	Total # of cows in Each Housing Structure(s)	Maximum Design Capacity of Each Structure	# of Combined Housing Structures in row	Shaded Corrals	Downwind Shelterbelts	Upwind Shelterbelts	No exercise pens, non-manure bedding	No exercise pens, manure bedding	Fibrous layer	Bi-weekly scraping Corrals/Pens	Sprinkling Corrals/Pens	Feed Young Stock Near Dusk
1	Milk Cows	open corral	3,000	3,000		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	Dry Cows	open corral	620	620		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3	Support Stock	open corral	2,020	2,020		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
17						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
18						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
19						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
20						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
21						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
22						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
23						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
24						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
25						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
26						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
27						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
28						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
29						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
30						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
31						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
32						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
33						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
34						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
35						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
36						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
37						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
38						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
39						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
40						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
#REF!														

Pre-Project PM10 Control Efficiencies and Emission Factors															
Housing Name(s) or #s	Type of Housing	Type of cow	Total # of cows in Each Housing Structure(s)	Maximum Design Capacity of Each Structure	Uncontrolled EF (lb/hd-yr)	Shaded Corrals	Downwind Shelterbelts	Upwind Shelterbelts	No exercise pens, non-manure bedding	No exercise pens, manure bedding	Fibrous layer	Bi-weekly scraping Corrals/Pens	Sprinkling Corrals/Pens	Feed Young Stock Near Dusk	Controlled EF (lb/hd-yr)
1	Milk Cows	open corral	3,000	3,000	5,460	16.7%									4.55
2	Dry Cows	open corral	620	620	5,460										5.46
3	Support Stock	open corral	2,020	2,020	10,550										10.55
4															
5															
6															
7															
8															
9															
10															
11															
12															
13															
14															
15															
16															
17															
18															
19															
20															
21															
22															
23															
24															
25															
26															
27															
28															
29															
30															
31															
32															
33															
34															
35															
36															
37															
38															
39															
40															
Pre-Project Total # of Cows															#REF!

Post-Project Potential to Emit - Cow Housing

Post-Project Potential to Emit - Cow Housing												
Housing Name(s) or #s	Type of Cow	# of Cows	Controlled VOC EF (lb/hd-yr)	Controlled NH3 EF (lb/hd-yr)	Controlled PM10 EF (lb/hd-yr)	VOC (lb/day)	VOC (lb/yr)	NH3 (lb/day)	NH3 (lb/yr)	PM10 (lb/day)	PM10 (lb/yr)	
1	Milk Cows	milk cows	3,000	10.02	53.30	4.55	82.4	30,060	438.1	159,900	37.4	13,644
2	Dry Cows	dry cows	620	5.65	27.00	5.46	9.6	3,503	45.9	16,740	9.3	3,385
3	Heifers	support stock	2,020	4.34	14.00	10.55	24.0	8,767	77.5	28,280	58.4	21,311
4												
5												
6												
7												
8												
9												
10												
11												
12												
13												
14												
15												
16												
17												
18												
19												
20												
21												
22												
23												
24												
25												
26												
27												
28												
29												
30												
31												
32												
33												
34												
35												
36												
37												
38												
39												
40												
Post-Project # of Cows (non-expansion)		5,640					116.0	42,330	561.5	204,920	105.1	38,340

*Multiple emissions units (freestalls, corrals, calf hutch areas, etc.) are combined in these rows.

Post-Project Potential to Emit - Cow Housing: New Housing Units at an Expanding Dairy												
Housing Name(s) or #s	Type of Cow	# of Cows	Controlled VOC EF (lb/hd-yr)	Controlled NH3 EF (lb/hd-yr)	Controlled PM10 EF (lb/hd-yr)	VOC (lb/day)	VOC (lb/yr)	NH3 (lb/day)	NH3 (lb/yr)	PM10 (lb/day)	PM10 (lb/yr)	
1												
2												
3												
4												
5												
6												
7												
8												
9												
10												
11												
12												
13												
14												
Total # of Cows From Expansion		0				0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	

*Multiple emissions units (freestalls, corrals, calf hutch areas, etc.) are combined in these rows.

Post-Project Totals						
Total # of Cows	VOC (lb/day)	VOC (lb/yr)	NH3 (lb/day)	NH3 (lb/yr)	PM10 (lb/day)	PM10 (lb/yr)
5,640	116.0	42,330	561.5	204,920	105.1	38,340

Calculations:

Annual PE 2 for each pollutant (lb/yr) = Controlled EF (lb/hd-yr) x # of cows (hd)
 Daily PE2 for each pollutant (lb/day) = [Controlled EF (lb/hd-yr) x # of cows (hd)] + 365 (day/yr)

Post-Project Potential to Emit (PE2)

Post-Project Herd Size						
Herd	Flushed Freestalls	Scraped Freestalls	Flushed Corrals	Scraped Corrals	Total # of Animals	
Milk Cows	0	0	3,000	0	3,000	
Dry Cows	0	0	620	0	620	
Support Stock (Heifers, Calves, and Bulls)	0	0	2,020	0	2,020	
Large Heifers	0	0	0	0	0	
Medium Heifers	0	0	0	0	0	
Small Heifers	0	0	0	0	0	
Bulls	0	0	0	0	0	
	Calf Hutches				Calf Corrals	
	Aboveground Flushed	Aboveground Scraped	On-Ground Flushed	On-Ground Scraped	Flushed	Scraped
Calves	0	0	0	0	0	0

Silage Information				
Feed Type	Maximum # Open Piles	Maximum Height (ft)	Maximum Width (ft)	Open Face Area (ft ²)
Corn	2	27	160	6,378
Alfalfa	1	25	120	2,285
Wheat	1	25	160	2,918

Milking Parlor				
Cow	VOC		NH3	
	lb/day	lb/yr	lb/day	lb/yr
Milk Cows				
Total	3.3	1,200	1.6	570

	Cow Housing					
	VOC		NH3		PM10	
	lb/day	lb/yr	lb/day	lb/yr	lb/day	lb/yr
Total	116.0	42,330	562	204,920	105	38,340

Cow	Liquid Manure Handling					
	VOC		NH3		H2S	
	lb/day	lb/yr	lb/day	lb/yr	lb/day	lb/yr
Milk Cows	20.0	7,290	140.5	51,300	6.7	2,460
Dry Cows	2.3	825	14.8	5,394	0.7	260
Support Stock (Heifers, Calves, and Bulls)	5.6	2,060	24.9	9,090	1.2	444
Large Heifers	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	0
Medium Heifers	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	0
Small Heifers	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	0
Calves	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	0
Bulls	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	0
Total	27.9	10,175	180.2	65,784	8.6	3,165

Cow	Solid Manure Handling			
	VOC		NH3	
	lb/day	lb/yr	lb/day	lb/yr
Milk Cows	3.9	1,440	28.1	10,260
Dry Cows	0.4	161	2.9	1,073
Support Stock (Heifers, Calves, and Bulls)	1.1	404	5.0	1,818
Large Heifers	0.0	0	0.0	0
Medium Heifers	0.0	0	0.0	0
Small Heifers	0.0	0	0.0	0
Calves	0.0	0	0.0	0
Bulls	0.0	0	0.0	0
Total	5.4	2,005	36.0	13,151

Feed Handling and Storage		
	Daily PE (lb-VOC/day)	Annual PE (lb-VOC/yr)
Corn Emissions	39.7	14,495
Alfalfa Emissions	3.6	1,307
Wheat Emissions	23.0	8,384
TMR	124.3	45,381
Total	190.6	69,568

Total Daily Post-Project Potential to Emit (lb/day)							
Permit	NOx	SOx	PM10	CO	VOC	NH3	H2S
Milking Parlor	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	1.6	0.0
Cow Housing	0.0	0.0	105.1	0.0	116.0	561.5	0.0
Liquid Manure	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.9	180.2	8.6
Solid Manure	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.4	36.0	0.0
Feed Handling	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	190.6	0.0	0.0
Total	0.0	0.0	105.1	0.0	343.2	779.3	8.6

Total Annual Post-Project Potential to Emit (lb/yr)							
Permit	NOx	SOx	PM10	CO	VOC	NH3	H2S
Milking Parlor	0	0	0	0	1,200	570	0
Cow Housing	0	0	38,340	0	42,330	204,920	0
Liquid Manure	0	0	0	0	10,175	65,784	3,165
Solid Manure	0	0	0	0	2,005	13,151	0
Feed Handling	0	0	0	0	69,568	0	0
Total	0	0	38,340	0	125,278	284,425	3,165

Calculations for milking parlor:

Annual PE = (# milk cows) x (EF2 lb-pollutant/hd-yr)

Daily PE = (Annual PE lb/yr) + (365 day/yr)

Calculations for cow housing:

See detailed calculations under Cow Housing Calculations worksheet.

Calculations for liquid manure and solid manure handling:

Annual PE = [(# milk cows) x (EF1 lb-pollutant/hd-yr)] + [(# dry cows) x (EF2 lb-pollutant/hd-yr)] + [(# large heifers) x (EF2 lb-pollutant/hd-yr)] + [(# medium heifers) x (EF2 lb-pollutant/hd-yr)] + [(# small heifers) x (EF2 lb-pollutant/hd-yr)] + [(# calves) x (EF2 lb-pollutant/hd-yr)] + [(# bulls) x (EF2 lb-pollutant/hd-yr)]

Daily PE = (Annual PE lb/yr) + (365 day/yr)

The H2S emission factor is assumed to be 10% of the NH3 lagoon/storage pond(s) emission factor, for each respective herd size.

Calculations for silage emissions:

Annual PE = (EF2) x (area ft²) x (0.0929 m²/ft²) x (8,760 hr/yr) x (60 min/hr) x 2.20E-9 lb/μg

Daily PE = (Annual PE lb/yr) + (365 day/yr)

Calculation for TMR emissions:

Annual PE = (# cows) x (EF2) x (0.658 m³) x (525,600 min/yr) x (2.20E-9 lb/μg)

Daily PE = (Annual PE lb/yr) + (365 day/yr)

Calves are not included in TMR calculation.

Major Source Emissions (lb/yr)					
Permit	NOx	SOx	PM10	CO	VOC
Milk Parlor	0	0	0	0	0
Cow Housing	0	0	0	0	0
Liquid Manure	0	0	0	0	4,897
Solid Manure	0	0	0	0	0
Feed Handling	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	4,897

Appendix E
PE Calculations for Permit Unit C-5161-10-0

PE Calculations for Permit Unit C-5161-10-0 (Agricultural Gasoline Dispensing Operation)

Equipment Description:

C-5161-10-0: AGRICULTURAL GASOLINE DISPENSING OPERATION WITH ONE 500 GALLON PHASE I EXEMPT ABOVEGROUND STORAGE TANK AND 1 FUELING POINT WITH 1 PHASE II EXEMPT GASOLINE DISPENSING NOZZLE (IMPLEMENTS OF HUSBANDRY)

Assumptions

- VOC is the only pollutant emitted from this operation
- Annual PE is calculated based on 2,400 gallon per year (per applicant from Project C-1063328)

Emission Factors

These following emission factors were obtained from Appendix A - Emission Factors For Gasoline Stations published by CAPCOA Air Toxic "Hot Spots" Program in the Gasoline Service Station Industrywide Risk Assessment Guidelines dated December 1997.

8.4	lb/1,000 gal	Tank filling loss (no control)
2.1	lb/1,000 gal	Breathing loss
8.4	lb/1,000 gal	Vehicle fueling loss (no control)
0.61	lb/1,000 gal	Spillage
<hr/>		
19.5	lb/1,000 gal	Total VOC losses

Potential to Emit (PE)

Annual Potential to Emit (PE)					
VOC	2,400	(lb/1000 gal) x	19.5	(gal/year) =	47 (lb/year)

Appendix F QNEC Calculations

Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The Quarterly Net Emissions Change is used to complete the emission profile screen for the District's PAS database. The QNEC shall be calculated as follows:

QNEC = PE2 - PE1, where:

QNEC = Quarterly Net Emissions Change for each emissions unit, lb/qtr

PE2 = Post-Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr

PE1 = Pre-Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr

Since this is a new unit, PE1 = 0 for all pollutants. Thus, QNEC = PE2 (lb/qtr).

Using the PE2 (lb/yr) values calculated in Section VII.C.2, Quarterly PE2 is calculated as follows:

$$PE2_{\text{quarterly}} = PE2 \text{ (lb/yr)} \div 4 \text{ quarters/year} = QNEC$$

QNEC		
Pollutant	PE2 Total (lb/yr)	Quarterly PE2 (lb/qtr)
NO _x	672	168.0
SO _x	1	0.3
PM ₁₀	12	3.0
CO	202	50.5
VOC	12	3.0

Appendix G
BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 3.1.1
Last Update: 9/10/2013
Emergency Diesel IC Engine

Pollutant	Achieved in Practice or in the SIP	Technologically Feasible	Alternate Basic Equipment
CO	Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range*		
NOX	Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range*		
PM10	0.15 g/bhp-hr or the Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range, whichever is more stringent. (ATCM)		
SOX	Very low sulfur diesel fuel (15 ppmw sulfur or less)		
VOC	Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range*		

*Note: The certification requirements are as follows: for emergency engines $50 \leq \text{bhp} < 75$ - Tier 4 Interim; for emergency engines $75 \leq \text{bhp} < 750$ - Tier 3; for emergency engines ≥ 750 bhp - Tier 2.

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in a state implementation plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State Implementation Plan.

Top Down BACT Analysis for the Emergency IC Engine

BACT Guideline 3.1.1 (September 10, 2013) applies to emergency diesel IC engines. In accordance with the District BACT policy, information from that guideline will be utilized without further analysis.

1. BACT Analysis for NO_x and VOC Emissions:

a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

BACT Guideline 3.1.1 identifies only the following option:

- *Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range*

To determine the latest applicable Tier level, the following EPA and state regulations were consulted:

- 40 CFR Part 89 – Control of Emissions from New and In-Use Nonroad Compression – Ignition Engines
- 40 CFR Part 1039 – Control of Emissions from New and In-Use Nonroad Compression-Ignition Engines
- Title 17 CCR, Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines

40 CFR Parts 89 and 1039, which apply only to nonroad engines, do not directly apply because the proposed emergency engine does not meet the definition of a nonroad engine. Therefore, only Title 17 CCR, Section 93115 applies directly to the proposed emergency engine.

Title 17 CCR, Section 93115.6(a)(3)(A) (CARB stationary diesel engine ATCM) applies to emergency standby diesel-fired engines and requires that such engines be certified to the emission levels in Table 1 (below).

Maximum Engine Power	Tier	Model Year(s)	PM	NMHC+NOx	CO
50 ≤ HP < 75 (37 ≤ kW < 56)	2	2007	0.15 (0.20)	5.6 (7.5)	3.7 (5.0)
	4i	2008+		3.5 (4.7)	
75 ≤ HP < 100 (56 ≤ kW < 75)	2	2007	0.15 (0.20)	5.6 (7.5)	3.7 (5.0)
	3	2008+		3.5 (4.7)	
100 ≤ HP < 175 (75 ≤ kW < 130)	3	2007	0.15 (0.20)	3.0 (4.0)	3.7 (5.0)
		2008+			
175 ≤ HP < 300 (130 ≤ kW < 225)	3	2007	0.15 (0.20)	3.0 (4.0)	2.6 (3.5)
		2008+			
300 ≤ HP < 600 (225 ≤ kW < 450)	3	2007	0.15 (0.20)	3.0 (4.0)	2.6 (3.5)
		2008+			
600 ≤ HP ≤ 750 (450 ≤ kW ≤ 560)	3	2007	0.15 (0.20)	3.0 (4.0)	2.6 (3.5)
		2008+			
HP > 750 (kW > 560)	2	2007	0.15 (0.20)	4.8 (6.4)	2.6 (3.5)
		2008+			

Therefore, the most stringent applicable emission standards are those listed in the CARB ATCM (Table 1).

For IC engines rated greater than or equal to 50 hp and less than 75 hp, the highest Tier required is Tier 4i. For IC engines rated greater than or equal to 75 hp and less than 750 hp, the highest Tier required is Tier 3. For engines rated equal to or greater than 750 hp, the highest Tier required is Tier 2.

Also, please note that neither the state ATCM nor the Code of Federal Regulations require the installation of IC engines meeting a higher Tier standard than those listed above for emergency applications, due to concerns regarding the effectiveness of the exhaust emissions controls during periods of short-term operation (such as testing operational readiness of an emergency engine).

The proposed engine is rated at 762 hp. Therefore, the applicable control technology option is EPA Tier 2 certification.

b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

The control option listed in Step 1 is not technologically infeasible.

c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

No ranking needs to be done because there is only one control option listed in Step 1.

d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

The applicant has proposed the only control option remaining under consideration. Therefore, a cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

e. Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for NOx and VOC will be the use of an EPA Tier 2 certified engine. The applicant is proposing such a unit. Therefore, BACT will be satisfied.

2. BACT Analysis for PM₁₀ Emissions:

a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

BACT Guideline 3.1.1 identifies only the following option:

- *0.15 g/bhp-hr or the Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range, whichever is more stringent. (ATCM)*

The latest EPA Tier Certification level for an engine of the proposed model year and horsepower rating is Tier 2. Refer to the Top-Down BACT analysis for NO_x for a discussion regarding the determination of the EPA Tier level to be considered.

Please note Tier 2 IC engines do not have a PM emission standard that is more stringent than 0.15 g/hp-hr. Additionally, the ATCM requires a PM emission standard of 0.15 g/hp-hr for all new emergency diesel IC engines.

Therefore, a PM/PM₁₀ emission standard of 0.15 g/hp-hr is required as BACT.

b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

The control option listed in Step 1 is not technologically infeasible.

c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

No ranking needs to be done because there is only one control option listed in Step 1.

d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

The applicant has proposed the only control option remaining under consideration. Therefore, a cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

e. Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for PM₁₀ is emissions of 0.15 g/hp-hr or less. The applicant is proposing an engine that meets this requirement. Therefore, BACT will be satisfied.

Appendix H
RMR Summary and AAQA

San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Risk Management Review and Ambient Air Quality Analysis

To: Ramon Norman – Permit Services
 From: Madison R Perkins – Technical Services
 Date: March 25, 2019
 Facility Name: MILK-TIME DAIRY FARMS
 Location: 12519 ROAD 16, MADERA
 Application #(s): C-5161-12-0
 Project #: C-1190001

1. Summary

1.1 RMR

Units	Prioritization Score	Acute Hazard Index	Chronic Hazard Index	Maximum Individual Cancer Risk	T-BACT Required	Special Permit Requirements
12	NA ¹	NA ²	0.00	1.20E-07	No	Yes
Project Totals	NA ¹	NA ²	0.00	1.20E-07		
Facility Totals	>1	NA ²	0.00	1.20E-07		

¹Prioritization for this unit was not conducted since it has been determined that all diesel-fired IC engines will result in a prioritization score greater than 1.0.

²Acute Hazard Index was not calculated since there is no risk factor or the risk factor is so low that it has been determined to be insignificant for this type of unit.

1.2 AAQA

Pollutant	Air Quality Standard (State/Federal)				
	1 Hour	3 Hours	8 Hours	24 Hours	Annual
CO	NA ²		NA ²		
NO _x	NA ²				Pass
SO _x	NA ²	NA ²		NA ²	Pass
PM10				NA ²	Pass
PM2.5				NA ²	Pass
Ozone	NA ²		NA ²		

Notes:

- Results were taken from the attached AAQA Report.
- The project is an intermittent source as defined in APR-1920. In accordance with APR-1920, compliance with short-term (i.e., 1-hour, 3-hour, 8-hour and 24-hour) standards is not required.
- The criteria pollutants are below EPA's level of significance as found in 40 CFR Part 51.165 (b)(2) unless otherwise noted.
- Modeled PM10 concentrations were below the District SIL for non-fugitive sources of 1 µg/m³ for the annual concentration.
- Modeled PM2.5 concentrations were below the District SIL for non-fugitive sources of 0.2 µg/m³ for the annual concentration.

1.3 Proposed Permit Requirements

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the following shall be included as requirements for:

Unit # 12-0

1. The PM₁₀ emissions rate shall not exceed 0.07 g/bhp-hr based on US EPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure.
 2. The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction.
 3. This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours
- Project Description

Technical Services received a request on February 25, 2019 to perform a Risk Management Review (RMR) and Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA) for the following:

- Unit -12-0: 762 BHP (INTERMITTENT) CATERPILLAR MODEL C15 TIER 2 CERTIFIED DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY STANDBY IC ENGINE POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR (REPLACEMENT FOR PERMIT UNIT C-5161-9)

2. RMR Report

2.1 Analysis

The District performed an analysis pursuant to the District's Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources (APR 1905, May 28, 2015) to determine the possible cancer and non-cancer health impact to the nearest resident or worksite. This policy requires that an assessment be performed on a unit by unit basis, project basis, and on a facility-wide basis. If a preliminary prioritization analysis demonstrates that:

- A unit's prioritization score is less than the District's significance threshold and;
- The project's prioritization score is less than the District's significance threshold and;
- The facility's total prioritization score is less than the District's significance threshold

Then, generally no further analysis is required.

The District's significant prioritization score threshold is defined as being equal to or greater than 1.0. If a preliminary analysis demonstrates that either the unit(s) or the project's or the facility's total prioritization score is greater than the District threshold, a screening or a refined assessment is required

If a refined assessment is greater than one in a million but less than 20 in one million for carcinogenic impacts (Cancer Risk) and less than 1.0 for the Acute and Chronic hazard indices (Non-Carcinogenic) on a unit by unit basis, project basis and on a facility-wide basis the proposed application is considered less than significant. For unit's that exceed a cancer risk of 1 in one million, Toxic Best Available Control Technology (TBACT) must be implemented.

Toxic emissions for this project were calculated using the following methods:

- Toxic emissions for the proposed unit were calculated and provided by the processing engineer.

These emissions were input into the San Joaquin Valley APCD's Hazard Assessment and Reporting Program (SHARP). In accordance with the District's Risk Management Policy, risks from the proposed unit's toxic emissions were prioritized using the procedure in the 2016 CAPCOA Facility Prioritization Guidelines. The prioritization score for this proposed facility was

greater than 1.0 (see RMR Summary Table). Therefore, a refined health risk assessment was required.

The AERMOD model was used, with the parameters outlined below and meteorological data for 2015-2017 from Madera (rural dispersion coefficient selected) to determine the dispersion factors (i.e., the predicted concentration or X divided by the normalized source strength or Q) for a receptor grid. These dispersion factors were input into the SHARP Program, which then used the Air Dispersion Modeling and Risk Tool (ADMRT) of the Hot Spots Analysis and Reporting Program Version 2 (HARP 2) to calculate the chronic and acute hazard indices and the carcinogenic risk for the project.

The following parameters were used for the review:

Source Process Rates					
Unit ID	Process ID	Process Material	Process Units	Hourly Process Rate	Annual Process Rate
12	1	PM10	Lb's	0.118	12

Point Source Parameters						
Unit ID	Unit Description	Release Height (m)	Temp. (°K)	Exit Velocity (m/sec)	Stack Diameter (m)	Vertical/Horizontal/Capped
12	762 BHP DICE	2.90	804	52.47	0.20	Vertical

3. AAQA Report

The District modeled the impact of the proposed project on the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) and/or California Ambient Air Quality Standard (CAAQS) in accordance with District Policy APR-1925 (Policy for District Rule 2201 AAQA Modeling) and EPA's Guideline for Air Quality Modeling (Appendix W of 40 CFR Part 51). The District uses a progressive three level approach to perform AAQAs. The first level (Level 1) uses a very conservative approach. If this analysis indicates a likely exceedance of an AAQS or Significant Impact Level (SIL), the analysis proceeds to the second level (Level 2) which implements a more refined approach. For the 1-hour NO₂ standard, there is also a third level that can be implemented if the Level 2 analysis indicates a likely exceedance of an AAQS or SIL.

The modeling analyses predicts the maximum air quality impacts using the appropriate emissions for each standard's averaging period. Required model inputs for a refined AAQA include background ambient air quality data, land characteristics, meteorological inputs, a receptor grid, and source parameters including emissions. These inputs are described in the sections that follow.

Ambient air concentrations of criteria pollutants are recorded at monitoring stations throughout the San Joaquin Valley. Monitoring stations may not measure all necessary pollutants, so background data may need to be collected from multiple sources. The following stations were used for this evaluation:

Monitoring Stations				
Pollutant	Station Name	County	City	Measurement Year
CO	Madera-Pump Yard	Madera	Madera	2016
NOx	Madera-Pump Yard	Madera	Madera	2016
PM10	28261 Avenue 14 Madera CA 93638	Madera	Madera	2016
PM2.5	28261 Avenue 14 Madera CA 93638	Madera	Madera	2016
SOx	Fresno - Garland	Fresno	Fresno	2016

Technical Services performed modeling for directly emitted criteria pollutants with the emission rates below:

Emission Rates (lbs/hour)						
Unit ID	Process	NOx	SOx	CO	PM10	PM2.5
12	1	6.72	0.01	2.02	0.12	0.12

Emission Rates (lbs/year)						
Unit ID	Process	NOx	SOx	CO	PM10	PM2.5
12	1	672	001	17,660	12	12

The AERMOD model was used to determine if emissions from the project would cause or contribute to an exceedance of any state of federal air quality standard. The parameters outlined below and meteorological data for 2015-2017 from Madera (rural dispersion coefficient selected) were used for the analysis:

The following parameters were used for the review:

Point Source Parameters						
Unit ID	Unit Description	Release Height (m)	Temp. (°K)	Exit Velocity (m/sec)	Stack Diameter (m)	Vertical/Horizontal/Capped
12	762 BHP DICE	2.90	804	52.47	0.20	Vertical

4. Conclusion

4.1 RMR

The cumulative acute and chronic indices for this facility, including this project, are below 1.0; and the cumulative cancer risk for this facility, including this project, is less than 20 in a million. In addition, the cancer risk for each unit in this project is less than 1.0 in a million. **In accordance with the District's Risk Management Policy, the project is approved without Toxic Best Available Control Technology (T-BACT).**

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the permit requirements listed on page 1 of this report must be included for this proposed unit.

These conclusions are based on the data provided by the applicant and the project engineer. Therefore, this analysis is valid only as long as the proposed data and parameters do not change.

4.2 AAQA

The emissions from the proposed equipment will not cause or contribute significantly to a violation of the State and National AAQS.

5. Attachments

- A. Modeling request from the project engineer
- B. Additional information from the applicant/project engineer
- C. Prioritization score w/ toxic emissions summary
- D. Facility Summary
- E. AAQA results