JUL 17 2019

Juan Ozuna
US Cold Storage of California, Inc.
PO Box 2067
Fresno, CA 93718

Re: Notice of Preliminary Decision - Authority to Construct
Facility Number: N-9632
Project Number: N-1191617

Dear Mr. Ozuna:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of US Cold Storage of California, Inc.'s application for an Authority to Construct for installing a 950 bhp diesel-fired IC engine powering a firewater pump, at 3700 W Canal Drive, Turlock.

The notice of preliminary decision for this project will be published approximately three days from the date of this letter. After addressing all comments made during the 30-day public notice period, the District intends to issue the Authority to Construct. Please submit your written comments on this project within the 30-day public comment period, as specified in the enclosed public notice.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Ms. Youjin Kim of Permit Services at (209) 557-6454.

Sincerely,

[signature]

Arnaud Marjollet
Director of Permit Services

AM:yk

Enclosures

cc: Brian Clerico, CARB (w/ enclosure) via email
San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District
Authority to Construct
Application Review
Diesel-Fired Emergency Firewater Pump IC Engine

Facility Name: US Cold Storage of California
Mailing Address: PO Box 2067 Fresno, CA 93718
Date: July 2, 2019
Engineer: Youjin Kim
Lead Engineer: James Harader
Contact Person: Juan Ozuna
Telephone: (360) 854-8820
Application #: N-9632-1-0
Project #: 1191617
Complete: May 3, 2019

I. Proposal

US Cold Storage of California is proposing to install a 950 bhp (intermittent) diesel-fired emergency internal combustion (IC) engine powering a firewater pump.

II. Applicable Rules

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule (02/18/16)
Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits (6/21/01)
Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (4/14/99)
Rule 4101 Visible Emissions (2/17/05)
Rule 4102 Nuisance (12/17/92)
Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration (12/17/92)
Rule 4701 Stationary Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 1 (8/21/03)
Rule 4702 Stationary Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 2 (11/14/13)
Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds (12/17/92)
CH&SC 41700 Health Risk Assessment
CH&SC 42301.6 School Notice
Title 13 California Code of Regulations (CCR), Section 2423 – Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures, Off-Road Compression-Ignition Engines and Equipment
Title 17 CCR, Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines
Public Resources Code 21000-21177: California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)
California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15000-15387: CEQA Guidelines
III. Project Location

The project is located at 3700 W. Canal Drive, Turlock, CA 95380. The District has verified that the equipment is not located within 1,000 feet of the outer boundary of a K-12 school. Therefore, the public notification requirement of California Health and Safety Code 42301.6 is not applicable to this project.

IV. Process Description

The emergency engine powers a firewater pump. Other than emergency operation, the engine may be operated up to 100 hours per year for maintenance and testing purposes.

V. Equipment Listing

N-9632-1-0: 950 BHP CUMMINS MODEL QSK 23 TIER 2 CERTIFIED DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY IC ENGINE POWERING A FIREWATER PUMP

VI. Emission Control Technology Evaluation

The engine is equipped with:

[X] Turbocharger
[X] Intercooler/aftercooler
[ ] Injection timing retard (or equivalent per District Policy SSP-1805, dated 8/14/1996)
[X] Positive Crankcase Ventilation (PCV) or 90% efficient control device
[ ] This engine is required to be, and is UL certified
[ ] Catalytic particulate filter
[X] Very Low (0.0015%) sulfur diesel

The emission control devices/technologies and their effect on diesel engine emissions detailed below are from Non-catalytic NOx Control of Stationary Diesel Engines, by Don Koeberlein, CARB.

The turbocharger reduces the NOx emission rate from the engine by approximately 10% by increasing the efficiency and promoting more complete burning of the fuel.

The intercooler/aftercooler functions in conjunction with the turbocharger to reduce the inlet air temperature. By reducing the inlet air temperature, the peak combustion temperature is lowered, which reduces the formation of thermal NOx. NOx emissions are reduced by approximately 15% with this control technology.

The PCV system reduces crankcase VOC and PM10 emissions by at least 90% over an uncontrolled crankcase vent.

The use of very low-sulfur diesel fuel (0.0015% by weight sulfur maximum) reduces SOx emissions by over 99% from standard diesel fuel.
VII. General Calculations

A. Assumptions

Emergency operating schedule: 24 hours/day
Non-emergency operating schedule: up to 100 hours/year
Density of diesel fuel: 7.1 lb/gal
EPA F-factor (adjusted to 60 °F): 9,051 dscf/MMBtu
Fuel heating value: 137,000 Btu/gal
BHP to Btu/hr conversion: 2,542.5 Btu/bhp-hr
Thermal efficiency of engine: commonly ≈ 35%
PM₁₀ fraction of diesel exhaust: 0.96 (CARB, 1988)

B. Emission Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Emission Factor (g/bhp-hr)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOₓ</td>
<td>3.678</td>
<td>Engine Manufacturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOₓ</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>Mass Balance Equation Below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM₁₀</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>Engine Manufacturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.447</td>
<td>Engine Manufacturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>Engine Manufacturer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\frac{0.000015 \text{ lb} - \text{S}}{\text{lb} - \text{fuel}} \cdot \frac{7.1 \text{ lb} - \text{fuel}}{\text{gallon}} \cdot \frac{2 \text{ lb} - \text{SO}_2}{1 \text{ gal}} \cdot \frac{1 \text{ gal}}{1 \text{ lb} - \text{fuel}} \cdot \frac{137,000 \text{ Btu}}{1 \text{ bhp} \cdot \text{hp} - \text{hr}} \cdot \frac{2,542.5 \text{ Btu}}{1 \text{ bhp} \cdot \text{hp} - \text{hr}} \cdot \frac{453.6 \text{ g}}{1 \text{ lb}} = 0.0051 \frac{g - \text{SO}_2}{\text{bhp} - \text{hr}}
\]
C. Calculations

1. Pre-Project Emissions (PE1)

Since this is a new emissions unit, PE1 = 0.

2. Post Project PE (PE2)

The daily and annual PE are calculated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Emissions Factor (g/bhp-hr)</th>
<th>Rating (bhp)</th>
<th>Daily Hours of Operation (hrs/day)</th>
<th>Conversion (g/lb)</th>
<th>PE2 Total (lb/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>3.678</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>453.6</td>
<td>184.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>453.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>453.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.447</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>453.6</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>453.6</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Emissions Factor (g/bhp-hr)</th>
<th>Rating (bhp)</th>
<th>Annual Hours of Operation (hrs/yr)</th>
<th>Conversion (g/lb)</th>
<th>PE2 Total (lb/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>3.678</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>453.6</td>
<td>770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>453.6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>453.6</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.447</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>453.6</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>453.6</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1)

Pursuant to Section 4.9 of District Rule 2201, the Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1) is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs at the Stationary Source and the quantity of Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

Since this is a new facility, there are no existing permit units or any ERCs banked at this facility. Thus:

SSPE1 = 0 lb/yr for all criteria pollutants.
4. Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2)

Pursuant to Section 4.10 of District Rule 2201, the Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2) is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs, except for emissions units proposed to be shut down as part of the Stationary Project, at the Stationary Source and the quantity of Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

Since this is a new facility, SSPE2 is equal to the change in emissions for the facility due to the installation of the new emergency IC engine, permit unit -1-0, as previously determined in Section VII.C.2. Thus:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SSPE2</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{X} (lb/yr)</th>
<th>SO\textsubscript{X} (lb/yr)</th>
<th>PM\textsubscript{10} (lb/yr)</th>
<th>CO (lb/yr)</th>
<th>VOC (lb/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1-0, emergency IC engine</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSPE2 Total</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Major Source Determination

Pursuant to Section 3.24 of District Rule 2201, a Major Source is a stationary source with post project emissions or a Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2), equal to or exceeding one or more of the following threshold values. However, Section 3.24.2 states, "for the purposes of determining major source status, the SSPE2 shall not include the quantity of emission reduction credits (ERC) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site."

This facility does not contain ERCs which have been banked at the source; therefore, no adjustment to SSPE2 is necessary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>SSPE1 (lb/yr)</th>
<th>SSPE2 (lb/yr)</th>
<th>Major Source Threshold (lb/yr)</th>
<th>Existing Major Source?</th>
<th>Becoming a Major Source?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{X}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO\textsubscript{X}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As seen in the table above, the facility is not an existing Major Source and also is not becoming a Major Source as a result of this project.

6. Baseline Emissions (BE)

BE = Pre-project Potential to Emit for:
- Any unit located at a non-Major Source,
- Any Highly-Utilized Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source,
- Any Fully-Offset Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source, or
- Any Clean Emissions Unit, located at a Major Source.

otherwise,

BE = Historic Actual Emissions (HAE), calculated pursuant to Section 3.23

Since this is a new emissions unit, BE = PE1 = 0 for all criteria pollutants.

7. SB 288 Major Modification

SB 288 Major Modification is defined in 40 CFR Part 51.165 as "any physical change in or change in the method of operation of a major stationary source that would result in a significant net emissions increase of any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act."

As discussed in Section VII.C.5 above, this facility is not a major source for any of the pollutants addressed in this project; therefore, the project does not constitute a SB 288 Major Modification.

8. Federal Major Modification

District Rule 2201, Section 3.18 states that Federal Major Modifications are the same as "Major Modification" as defined in 40 CFR 51.165 and part D of Title I of the CAA.

Since this facility is not a Major Source for any pollutants, this project does not constitute a Federal Major Modification. Additionally, since the facility is not a major source for PM_{10} (140,000 lb/year), it is not a major source for PM2.5 (200,000 lb/year).

9. Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The QNEC is calculated solely to establish emissions that are used to complete the District’s PAS emissions profile screen. Detailed QNEC calculations are included in Appendix D.
VIII. Compliance

Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule

A. Best Available Control Technology (BACT)

1. BACT Applicability

BACT requirements are triggered on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis and on an emissions unit-by-emissions unit basis for the following*:

a) Any new emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,

b) The relocation from one Stationary Source to another of an existing emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding two pounds per day,

c) Modifications to an existing emissions unit with a valid Permit to Operate resulting in an AIPE exceeding two pounds per day, and/or

d) Any new or modified emissions unit, in a stationary source project, which results in a Major Modification.

*Except for CO emissions from a new or modified emissions unit at a Stationary Source with an SSPE2 of less than 200,000 pounds per year of CO.

a. New emissions units – PE > 2 lb/day

Since this engine is a new emissions unit, the daily emissions are compared to the BACT thresholds in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Daily Emissions for unit -1-0 (lb/day)</th>
<th>BACT Threshold (lb/day)</th>
<th>SSPE2 (lb/yr)</th>
<th>BACT Triggered?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>184.9</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM_{10}</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0 and SSPE2 ≥ 200,000 lb/yr</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>&gt; 2.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus BACT will be triggered for NOx, PM_{10}, and VOC emissions from the engine for this project.
b. Relocation of emissions units – PE > 2 lb/day

As discussed previously in Section I, this engine is not being relocated from one stationary source to another as a result of this project. Therefore, BACT is not triggered for the relocation of emissions units with a PE > 2 lb/day.

c. Modification of emissions units – Adjusted Increase in Permitted Emissions (AIPE) > 2 lb/day

As discussed previously in Section I, this engine is not being modified as a result of this project. Therefore, BACT is not triggered for the modification of emissions units with an AIPE > 2 lb/day.

d. Major Modification

As discussed previously in Section VII.C.7, this project does not constitute a Major Modification. Therefore, BACT is not triggered for a Major Modification.

2. BACT Guideline

BACT Guideline 3.1.4, which appears in Appendix B of this report, covers diesel-fired emergency IC engines powering a firewater pump.

3. Top Down BACT Analysis

Per District Policy APR 1305, Section IX, "A top-down BACT analysis shall be performed as a part of the Application Review for each application subject to the BACT requirements pursuant to the District's NSR Rule for source categories or classes covered in the BACT Clearinghouse, relevant information under each of the following steps may be simply cited from the Clearinghouse without further analysis."

Pursuant to the attached Top-Down BACT Analysis, which appears in Appendix B of this report, BACT is satisfied with:

- NOx: Certified NOx emissions of 6.9 g/bhp-hr or less
- VOC: Positive crankcase ventilation (or 90% efficient control device)
- PM10: PM10 emissions of 0.1 g/bhp-hr or less

Therefore, the following conditions will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- [edited 3485] Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 3.678 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 0.447 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.185 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201 and 13 CCR 2423 and 17 CCR 93115]
• {edited 3486} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.059 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102 and 13 CCR 2423 and 17 CCR 93115]

• This engine shall be equipped with either a positive crankcase ventilation (PCV) system that recirculates crankcase emissions into the air intake system for combustion, or a crankcase emissions control device of at least 90% control efficiency. [District Rule 221]

B. Offsets

Since emergency IC engines are exempt from the offset requirements of Rule 2201, per Section 4.6.2, offsets are not required for this engine, and no offset calculations are required.

C. Public Notification

1. Applicability

Public noticing is required for:

a. New Major Sources, which is a new facility that is also a Major Source,
b. Major Modifications,
c. Any new emissions unit with a Potential to Emit greater than 100 pounds during any one day for any one pollutant,
d. Any project which results in the offset thresholds being surpassed, and/or
e. Any project with an SSIPR of greater than 20,000 lb/year for any pollutant.

a. New Major Source

New Major Sources are new facilities, which are also Major Sources. As shown previously in Section VII.C.5, the SSPE2 is not greater than the Major Source threshold for any criteria pollutant. Therefore, public noticing is not required for this project for new Major Source purposes.

b. Major Modification

As demonstrated previously in Section VII.C.7, this project does not constitute a Major Modification; therefore, public noticing for Major Modification purposes is not required.

c. PE > 100 lb/day

The Daily PE for this new emissions unit is compared to the daily PE Public Notice Thresholds in the following table:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Daily PE for unit -1-0 (lb/day)</th>
<th>Public Notice Threshold (lb/day)</th>
<th>Public Notice Triggered?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>184.9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As detailed in the preceding table, the NOx 100 lb/day threshold was surpassed with this project. Therefore, public noticing is required for daily emissions greater than 100 lb/day for a new emissions unit.

d. Offset Threshold

The following table compares the SSPE1 with the SSPE2 to the offset thresholds in order to determine if any offset thresholds have been surpassed with this project.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>SSPE1 (lb/yr)</th>
<th>SSPE2 (lb/yr)</th>
<th>Offset Threshold (lb/yr)</th>
<th>Public Notice Required?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>54,750</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>29,200</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As detailed in the preceding table, there were no offset thresholds surpassed with this project. Therefore, public noticing is not required for this project for surpassing the SSPE2 offset thresholds.

e. SSIPE > 20,000 lb/year

Public notification is required for any permitting action that results in a Stationary Source Increase in Permitted Emissions (SSIPE) of more than 20,000 lb/year of any affected pollutant. According to District policy, the SSIPE is calculated as the Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2) minus the Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1), i.e. SSIPE = SSPE2 - SSPE1. The values for SSPE2 and SSPE1 are calculated according to Rule 2201, Sections 4.9 and 4.10, respectively. The SSIPE is compared to the SSIPE Public Notice thresholds in the following table:
### SSIPE Public Notice Threshold

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>SSPE2 (lb/yr)</th>
<th>SSPE1 (lb/yr)</th>
<th>SSIPE (lb/yr)</th>
<th>SSIPE Threshold (lb/yr)</th>
<th>Public Notice Required?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As detailed in the preceding table, there were no SSIPE thresholds/the SSIPE threshold was surpassed with this project. Therefore, public noticing is not required for exceeding the SSIPE thresholds.

### 2. Public Notice Action

As discussed above, public noticing is required for this project for surpassing the PE > 100 lb/day for a new emissions unit threshold for NO\textsubscript{x} emissions. Therefore, public notice documents will be submitted to the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and a public notice will be published in a local newspaper of general circulation prior to the issuance of the ATC for this equipment.

### D. Daily Emissions Limits

Daily Emissions Limitations (DELs) and other enforceable conditions are required by Section 3.15 to restrict a unit’s maximum daily emissions, to a level at or below the emissions associated with the maximum design capacity. Per Sections 3.15.1 and 3.15.2, the DEL must be contained in the latest ATC and contained in or enforced by the latest PTO and enforceable, in a practicable manner, on a daily basis. DELs are also required to enforce the applicability of BACT. For this emergency IC engine, the DELs are stated in the form of emission factors, the maximum engine horsepower rating, and the maximum operational time of 24 or less if required by the HRA hours per day. Therefore, the following conditions (previously proposed in this engineering evaluation) will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- \{edited 3485\} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 3.678 g-NO\textsubscript{x}/bhp-hr, 0.447 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.185 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201 and 13 CCR 2423 and 17 CCR 93115]

- \{edited 3486\} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.059 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102 and 13 CCR 2423 and 17 CCR 93115]
In addition, the DEL for SOx is established by the sulfur content of the fuel being combusted in the engine. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {3395} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801 and 17 CCR 93115]

E. Compliance Assurance

1. Source Testing

Pursuant to District Policy APR 1705, source testing is not required for emergency IC engines to demonstrate compliance with Rule 2201.

2. Monitoring

No monitoring is required to demonstrate compliance with Rule 2201.

3. Recordkeeping

Recordkeeping is required to demonstrate compliance with the offset, public notification, and daily emission limit requirements of Rule 2201. As required by District Rule 4702, Stationary Internal Combustion Engines - Phase 2, this IC engine is subject to recordkeeping requirements. Recordkeeping requirements, in accordance with District Rule 4702, will be discussed in Section VIII, District Rule 4702, of this evaluation.

4. Reporting

No reporting is required to ensure compliance with Rule 2201.

F. Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA)

An AAQA shall be conducted for the purpose of determining whether a new or modified Stationary Source will cause or make worse a violation of an air quality standard. The District’s Technical Services Division conducted the required analysis. Refer to Appendix C of this document for the AAQA summary sheet.

The proposed location is in an attainment area for NOx, CO, and SOx. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for NOx, CO, or SOx.

The proposed location is in a non-attainment area for the state’s PM10 as well as federal and state PM2.5 thresholds. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for PM10 and PM2.5.
Rule 2520  Federally Mandated Operating Permits

Since this facility’s potential to emit does not exceed any major source thresholds of Rule 2201, this facility is not a major source, and Rule 2520 does not apply.

Rule 4001  New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)

40 CFR 60 Subpart III – Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engines

§60.4200 - Applicability

This subpart is applicable to owners and operators of stationary compression ignited internal combustion engines that commence construction after July 11, 2005, where the engines are:

1) Manufactured after April 1, 2006, if not a fire pump engine.
2) Manufactured as a National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) fire pump engine after July 1, 2006.

Since the proposed engine will be installed after July 11, 2005 and will be manufactured after April 1, 2006, this subpart applies.

All of the applicable standards of this subpart are less restrictive than current District requirements. This engine will comply with all current District standards so no further discussion is required.

Rule 4101  Visible Emissions

Rule 4101 states that no air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

• {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]

Rule 4102  Nuisance

Rule 4102 states that no air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. Public nuisance conditions are not expected as a result of these operations, provided the equipment is well maintained. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

• {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]
California Health & Safety Code 41700 (Health Risk Assessment)

District Policy APR 1905 - Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources (dated 3/2/01) specifies that for an increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification, the District perform an analysis to determine the possible impact to the nearest resident or worksite.

Therefore pursuant to the policy, a risk management review has been performed for this project to analyze the impact of toxic emissions. For projects where the increase in cancer risk is greater than one per million, Toxic Best Available Control Technology (T-BACT) is required.

The HRA results for this project are shown below (see the HRA Summary in Appendix C):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Prioritization Score</th>
<th>Acute Hazard Index</th>
<th>Chronic Hazard Index</th>
<th>Maximum Individual Cancer Risk</th>
<th>T-BACT Required</th>
<th>Special Permit Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-0</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.02E-07</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Totals</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.02E-07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Totals</td>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.02E-07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Prioritization for this unit was not conducted since it has been determined that all diesel-fired IC engines will result in a prioritization score greater than 1.0.
2. Acute Hazard Indices were not calculated for Unit 1 since there is no risk factor or the risk factor is so low that it has been determined to be insignificant for this type of unit.

As demonstrated previously, T-BACT is not required for this project because the HRA indicates that the risk is not above the District's thresholds for triggering T-BACT requirements; therefore, compliance with the District's Risk Management Policy is expected.

District policy APR 1905 also specifies that the increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification not have acute or chronic indices, or a cancer risk greater than the District's significance levels (i.e. acute and/or chronic indices greater than 1 and a cancer risk greater than 20 in a million). As outlined by the HRA Summary in Appendix C of this report, the emissions increases for this project was determined to be less than significant.

Therefore, the following conditions will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- The PM<sub>10</sub> emissions rate shall not exceed 0.059 g/bhp-hr based on US EPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure.
- The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction.
• This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per calendar year.

Rule 4201  Particulate Matter Concentration

Particulate matter emissions from the engine will be less than or equal to the rule limit of 0.1 grain per cubic foot of gas at dry standard conditions as shown by the following:

\[
0.1 \times \frac{g - PM}{bhp - hr} \times \frac{1g - PM}{0.96g - PM} \times \frac{1bhp - hr}{2,542.5 Btu} \times \frac{10^6 Btu}{9,051 dscf} \times \frac{0.35 Btu outage}{1 Btu in} \times \frac{15.43 grain}{15.43 grain} = 0.0244 \times \frac{grain-PM}{dscf}
\]

Since 0.0244 grain-PM/dscf is ≤ to 0.1 grain per dscf, compliance with Rule 4201 is expected.

Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

• {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]

Rule 4701  Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 1

Pursuant to Section 7.5.2.3 of District Rule 4702, as of June 1, 2006 District Rule 4701 is no longer applicable to diesel-fired emergency standby or emergency IC engines. Therefore, this diesel-fired emergency IC engine will comply with the requirements of District Rule 4702 and no further discussion is required.

Rule 4702  Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 2

The purpose of this rule is to limit the emissions of nitrogen oxides (NOx), carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compounds (VOC) from internal combustion engines.

This rule applies to any internal combustion engine with a rated brake horsepower greater than 50 horsepower.

Pursuant to Section 4.3, except for the requirements of Section 6.2.3, the requirements of this rule shall not apply to an internal combustion engine that meets the following conditions:

1) The engine is operated exclusively to preserve or protect property, human life, or public health during a disaster or state of emergency, such as a fire or flood, and

2) Except for operations associated with Section 4.3.1.1, the engine is limited to operate no more than 100 hours per calendar year as determined by an operational non-resettable elapsed operating time meter, for periodic maintenance, periodic readiness testing, and readiness testing during and after repair work of the engine, and
3) The engine is operated with a nonresettable elapsed operating time meter. In lieu of installing a nonresettable time meter, the owner of an engine may use an alternative device, method, or technique, in determining operating time provided that the alternative is approved by the APCO. The owner of the engine shall properly maintain and operate the time meter or alternative device in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

Therefore, the emergency IC engine involved with this project will only have to meet the requirements of Section 6.2.3 of this Rule.

Section 6.2.3 requires that an owner claiming an exemption under Section 4.2 or Section 4.3 shall maintain annual operating records. This information shall be retained for at least five years, shall be readily available, and submitted to the APCO upon request and at the end of each calendar year in a manner and form approved by the APCO. Therefore, the following conditions will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {3816} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. For testing purposes, the engine shall only be operated the number of hours necessary to comply with the testing requirements of the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 25 - "Standard for the Inspection, Testing, and Maintenance of Water-Based Fire Protection Systems", 1998 edition. Total hours of operation for all maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per calendar year. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

- {3489} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, and the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.). For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

- {3475} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

In addition, the following conditions will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {3404} This engine shall be equipped with an operational non-resettable elapsed time meter or other APCO approved alternative. [District Rule 4702]

- {3807} An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702]
Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds

Rule 4801 requires that sulfur compound emissions (as SO₂) shall not exceed 0.2% by volume. Using the ideal gas equation, the sulfur compound emissions are calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Volume SO}_2 = (n \times R \times T) ÷ P
\]
\[n = \text{moles SO}_2\]
\[T \text{ (standard temperature)} = 60 \, ^\circ F \text{ or } 520 \, ^\circ R\]
\[R \text{ (universal gas constant)} = \frac{10.73 \text{ psi} \cdot \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb} \cdot \text{mol} \cdot ^\circ R}\]

\[
\frac{0.000015 \, \text{lb} - \text{fuel} \times \frac{7.1 \, \text{lb}}{\text{gal}} \times \frac{64 \, \text{lb} - \text{SO}_2}{9,051 \, \text{scf}} \times \frac{1 \, \text{MMBtu}}{1 \, \text{gal}} \times \frac{10.73 \, \text{psi} - \text{R}^3}{14.7 \, \text{psi} \times 1,000,000} = 1.0 \text{ ppmv}
\]

Since 1.0 ppmv is ≤ 2,000 ppmv, this engine is expected to comply with Rule 4801. Therefore, the following condition (previously proposed in this engineering evaluation) will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {3395} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801 and 17 CCR 93115]

California Health & Safety Code 42301.6 (School Notice)

The District has verified that this site is not located within 1,000 feet of a school. Therefore, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code 42301.6, a school notice is not required.

Title 13 California Code of Regulations (CCR), Section 2423 – Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures, Off-Road Compression-Ignition Engines and Equipment (Required by Title 17 CCR, Section 93115 for New Emergency Diesel IC Engines)

The engine manufacturer's data and/or CARB/EPA engine certification for this engine lists a NOₓ emissions factor of 3.678 g/bhp-hr, a VOC emissions factor of 0.185 g/bhp-hr, a CO emission factor of 0.447 g/bhp-hr, and a PM₁₀ emissions factor of 0.059 g/bhp-hr, all of which satisfy the requirements of 13 CCR, Section 2423. Therefore, the following conditions (previously proposed in this engineering evaluation) will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {edited 3485} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 3.678 g-NOₓ/bhp-hr, 0.447 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.185 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201 and 13 CCR 2423 and 17 CCR 93115]
• {edited 3486} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.059 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102 and 13 CCR 2423 and 17 CCR 93115]

Right of the District to Establish More Stringent Standards:

This regulation also stipulates that the District:

1. May establish more stringent diesel PM, NOx + VOC, VOC, NOx, and CO emission rate standards; and
2. May establish more stringent limits on hours of maintenance and testing on a site-specific basis; and
3. Shall determine an appropriate limit on the number of hours of operation for demonstrating compliance with other District rules and initial start-up testing.

The District has not established more stringent standards at this time. Therefore, the standards previously established in this Section will be utilized.

Title 17 California Code of Regulations (CCR), Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines

Emergency Operating Requirements:

This regulation stipulates that no owner or operator shall operate any new or in-use stationary diesel-fueled compression ignition (CI) emergency standby engine, in response to the notification of an impending rotating outage, unless specific criteria are met.

This section applies to emergency standby IC engines that are permitted to operate during non-emergency conditions for the purpose of providing electrical power. However, District Rule 4702 states that emergency standby IC engines may only be operated during non-emergency conditions for the purposes of maintenance and testing. Therefore, this section does not apply and no further discussion is required.

Fuel and Fuel Additive Requirements:

This regulation also stipulates that as of January 1, 2006 an owner or operator of a new or in-use stationary diesel-fueled CI emergency standby engine shall fuel the engine with CARB Diesel Fuel.

Since the engine involved with this project is a new or in-use stationary diesel-fueled CI emergency standby engine, these fuel requirements are applicable. Therefore, the following condition (previously proposed in this engineering evaluation) will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

• {3395} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801 and 17 CCR 93115]
At-School and Near-School Provisions:

This regulation stipulates that no owner or operator shall operate a new stationary emergency diesel-fueled CI engine, with a PM_{10} emissions factor > than 0.01 g/bhp-hr, for non-emergency use, including maintenance and testing, during the following periods:

1. Whenever there is a school sponsored activity, if the engine is located on school grounds, and
2. Between 7:30 a.m. and 3:30 p.m. on days when school is in session, if the engine is located within 500 feet of school grounds.

The District has verified that the engine is not located within 500 feet of a K-12 school. Therefore, conditions prohibiting non-emergency usage of the engine during school hours will not be placed on the permit.

Recordkeeping Requirements:

This regulation stipulates that as of January 1, 2005, each owner or operator of an emergency diesel-fueled CI engine shall keep a monthly log of usage that shall list and document the nature of use for each of the following:

a. Emergency use hours of operation;
b. Maintenance and testing hours of operation;
c. Hours of operation for emission testing;
d. Initial start-up hours; and
e. If applicable, hours of operation to comply with the testing requirements of National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 25 — “Standard for the Inspection, Testing, and Maintenance of Water-Based Fire Protection Systems,” 1998 edition;
f. Hours of operation for all uses other than those specified in sections ‘a’ through ‘d’ above; and
g. For in-use emergency diesel-fueled engines, the fuel used. The owner or operator shall document fuel use through the retention of fuel purchase records that account for all fuel used in the engine and all fuel purchased for use in the engine, and, at a minimum, contain the following information for each individual fuel purchase transaction:

I. Identification of the fuel purchased as either CARB Diesel, or an alternative diesel fuel that meets the requirements of the Verification Procedure, or an alternative fuel, or CARB Diesel fuel used with additives that meet the requirements of the Verification Procedure, or any combination of the above;
II. Amount of fuel purchased;
III. Date when the fuel was purchased;
IV. Signature of owner or operator or representative of owner or operator who received the fuel; and
V. Signature of fuel provider indicating fuel was delivered.

The proposed new emergency diesel IC engine powering a firewater pump is exempt from the operating hours limitation provided the engine is only operated the amount of hours necessary
to satisfy National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) regulations. Therefore, the following conditions (previously proposed in this engineering evaluation) will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {3489} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, and the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.). For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

- {3475} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

PM Emissions and Hours of Operation Requirements for New Diesel Engines:

This regulation stipulates that as of January 1, 2005, no person shall operate any new stationary emergency standby diesel-fueled CI engine that has a rated brake horsepower greater than 50 unless it meets all of the following applicable emission standards and operating requirements.

1. Emits diesel PM at a rate less than or equal to 0.01 g/bhp-hr; or

2. Meets the current model year diesel PM standard specified in the Off-Road Compression Ignition Engine Standards for off-road engines with the same maximum rated power (Title 13 CCR, Section 2423), whichever is more stringent; and

3. Does not operate more than 51 to 100 hours per year (upon approval by the District) for maintenance and testing purposes. Engine operation is not limited during emergency use and during emissions source testing to show compliance with the ATCM.

The proposed emergency diesel IC engine powering a firewater pump has a PM$_{10}$ emissions factor that is ≤ 0.01 g/bhp-hr. Therefore, this engine is allowed to operate up to 100 hrs/yr for maintenance and testing. Therefore, the following conditions (previously proposed in this engineering evaluation) will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {edited 3486} Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.059 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102 and 13 CCR 2423 and 17 CCR 93115]

- {3809} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per calendar year. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires each public agency to adopt objectives, criteria, and specific procedures consistent with CEQA Statutes and the CEQA Guidelines for administering its responsibilities under CEQA, including the orderly evaluation of projects and preparation of environmental documents. The San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (District) adopted its Environmental Review Guidelines (ERG) in 2001.

The basic purposes of CEQA are to:

- Inform governmental decision-makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of proposed activities.
- Identify the ways that environmental damage can be avoided or significantly reduced.
- Prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment by requiring changes in projects through the use of alternatives or mitigation measures when the governmental agency finds the changes to be feasible.
- Disclose to the public the reasons why a governmental agency approved the project in the manner the agency chose if significant environmental effects are involved.

The District performed an Engineering Evaluation (this document) for the proposed project and determined that the project qualifies for ministerial approval under the District’s Guidelines for Expedited Application Review (GEAR). Section 21080 of the Public Resources Code exempts from the application of CEQA those projects over which a public agency exercises only ministerial approval. Therefore, the District finds that this project is exempt from the provisions of CEQA.

Indemnification Agreement/Letter of Credit Determination

According to District Policy APR 2010 (CEQA Implementation Policy), when the District is the Lead or Responsible Agency for CEQA purposes, an indemnification agreement and/or a letter of credit may be required. The decision to require an indemnity agreement and/or a letter of credit are based on a case-by-case analysis of a particular project’s potential for litigation risk, which in turn may be based on a project’s potential to generate public concern, its potential for significant impacts, and the project proponent’s ability to pay for the costs of litigation without a letter of credit, among other factors.

As described above, the project requires only ministerial approval, and is exempt from the provisions of CEQA. As such, an Indemnification Agreement or a Letter of Credit will not be required for this project in the absence of expressed public concern.

IX. Recommendation

Pending a successful NSR Public Noticing period, issue Authority to Construct N-9632-1-0 subject to the permit conditions on the attached draft Authority to Construct in Appendix A.
X. Billing Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permit Number</th>
<th>Fee Schedule</th>
<th>Fee Description</th>
<th>Fee Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N-9632-1-0</td>
<td>3020-10-E</td>
<td>950 bhp IC engine</td>
<td>$723.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendixes

A. Draft ATC
B. BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis
C. HRA Summary and AAQA
D. QNEC Calculations
Appendix A
Draft ATC
San Joaquin Valley
Air Pollution Control District

AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT

PERMIT NO: N-9632-1-0
LEGAL OWNER OR OPERATOR: US COLD STORAGE OF CALIFORNIA INC
MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 2067
FRESNO, CA 93718
LOCATION: 3700 W CANAL DR
TURLOCK, CA 95380

EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:
950 BHP CUMMINS MODEL QSK 23 TIER 2 CERTIFIED DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY IC ENGINE POWERING A FIREWATER PUMP

CONDITIONS

1. [98] No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]
2. [15] No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]
3. [14] Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]
4. The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]
5. [3404] This engine shall be equipped with an operational non-resettable elapsed time meter or other APCO approved alternative. [District Rule 4702]
6. [1897] This engine shall be equipped with either a positive crankcase ventilation (PCV) system that recirculates crankcase emissions into the air intake system for combustion, or a crankcase emissions control device of at least 90% control efficiency. [District Rule 2201]
7. [3395] Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801 and 17 CCR 93115]
8. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 3.678 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 0.447 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.185 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201 and 13 CCR 2423 and 17 CCR 93115]

CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

YOU MUST NOTIFY THE DISTRICT COMPLIANCE DIVISION AT (209) 557-6400 WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED AND PRIOR TO OPERATING THE EQUIPMENT OR MODIFICATIONS AUTHORIZED BY THIS AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT. This is NOT a PERMIT TO OPERATE. Approval or denial of a PERMIT TO OPERATE will be made after an inspection to verify that the equipment has been constructed in accordance with the approved plans, specifications and conditions of this Authority to Construct, and to determine if the equipment can be operated in compliance with all Rules and Regulations of the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. Unless construction has commenced pursuant to Rule 2050, this Authority to Construct shall expire and application shall be cancelled two years from the date of issuance. The applicant is responsible for complying with all laws, ordinances and regulations of all other governmental agencies which may pertain to the above equipment.

Samir Sheikh, Executive Director / APCO

Arnaud Marjollet, Director of Permit Services
Northern Regional Office • 4800 Enterprise Way • Modesto, CA 95356-6718 • (209) 557-6400 • Fax (209) 557-6475
9. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.059 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102 and 13 CCR 2423 and 17 CCR 93115]

10. (3816) This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. For testing purposes, the engine shall only be operated the number of hours necessary to comply with the testing requirements of the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 25 - "Standard for the Inspection, Testing, and Maintenance of Water-Based Fire Protection Systems". Total hours of operation for all maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per calendar year. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

11. (3807) An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

12. (3489) The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, and the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.). For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

13. (3475) All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
Appendix B
BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis
Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 3.1.4
Last Update: 6/30/2001

Emergency Diesel I.C. Engine Driving a Fire Pump

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Achieved in Practice or in the SIP</th>
<th>Technologically Feasible</th>
<th>Alternate Basic Equipment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidation Catalyst</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>Certified NOx emissions of 6.9 g/bhp-hr or less</td>
<td>0.1 grams/bhp-hr (if TBACT is triggered) (corrected 7/16/01) 0.4 grams/bhp-hr (if TBACT is not triggered)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>Low-sulfur diesel fuel (500 ppmw sulfur or less) or Very Low-sulfur diesel fuel (15 ppmw sulfur or less), where available.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>Positive crankcase ventilation [unless it voids the Underwriters Laboratories (UL) certification]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>Catalyst Oxidation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Any engine model included in the ARB or EPA diesel engine certification lists and identified as having a PM10 emission rate of 0.149 grams/bhp-hr or less, based on ISO 8178 test procedure, shall be deemed to meet the 0.1 grams/bhp-hr requirement. 2. A sitespecific Health Risk Analysis is used to determine if TBACT is triggered. (Clarification added 05/07/01)

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in a state implementation plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State Implementation Plan.

This is a Summary Page for this Class of Source. For background information, see Permit Specific BACT Determinations on Details Page.
Top Down BACT Analysis for the Emergency IC Engine

Oxides of nitrogen (NOx) are generated from the high temperature combustion of the diesel fuel. A majority of the NOx emissions are formed from the high temperature reaction of nitrogen and oxygen in the inlet air. The rest of the NOx emissions are formed from the reaction of fuel-bound nitrogen with oxygen in the inlet air.

1. BACT Analysis for NOx Emissions:

   a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

   The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse guideline 3.1.4, identifies achieved in practice BACT for NOx emissions from emergency diesel IC engines powering a firewater pump as follows:

   1) Certified emissions of 6.9 g-NOx/bhp-hr or less

   No technologically feasible alternatives or control alternatives identified as alternate basic equipment for this class and category of source are listed.

   b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

   There are no technologically infeasible options to eliminate from step 1.

   c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

   No ranking needs to be done because the applicant has proposed the achieved in practice option.

   d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

   The applicant has proposed the only control achieved in practice in the ranking list from Step 3. Therefore, per SJVUAPCD BACT policy, the cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

   e. Step 5 - Select BACT

   BACT for NOx emissions from this emergency diesel IC engine powering a firewater pump is having certified emissions of 6.9 g-NOx/bhp-hr or less. The applicant has proposed to install a 950 bhp emergency diesel IC engine powering a firewater pump with certified emissions of 6.9 g-NOx/bhp-hr or less; therefore BACT for NOx emissions is satisfied.
2. BACT Analysis for PM\textsubscript{10} Emissions:

Particulate matter (PM\textsubscript{10}) emissions occur from the reaction of various elements in the diesel fuel including fuel sulfur.

a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse guideline 3.1.4 identifies achieved in practice BACT for PM\textsubscript{10} emissions from emergency diesel IC engines powering a firewater pump as follows:

1) Certified emissions of (0.4 g-PM\textsubscript{10}/bhp-hr since T-BACT is not triggered for this project) or (certified emissions of 0.1 g-PM\textsubscript{10}/bhp-hr since T-BACT is triggered for this project) or less

No technologically feasible alternatives or control alternatives identified as alternate basic equipment for this class and category of source are listed.

b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

There are no technologically infeasible options to eliminate from step 1.

c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

No ranking needs to be done because the applicant has proposed the achieved in practice option.

d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis

The applicant has proposed the only control achieved in practice in the ranking list from Step 3. Therefore, per SJVUAPCD BACT policy, the cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

e. Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for PM\textsubscript{10} emissions from this emergency diesel IC engine powering a firewater pump is having certified emissions of (0.4 g-PM\textsubscript{10}/bhp-hr or 0.1 g-PM\textsubscript{10}/bhp-hr) or less. The applicant has proposed to install a 950 bhp emergency diesel IC engine powering a firewater pump with certified emissions of (0.4 g-PM\textsubscript{10}/bhp-hr or 0.1 g-PM\textsubscript{10}/bhp-hr) or less; therefore BACT for PM\textsubscript{10} emissions is satisfied.
3. BACT Analysis for VOC Emissions:

Volatile organic compounds (VOC) are emitted from the crankcase of the engine as a result of piston ring blow-by.

a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

The SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse guideline 3.1.4 identifies achieved in practice BACT for VOC emissions from emergency diesel IC engines powering a firewater pump as follows:

1) Positive crankcase ventilation (unless it voids the Underwriters Laboratories (UL) certification)

In addition, the SJVUAPCD BACT Clearinghouse guideline 3.1.4 identifies technologically feasible BACT for VOC emissions from emergency diesel IC engines powering a firewater pump as follows:

1) Catalytic oxidation

No control alternatives identified as alternate basic equipment for this class and category of source are listed.

b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options

There are no technologically infeasible options to eliminate from step 1.

c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness

1) Catalytic oxidation
2) Positive crankcase ventilation (unless it voids the Underwriters Laboratories (UL) certification)

d. Step 4 - Cost effectiveness analysis

A cost effective analysis must be performed for all control options in the list from Step 3 in the order of their ranking to determine the cost effective option with the lowest emissions.

However, this engine has been UL Certified, and the UL certification does not include a catalytic oxidation system, and the addition of a catalytic oxidation system would void the UL certification, which is required for firewater pump engines. Therefore, the catalytic oxidation system option will not be required.

The applicant is proposing a positive crankcase ventilation system. This is the next highest ranking control technology alternative in the ranking list from Step 3 and it has
been achieved in practice. Therefore, per SJVUAPCD BACT policy, the cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

e. Step 5 - Select BACT

BACT for VOC emissions from this emergency diesel IC engine powering a firewater pump is having positive crankcase ventilation. The applicant has proposed to install a 950 bhp emergency diesel IC engine powering a firewater pump with positive crankcase ventilation; therefore BACT for VOC emissions is satisfied.
Appendix C
HRA Summary and AAQA
1. Summary

1.1 RMR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Prioritization Score</th>
<th>Acute Hazard Index</th>
<th>Chronic Hazard Index</th>
<th>Maximum Individual Cancer Risk</th>
<th>T-BACT Required</th>
<th>Special Permit Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA¹</td>
<td>NA²</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.02E-07</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Totals</td>
<td>NA¹</td>
<td>NA²</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.02E-07</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Totals</td>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>NA²</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.02E-07</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Prioritization for this unit was not conducted since it has been determined that all diesel-fired IC engines will result in a prioritization score greater than 1.0.
2. Acute Hazard Indices were not calculated for Unit 1 since there is no risk factor or the risk factor is so low that it has been determined to be insignificant for this type of unit.

1.2 AAQA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>1 Hour</th>
<th>3 Hours</th>
<th>8 Hours</th>
<th>24 Hours</th>
<th>Annual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOₓ</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOₓ</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Results were taken from the attached AAQA Report.
2. The project is an intermittent source as defined in APR-1920. In accordance with APR-1920, compliance with short-term (i.e., 1-hour, 3-hour, 8-hour and 24-hour) standards is not required.
3. The criteria pollutants are below EPA’s level of significance as found in 40 CFR Part 51.165 (b)(2) unless otherwise noted.
4. Modeled PM10 concentrations were below the District SIL for non-fugitive sources of 1 μg/m³ for the annual concentration.
5. Modeled PM2.5 concentrations were below the District SIL for non-fugitive sources of 0.2 μg/m³ for the annual concentration.
1.3 Proposed Permit Requirements

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the following shall be included as requirements for:

Unit # 1-0

1. The PM$_{10}$ emissions rate shall not exceed 0.059 g/bhp-hr based on US EPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure.

2. The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction.

3. This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 100 hours per calendar year.

2. Project Description

Technical Services received a request on May 03, 2019 to perform a Risk Management Review (RMR) and Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA) for the following:

- Unit -1-0: SPECIAL CASE DIESEL-FIRED CUMMINS QSK 23 IC ENGINE POWERING A FIREWATER PUMP

3. RMR Report

3.1 Analysis

The District performed an analysis pursuant to the District’s Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources (APR 1905, May 28, 2015) to determine the possible cancer and non-cancer health impact to the nearest resident or worksite. This policy requires that an assessment be performed on a unit by unit basis, project basis, and on a facility-wide basis. If a preliminary prioritization analysis demonstrates that:

- A unit’s prioritization score is less than the District’s significance threshold and;
- The project’s prioritization score is less than the District’s significance threshold and;
- The facility’s total prioritization score is less than the District’s significance threshold

Then, generally no further analysis is required.

The District’s significant prioritization score threshold is defined as being equal to or greater than 1.0. If a preliminary analysis demonstrates that either the unit(s) or the project’s or the facility’s total prioritization score is greater than the District threshold, a screening or a refined assessment is required.

If a refined assessment is greater than one in a million but less than 20 in one million for carcinogenic impacts (Cancer Risk) and less than 1.0 for the Acute and Chronic hazard indices(Non-Carcinogenic) on a unit by unit basis, project basis and on a facility-wide basis the proposed application is considered less than significant. For unit’s that exceed a cancer risk of 1 in one million, Toxic Best Available Control Technology (TBACT) must be implemented.

Toxic emissions for this project were calculated using the following methods:
• Toxic emissions for the proposed unit were calculated and provided by the processing engineer.

These emissions were input into the San Joaquin Valley APCD's Hazard Assessment and Reporting Program (SHARP). In accordance with the District’s Risk Management Policy, risks from the proposed unit's toxic emissions were prioritized using the procedure in the 2016 CAPCOA Facility Prioritization Guidelines. The prioritization score for this proposed facility was greater than 1.0 (see RMR Summary Table). Therefore, a refined health risk assessment was required.

The AERMOD model was used, with the parameters outlined below and meteorological data for 2013-2017 from Modesto (rural dispersion coefficient selected) to determine the dispersion factors (i.e., the predicted concentration or X divided by the normalized source strength or Q) for a receptor grid. These dispersion factors were input into the SHARP Program, which then used the Air Dispersion Modeling and Risk Tool (ADMR) of the Hot Spots Analysis and Reporting Program Version 2 (HARP 2) to calculate the chronic and acute hazard indices and the carcinogenic risk for the project.

The following parameters were used for the review:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Process Rates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point Source Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. AAQA Report

The District modeled the impact of the proposed project on the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) and/or California Ambient Air Quality Standard (CAAQS) in accordance with District Policy APR-1925 (Policy for District Rule 2201 AAQA Modeling) and EPA’s Guideline for Air Quality Modeling (Appendix W of 40 CFR Part 51). The District uses a progressive three level approach to perform AAQAs. The first level (Level 1) uses a very conservative approach. If this analysis indicates a likely exceedance of an AAQS or Significant Impact Level (SIL), the analysis proceeds to the second level (Level 2) which implements a more refined approach. For the 1-hour NO₂ standard, there is also a third level that can be implemented if the Level 2 analysis indicates a likely exceedance of an AAQS or SIL.

The modeling analyses predicts the maximum air quality impacts using the appropriate emissions for each standard’s averaging period. Required model inputs for a refined AAQA include background ambient air quality data, land characteristics, meteorological inputs, a receptor grid, and source parameters including emissions. These inputs are described in the sections that follow.
Ambient air concentrations of criteria pollutants are recorded at monitoring stations throughout the San Joaquin Valley. Monitoring stations may not measure all necessary pollutants, so background data may need to be collected from multiple sources. The following stations were used for this evaluation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Station Name</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Measurement Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Modesto-14th St.</td>
<td>Stanislaus</td>
<td>Modesto</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>Turlock</td>
<td>Stanislaus</td>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>Turlock</td>
<td>Stanislaus</td>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2.5</td>
<td>Turlock</td>
<td>Stanislaus</td>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOx</td>
<td>Fresno-Garland</td>
<td>Fresno</td>
<td>Fresno</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Technical Services performed modeling for directly emitted criteria pollutants with the emission rates below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emission Rates (lbs/hour)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emission Rates (lbs/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The AERMOD model was used to determine if emissions from the project would cause or contribute to an exceedance of any state of federal air quality standard. The parameters outlined below and meteorological data for 2013-2017 from Modesto (rural dispersion coefficient selected) were used for the analysis:

The following parameters were used for the review:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point Source Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusion

5.1 RMR

The cumulative acute and chronic indices for this facility, including this project, are below 1.0; and the cumulative cancer risk for this facility, including this project, is less than 20 in a million. In addition, the cancer risk for each unit in this project is less than 1.0 in a million. In accordance with the District’s Risk Management Policy, the project is approved without Toxic Best Available Control Technology (T-BACT).
To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the permit requirements listed on page 1 of this report must be included for this proposed unit.

These conclusions are based on the data provided by the applicant and the project engineer. Therefore, this analysis is valid only as long as the proposed data and parameters do not change.

5.2 AAQA

The emissions from the proposed equipment will not cause or contribute significantly to a violation of the State and National AAQS.

6. Attachments

A. Modeling request from the project engineer
B. Additional information from the applicant/project engineer
C. Prioritization score w/ toxic emissions summary
D. Facility Summary
E. AAQA results
Appendix D
QNEC Calculations
Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The Quarterly Net Emissions Change is used to complete the emission profile screen for the District’s PAS database. The QNEC shall be calculated as follows:

\[ \text{QNEC} = \text{PE2} - \text{BE}, \text{ where:} \]

- \( \text{QNEC} \) = Quarterly Net Emissions Change for each emissions unit, lb/qtr.
- \( \text{PE2} \) = Post Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr.
- \( \text{BE} \) = Baseline Emissions (per Rule 2201) for each emissions unit, lb/qtr.

Using the values in Sections VII.C.2 and VII.C.6 in the evaluation above, \( \text{PE2}_{\text{quarterly}} \) and \( \text{BE}_{\text{quarterly}} \) can be calculated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>PE2 Total (lb/yr)</th>
<th>Quarterly PE2 (lb/qtr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO(_x)</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>192.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO(_x)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM(_{10})</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\text{BE}_{\text{quarterly}} = \frac{\text{BE}_{\text{annual}}}{4 \text{ quarters/year}}
\]

\[
= \frac{0 \text{ lb/year}}{4 \text{ qtr/year}}
\]

\[
= 0 \text{ lb/qtr (for all criteria pollutants)}
\]