



# San Joaquin Valley

AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT



OCT 15 2019

Dominic Dai Zov  
Great Wolf  
25000 Daniels Street  
Manteca, CA 95337

**Re: Notice of Preliminary Decision - Authority to Construct**  
**Facility Number: N-9660**  
**Project Number: N-1192493**

Dear Mr. Zov:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of Great Wolf's application for an Authority to Construct for the installation of a 1,195 bhp diesel-fired emergency engine powering an electrical generator, at 25000 Daniels Street, Manteca, CA.

The notice of preliminary decision for this project has been posted on the District's website ([www.valleyair.org](http://www.valleyair.org)). After addressing all comments made during the 30-day public notice period, the District intends to issue the Authority to Construct. Please submit your written comments on this project within the 30-day public comment period, as specified in the enclosed public notice.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Fred Cruz of Permit Services at (209) 557-6456.

Sincerely,

Arnaud Marjollet  
Director of Permit Services

AM:fjc

Enclosures

cc: Courtney Graham, CARB (w/ enclosure) via email

**Samir Sheikh**  
Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

**Northern Region**  
4800 Enterprise Way  
Modesto, CA 95356-8718  
Tel: (209) 557-6400 FAX: (209) 557-6475

**Central Region (Main Office)**  
1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue  
Fresno, CA 93726-0244  
Tel: (559) 230-6000 FAX: (559) 230-6061

**Southern Region**  
34946 Flyover Court  
Bakersfield, CA 93308-9725  
Tel: 661-392-5500 FAX: 661-392-5585



**III. Project Location:**

The facility is located at 25000 Daniels Street, Manteca, CA.  
The project is not located within 1,000 feet of a K-12 school. Therefore, the school notification requirements of CH&SC Section 42301.6 are not required.

**IV. Process Description:**

The 1,195 bhp Kohler diesel-fired emergency engine powers an electrical generator.

**V. Equipment Listing:**

**N-9660-1-0:** 1195 BHP KOHLER MODEL KD27V12 DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY ENGINE (TIER 2 CERTIFIED) POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR.

**VI. Emission Control Technology Evaluation:**

The applicant has proposed to install a Tier 2 certified diesel-fired IC engine that is fired on very low-sulfur diesel fuel.

The proposed engine meets the latest Tier Certification requirements for emergency standby engines. Therefore, this engine meets the latest ARB/EPA emissions standards for diesel particulate matter, hydrocarbons, nitrogen oxides, and carbon monoxide (see Appendix B for a copy of the emissions data sheet and/or the ARB/EPA executive order).

The use of very low-sulfur diesel fuel (0.0015% by weight sulfur maximum) reduces SO<sub>x</sub> emissions by over 99% from standard diesel fuel.

**VII. Emission Calculations:**

**A. Assumptions:**

Operating schedule:	24 hours/day, 50 hours/year
Density of diesel fuel:	7.1 lb/gal
EPA F-factor:	9051 dscf/MMBtu (corrected to 60° F)
PM <sub>10</sub> fraction of diesel exhaust is 96%	(Reference - CARB, 1988)
Fuel heating value:	137,000 Btu/gal
BHP to Btu/hr conversion:	2542.5 Btu/hp-hr
Thermal efficiency of engine commonly	≈ 35%
Fuel rate:	40.0 gal/hr @ 100% load

**B. Emission Factors:**

The engine manufacturer supplied the emissions factor for NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, VOC and PM<sub>10</sub> emissions.

Pollutant	Emission Factor (g/bhp-hr)	Source
NO <sub>x</sub>	4.20	Engine manufacturer
CO	0.42	Engine manufacturer
VOC	0.05	Engine manufacturer
PM <sub>10</sub>	0.05	Engine manufacturer
SO <sub>x</sub>	0.005	See calculation below

The emission factor for SO<sub>x</sub> may be calculated based on the current CARB standard for diesel sulfur content, which is 15 ppm by weight.

$$\frac{0.000015 \text{ lb-S}}{\text{lb-fuel}} \times \frac{7.1 \text{ lb-fuel}}{\text{gallon}} \times \frac{2 \text{ lb-SO}_2}{1 \text{ lb-S}} \times \frac{1 \text{ gal}}{137,000 \text{ Btu}} \times \frac{1 \text{ bhp input}}{0.35 \text{ bhp out}} \times \frac{2,542.5 \text{ Btu}}{\text{bhp-hr}} \times \frac{453.6 \text{ g}}{\text{lb}} = 0.0051 \frac{\text{g-SO}_x}{\text{bhp-hr}}$$

### C. Calculations:

#### 1. Pre-Project Emissions (PE1)

This emergency engine is considered as new emission units and PE1 will equal zero for all pollutants.

#### 2. Post Project PE (PE2)

The potential to emit emissions from each emergency IC engine is based on the maximum operating capacity of the engine for 24 hours per day. The following calculation for NO<sub>x</sub> emissions is representative of emission calculations for all pollutants. Annual emissions are calculated using 50 hours per year for non-emergency operation for this engine.

NO <sub>x</sub> :	4.20 g/hp-hr × 1,195 hp × lb/453.6 g
NO <sub>x</sub> :	11.07 lb/hr, 265.6 lb/day, 553 lb/yr
CO:	1.11 lb/hr, 26.6 lb/day, 55 lb/yr
VOC:	0.13 lb/hr, 3.2 lb/day, 7 lb/yr
PM <sub>10</sub> :	0.13 lb/hr, 3.2 lb/day, 7 lb/yr
SO <sub>x</sub> :	0.01 lb/hr, 0.3 lb/day, 1 lb/yr

	NO <sub>x</sub>	CO	VOC	PM <sub>10</sub>	SO <sub>x</sub>
<b>Daily PE</b>	265.6	26.6	3.2	3.2	0.3
<b>Annual PE</b>	553	55	7	7	1

#### 3. Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1):

Pursuant to Section 4.9 of District Rule 2201, the Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1) is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid

ATCs or PTOs at the Stationary Source and the quantity of Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site. This is a new facility and SSPE1 emissions will equal zero for all pollutants.

**4. Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2):**

Pursuant to Section 4.10 of District Rule 2201, the Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2) is the Potential to Emit (PE) from all units with valid ATCs or PTOs, except for emissions units proposed to be shut down as part of the Stationary Project, at the Stationary Source and the quantity of Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site.

SSPE2 (lb/yr)					
Permit No	NO <sub>x</sub>	CO	VOC	PM <sub>10</sub>	SO <sub>x</sub>
<b>N-9660-1-0 (ATC)</b>	<b>553</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>
ERC	0	0	0	0	0
Total	553	55	7	7	1

**5. Major Source Determination:**

Pursuant to Section 3.24 of District Rule 2201, a Major Source is a stationary source with post project emissions or a Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2), equal to or exceeding one or more of the following threshold values. However, Section 3.24.2 states, "for the purposes of determining major source status, the SSPE2 shall not include the quantity of emission reduction credits (ERC) which have been banked since September 19, 1991 for Actual Emissions Reductions that have occurred at the source, and which have not been used on-site." This facility does not have ERCs, which have been banked at the source; therefore, SSPE2 does not have to be adjusted.

Major Source Determination					
Pollutant	SSPE1 (lb/yr)	SSPE2 (lb/yr)	Major Source Threshold (lb/yr)	Existing Major Source?	Becoming a Major Source?
NO <sub>x</sub>	0	553	20,000	No	No
SO <sub>x</sub>	0	1	140,000	No	No
PM <sub>10</sub>	0	7	140,000	No	No
CO	0	55	200,000	No	No
VOC	0	7	20,000	No	No

As seen in the table above, the facility is not an existing Major Source and does not become a Major Source as a result of this project.

**Rule 2410 Major Source Determination:**

The facility or the equipment evaluated under this project is not listed as one of the categories specified in 40 CFR 52.21 (b) (1) (I). Therefore, the following PSD Major Source thresholds are applicable.

<b>PSD Major Source Determination (tons/year)</b>						
	<b>NO<sub>2</sub></b>	<b>VOC</b>	<b>SO<sub>2</sub></b>	<b>CO</b>	<b>PM</b>	<b>PM<sub>10</sub></b>
Estimated Facility PE before Project Increase	0	0	0	0	0	0
PSD Major Source Thresholds	250	250	250	250	250	250
PSD Major Source ? (Y/N)	N	N	N	N	N	N

As shown above, the facility is not an existing major source for PSD for any pollutant. Therefore, the facility is not an existing major source for PSD.

**6. Baseline Emissions (BE):**

The equipment is considered as a new emissions unit and the baseline emissions will equal zero for all pollutants.

**7. SB 288 Major Modification:**

The purpose of Major Modification calculations is to determine the following:

- A. If Best Available Control Technology (BACT) is triggered for a new or modified emission unit that results in a Major Modification (District Rule 2201, Section 4.1.3); and
- B. If a public notification is triggered (District Rule 2201, Section 5.4.1).

Based on the post-project stationary source potential to emit calculations (less onsite Emission Reduction Credit's) in this document, the facility is not a Major Source for any pollutant. Therefore, the proposed project cannot trigger a SB288 modification and no further calculations are required.

**8. Federal Major Modification**

This facility is not a Major Source for any pollutant. Therefore, this project cannot constitute a Federal Major Modification and no further discussion is required.

**9. Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)**

The QNEC is calculated solely to establish emissions that are used to complete the District’s PAS emissions profile screen. Detailed QNEC calculations are included in Appendix C.

**10. Rule 2410 – Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) Applicability Determination:**

Rule 2410 applies to pollutants for which the District is in attainment or for unclassified pollutants. The pollutants addressed in the PSD applicability determination are listed as follows:

- NO<sub>2</sub> (as a primary pollutant)
- SO<sub>2</sub> (as a primary pollutant)
- CO
- PM
- PM<sub>10</sub>
- Greenhouse gases (GHG): CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>4</sub>, HFCs, PFCs, and SF<sub>6</sub>

The first step of this PSD applicability evaluation consists of determining whether the facility is an existing PSD Major Source. This facility is not an existing PSD Major source (See Section VII.C.5 of this document). In the case the facility is NOT an existing PSD Major Source, the second step of the PSD evaluation is to determine if the project, by itself, would be a PSD major source.

**Potential to Emit of attainment/unclassified pollutant for New or Modified Emission Units vs PSD Significant Emission Increase Thresholds**

As a screening tool, the potential to emit from all new and modified units is compared to the PSD significant emission increase thresholds, and if total potential to emit from all new and modified units is below this threshold, no further analysis will be needed.

<b>PSD Major Source Determination: Potential to Emit (tons/yr)</b>						
	NO <sub>2</sub>	VOC	SO <sub>2</sub>	CO	PM	PM <sub>10</sub>
Total PE from New and Modified Units	0.28	0.004	0.001	0.03	0.004	0.004
PSD Major Source threshold	250	250	250	250	250	250
New PSD Major Source?	N	N	N	N	N	N

As demonstrated above, because the project has a total potential to emit from all new and modified emission units below the PSD significant emission increase

thresholds, this project is not subject to the requirements of Rule 2410 due to a significant emission increase and no further discussion is required.

## VII. Compliance

### Rule 2201 New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule

#### A. Best Available Control Technology (BACT):

##### 1. BACT Applicability:

BACT requirements are triggered on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis and on an emissions unit-by-emissions unit basis for the following\*:

- a) Any new emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding 2.0 pounds per day,
- b) The relocation from one Stationary Source to another of an existing emissions unit with a potential to emit exceeding 2.0 pounds per day,
- c) Modifications to an existing emissions unit with a valid Permit to Operate resulting in an AIPE exceeding 2.0 pounds per day, and/or
- d) Any new or modified emissions unit, in a stationary source project, which results in a Major Modification.

\*Except for CO emissions from a new or modified emissions unit at a Stationary Source with an SSPE2 of less than 200,000 pounds per year of CO.

##### a. New emissions units – PE > 2.0 lb/day

These engines will be considered as new emission units at the time of installation and the daily emissions from this engine is compared to the BACT thresholds in the following table:

New Emissions Unit BACT Applicability				
Pollutant	Daily Emissions for unit -1-0 (lb/day)	BACT Threshold (lb/day)	SSPE2 (lb/yr)	BACT Triggered?
NO <sub>x</sub>	265.6	> 2.0	N/A	Yes
SO <sub>x</sub>	0.3	> 2.0	N/A	No
PM <sub>10</sub>	3.2	> 2.0	N/A	Yes
CO	26.6	> 2.0 and SSPE2 ≥ 200,000 lb/yr	258	No
VOC	3.2	> 2.0	N/A	Yes

BACT will be triggered for NO<sub>x</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and VOC emissions for this engine.

##### b. Relocation of emissions units – PE > 2.0 lb/day

This engine is not being relocated from one stationary source to another stationary source as a result of this project.



**c. Modification of emissions units – Adjusted Increase in Permitted Emissions (AIPE) > 2.0 lb/day**

This engine is not being modified. Therefore, BACT is not triggered for the modification of emissions units with an AIPE > 2.0 lb/day.

**d. Major Modification**

This project does not constitute a Major Modification. Therefore, BACT is not triggered for a Major Modification.

**2. BACT Guideline:**

BACT Guideline 3.1.1, which appears in Appendix D of this report, covers diesel-fired emergency IC engines.

**3. Top Down BACT Analysis**

Per District Policy APR 1305, Section IX, "A top down BACT analysis shall be performed as a part of the Application Review for each application subject to the BACT requirements pursuant to the District's NSR Rule for source categories or classes covered in the BACT Clearinghouse, relevant information under each of the following steps may be simply cited from the Clearinghouse without further analysis."

Pursuant to the attached top down BACT Analysis, which appears in Appendix D of this report, BACT is satisfied with:

NO<sub>x</sub>: Tier 2 certified IC engine

VOC: Tier 2 certified IC engine

PM<sub>10</sub>: Tier 2 certified IC engine with PM<sub>10</sub> emissions of 0.15 g/bhp-hr, or less

The applicant has proposed to install a 1,195 bhp Tier 2 certified IC engine with a PM<sub>10</sub> emissions rate of 0.05 g/bhp-hr. Therefore, BACT is satisfied for NO<sub>x</sub>, VOC, and PM<sub>10</sub>.

**B. Offsets:**

Since emergency standby IC engines are exempt from the offset requirements of Rule 2201, per Section 4.6.2, offsets are not required for this engine, and offset calculations are not required.

**C. Public Notification:**

**1. Applicability:**

Public noticing is required for:

- a. New Major Sources, which is a new facility that also becomes a Major Source,
- b. Major Modifications,

- c. Any new emissions unit with a Potential to Emit greater than 100 pounds during any one day for any one pollutant,
- d. Any project which results in the offset thresholds being surpassed, and/or
- e. Any project with an SSIPE of greater than 20,000 lb/year for any pollutant,
- f. Title V Significant Modification.

**a. New Major Source**

A New Major Source is a new facility, which also becomes a major source. This is a new facility and does not become a Major Source from this project; public noticing is not required for this project for New Major Source purposes.

**b. Major Modification**

As demonstrated previously in Sections VII.C.7 and VII.C.8, this project does not constitute a Major Modification; therefore, public noticing for Major Modification purposes is not required.

**c. PE > 100 lb/day**

The Daily PE for this new emissions unit is compared to the daily PE Public Notice Thresholds in the following table:

PE > 100 lb/day Public Notice Thresholds			
Pollutant	Daily PE for unit -1-0 (lb/day)	Public Notice Threshold (lb/day)	Public Notice Triggered?
NO <sub>x</sub>	265.6	100	Yes
SO <sub>x</sub>	0.3	100	No
PM <sub>10</sub>	3.2	100	No
CO	26.6	100	No
VOC	3.2	100	No

As detailed in the preceding table, NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from this engine exceed the pollutant public notice threshold and public noticing is required for this project.

**d. Offset Threshold**

The following table compares the SSPE1 and SSPE2 with the offset thresholds to determine if any offset thresholds have been surpassed.

Offset Threshold				
Pollutant	SSPE1 (lb/yr)	SSPE2 (lb/yr)	Offset Threshold (lb/yr)	Public Notice Required?
NO <sub>x</sub>	0	553	20,000	No
SO <sub>x</sub>	0	1	54,750	No
PM <sub>10</sub>	0	7	29,200	No
CO	0	55	200,000	No
VOC	0	7	20,000	No

As detailed in the preceding table, there are no offset thresholds surpassed with this project. Therefore, public noticing is not required for this project

**e. SSIPE > 20,000 lb/year**

Public notification is required for any permitting action that results in a Stationary Source Increase in Permitted Emissions (SSIPE) of more than 20,000 lb/year of any affected pollutant. According to District policy, the SSIPE is calculated as the Post Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE2) minus the Pre-Project Stationary Source Potential to Emit (SSPE1), i.e.  $SSIPE = SSPE2 - SSPE1$ . The values for SSPE2 and SSPE1 are calculated according to Rule 2201, Sections 4.9 and 4.10, respectively. The SSIPE is compared to the SSIPE Public Notice thresholds in the following table:

SSIPE Public Notice Threshold					
Pollutant	SSPE2 (lb/yr)	SSPE1 (lb/yr)	SSIPE (lb/yr)	SSIPE Threshold (lb/yr)	Public Notice Required?
NO <sub>x</sub>	553	0	553	20,000	No
SO <sub>x</sub>	1	0	1	20,000	No
PM <sub>10</sub>	7	0	7	20,000	No
CO	55	0	55	20,000	No
VOC	7	0	7	20,000	No

As detailed in the preceding table, there are no SSIPE thresholds surpassed with this project. Therefore, public noticing is not required for exceeding the SSIPE thresholds.

**f. Title V Significant Modification:**

This facility is not a Major Source and has not been issued a Title V permit. Therefore, public noticing for a Title V Significant Modification is not required.

**2. Public Notice Action**

As discussed above, this project results in emissions exceeding the 100-lb/day threshold for NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from this emergency engine. Therefore, public notice is required for this project.

**D. Daily Emissions Limits**

Daily Emissions Limitations (DELs) and other enforceable conditions are required by Section 3.15 to restrict a unit's maximum daily emissions, to a level at or below the emissions associated with the maximum design capacity. Per Sections 3.15.1 and 3.15.2, the DEL must be contained in the latest ATC and contained in or enforced by the latest PTO and enforceable, in a practicable manner, on a daily basis. DELs are also required to enforce the applicability of BACT. For this emergency standby IC engine, the DELs are stated in the form of emission factors, the maximum engine horsepower rating, and the maximum operational time of 24 hours per day. Therefore, the following conditions will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

N-9660-1-0:

- Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 4.20 g-NO<sub>x</sub>/bhp-hr, 0.42 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.05 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201 and 17 CCR 93115]
- Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.05 g-PM<sub>10</sub>/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115]
- Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]

**E. Compliance Assurance:**

**1. Source Testing**

Per District Practice, source testing is not required for emergency standby IC engines to demonstrate compliance with Rule 2201.

**2. Monitoring**

Monitoring is not required to demonstrate compliance with Rule 2201.

**3. Recordkeeping**

Recordkeeping is required to demonstrate compliance with the offset, public notification, and daily emission limit requirements of Rule 2201. As required by

District Rule 4702, *Stationary Internal Combustion Engines - Phase 2*, this IC engine is subject to recordkeeping requirements. Recordkeeping requirements, in accordance with District Rule 4702, will be discussed in Section VIII, *District Rule 4702*, of this evaluation.

#### **4. Reporting**

Reporting is not required to ensure compliance with Rule 2201.

#### **F. Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA)**

An AAQA shall be conducted for the purpose of determining whether a new or modified Stationary Source will cause or make worse a violation of an air quality standard. The District's Technical Services Division conducted the required analysis. Refer to Appendix E of this document for the AAQA summary sheet.

The proposed location is in an attainment area for NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and SO<sub>x</sub>. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, or SO<sub>x</sub>.

The proposed location is in a non-attainment area for the state's PM<sub>10</sub> as well as federal and state PM<sub>2.5</sub> thresholds. As shown by the AAQA summary sheet the proposed equipment will not cause a violation of an air quality standard for PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>.

#### **Rule 2520 Federally Mandated Operating Permits**

Since this facility's potential to emit does not exceed any major source thresholds of Rule 2201, this facility is not a major source and Rule 2520 does not apply.

#### **Rule 4001 New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)**

##### **40 CFR 60 Subpart IIII - Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engines**

The District has not been delegated the authority to implement Subpart IIII requirements for non-Major Sources; therefore, no requirements shall be included on the permit.

#### **Rule 4002 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants**

##### **40 CFR 63 Subpart ZZZZ - National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Emissions (RICE)**

The District has not been delegated the authority to implement NESHAP regulations for Area Source requirements for non-Major Sources; therefore, no requirements shall be included on the permit.

### **Rule 4101 Visible Emissions**

Rule 4101 states that no air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]

### **Rule 4102 Nuisance**

Rule 4102 states that no air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere, which causes a public nuisance. Public nuisance conditions are not expected as a result of this operation provided the equipment is well maintained. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere, which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]

### **California Health & Safety Code 41700 (Health Risk Assessment)**

District Policy APR 1905 - Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources (dated 3/2/01) specifies that for an increase in emissions associated with a proposed new source or modification, the District perform an analysis to determine the possible impact to the nearest resident or worksite.

The District performed an analysis pursuant to the District's Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources (APR 1905, May 28, 2015) to determine the possible cancer and non-cancer health impact to the nearest resident or worksite. This policy requires that an assessment be performed on a unit by unit basis, project basis, and on a facility-wide basis. If a preliminary prioritization analysis demonstrates that:

- A unit's prioritization score is less than the District's significance threshold and;
- The project's prioritization score is less than the District's significance threshold and;
- The facility's total prioritization score is less than the District's significance threshold

Then, generally no further analysis is required. The District's significant prioritization score threshold is defined as being equal to or greater than 1.0. If a preliminary analysis demonstrates that either the unit(s) or the project's or the facility's total prioritization score is greater than the District threshold, a screening or a refined assessment is required.

If a refined assessment is greater than one in a million but less than 20 in one million for carcinogenic impacts (Cancer Risk) and less than 1.0 for the Acute and Chronic hazard indices (Non-Carcinogenic) on a unit by unit basis, project basis and on a facility-wide basis the proposed application is considered less than significant. For unit's that exceed a cancer

risk of 1 in one million, Toxic Best Available Control Technology (TBACT) must be implemented.

Toxic emissions for this project were calculated using the following methods:

- Toxic emissions for the proposed unit were calculated and provided by the processing engineer.

These emissions were input into the San Joaquin Valley APCD's Hazard Assessment and Reporting Program (SHARP). In accordance with the District's Risk Management Policy, risks from the proposed unit's toxic emissions were prioritized using the procedure in the 2016 CAPCOA Facility Prioritization Guidelines. The prioritization score for this proposed facility was greater than 1.0 (see RMR Summary Table below). Therefore, a refined health risk assessment was required.

The AERMOD model was used, with the parameters outlined below and meteorological data for 2013-2017 from the Stockton area to determine the dispersion factors for a receptor grid. These dispersion factors were input into the SHARP Program, which then used the Air Dispersion Modeling and Risk Tool (ADMRT) of the Hot Spots Analysis and Reporting Program Version 2 (HARP 2) to calculate the chronic and acute hazard indices and the carcinogenic risk for the project.

Units	Prioritization Score	Acute Hazard Index	Chronic Hazard Index	Maximum Individual Cancer Risk	T-BACT Required	Special Permit Requirements
1	N/A <sup>1</sup>	N/A <sup>2</sup>	0.00	4.73E-07	No	Yes
<b>Project Totals</b>	N/A <sup>1</sup>	N/A <sup>2</sup>	0.00	4.73E-07		
<b>Facility Totals</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.73E-07		

Notes:

1. Prioritization for this unit was not conducted since it has been determined that all diesel-fired IC engines will result in a prioritization score greater than 1.0.
2. Acute Hazard Index was not calculated since there is no risk factor or the risk factor is so low that it has been determined to be insignificant for this type of unit.

The cumulative acute and chronic indices for this facility, including this project, are below 1.0; and the cumulative cancer risk for this facility, including this project, is less than 20 in a million. In addition, the cancer risk for each unit in this project is less than 1.0 in a million. In accordance with the District's Risk Management Policy, the project is approved without Toxic Best Available Control Technology (T-BACT).

The following conditions will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance with the RMR:

Units N-9660-1-0:

1. The PM<sub>10</sub> emissions rate shall not exceed 0.05 g/bhp-hr based on US EPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure.

2. The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction.
3. This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year.

**Rule 4201 Particulate Matter Concentration**

Particulate matter emissions from the engine will be less than or equal to the rule limit of 0.1 grain per cubic foot of gas at dry standard conditions as shown by the following:

$$0.05 \frac{g - PM}{bhp - hr} \times \frac{10}{10} \times \frac{1 bhp - hr}{2,542.5 Btu} \times \frac{10^6 Btu}{8,578 dscf} \times \frac{0.35 Btu_{out}}{1 Btu_{in}} \times \frac{15.43 grain}{g} = 0.01 \frac{grain - PM}{dscf}$$

Since 0.01 grain-PM/dscf is ≤ to 0.1 grain per dscf, compliance with Rule 4201 is expected.

Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC permit to ensure compliance:

- {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]

**Rule 4701 Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 1**

District Rule 4701 is applicable to diesel-fired emergency standby or emergency IC engines. Rule 4702 is at least as stringent as this rule in all aspects; therefore, compliance with that rule will ensure compliance with Rule 4701.

**Rule 4702 Internal Combustion Engines – Phase 2**

The following table demonstrates how the proposed engine will comply with the requirements of District Rule 4702.

<b>District Rule 4702 Requirements Emergency Standby IC Engines</b>	<b>Proposed Method of Compliance with District Rule 4702 Requirements</b>
Operation of emergency standby engines is limited to 100 hours or less per calendar year for non-emergency purposes, verified by the use of a non-resettable elapsed operating time meter.	The Air Toxic Control Measure for Stationary Compression Ignition Engines (Stationary ATCM) limits these engines for maintenance and testing purposes to 50 hours/year. Thus, compliance is expected.
Emergency standby engines cannot be used to reduce the demand for electrical power when normal electrical power line service has not failed, or to produce power for the electrical distribution system, or in conjunction with a voluntary utility demand reduction program or interruptible power contract.	The following conditions will be included on each permit: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• {3807} An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rules 4701 and 4702]</li> </ul>



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• {3808} This engine shall not be used to produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rules 4701 and 4702]</li> </ul>
<p>The owner/operator must monitor the operational characteristics of each engine as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emission control system supplier.</p>	<p>The following condition will be included on the permit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• {3478} During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rules 4701 and 4702]</li> </ul>
<p>Records of the total hours of operation of the emergency standby engine, type of fuel used, purpose for operating the engine, all hours of non-emergency and emergency operation, and support documentation must be maintained. All records shall be retained for a period of at least five years, shall be readily available, and be made available to the APCO upon request.</p>	<p>The following conditions will be included on the permit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• {3496} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]</li> <li>• The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the type of fuel purchased. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]</li> <li>• {3475} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rules 4701 and 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]</li> </ul>

**Rule 4801 Sulfur Compounds**

Rule 4801 requires that sulfur compound emissions (as SO<sub>2</sub>) shall not exceed 0.2% by volume. Using the ideal gas equation, the sulfur compound emissions are calculated as follows:

$$\text{Volume SO}_2 = (n \times R \times T) \div P$$

n = moles SO<sub>2</sub>

T (standard temperature) = 60 °F or 520 °R

$$R \text{ (universal gas constant)} = \frac{10.73 \text{ psi} \cdot \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb} \cdot \text{mol} \cdot \text{°R}}$$

$$\frac{0.000015 \text{ lb-S}}{\text{lb-fuel}} \times \frac{7.1 \text{ lb}}{\text{gal}} \times \frac{64 \text{ lb-SO}_2}{32 \text{ lb-S}} \times \frac{1 \text{ MMBtu}}{9,051 \text{ scf}} \times \frac{1 \text{ gal}}{0.137 \text{ MMBtu}} \times \frac{\text{lb-mol}}{64 \text{ lb-SO}_2} \times \frac{10.73 \text{ psi} \cdot \text{ft}^3}{\text{lb-mol} \cdot \text{°R}} \times \frac{520 \text{ °R}}{14.7 \text{ psi}} \times 1,000,000 = 1.0 \text{ ppmv}$$

Since 1.0 ppmv is ≤ 2,000 ppmv, each engine is expected to comply with Rule 4801. Therefore, the following condition will be listed on the ATC to ensure compliance:

- Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]

**California Health & Safety Code 42301.6 (School Notice)**

The District has verified that this site is not located within 1,000 feet of a school. Therefore, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code 42301.6, a school notice is not required.

**Title 17 California Code of Regulations (CCR), Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines**

The following requirements apply to new engines (those installed after 1/1/2005):

Title 17 CCR Section 93115 Requirements for New Emergency IC Engines Powering Electrical Generators	Proposed Method of Compliance with Title 17 CCR Section 93115 Requirements
Emergency engines must be fired on CARB diesel fuel, or an approved alternative diesel fuel.	The applicant has proposed the use of CARB certified diesel fuel. The proposed permit condition, requiring the use of CARB certified diesel fuel, is included on each permit. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• {4258} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]</li> </ul>
The engine must meet the emission standards in Table 1 of the ATCM for the specific power rating and model year of the proposed engine.	The applicant has proposed the use of an engine that is certified to the latest EPA Tier Certification standards for the applicable horsepower range, guaranteeing compliance with the emission standards of the ATCM.

	<p>Additionally, the proposed diesel PM emissions rate is less than or equal to 0.15 g/bhp-hr.</p>
<p>The engine may not be operated more than 50 hours per year for maintenance and testing purposes unless the PM emissions are <math>\leq</math> 0.01 g/bhp-hr, then the engine is allowed 100 hours per year. Emissions from this engine are certified at 0.05 g/bhp-hr, therefore the engine is allowed 50 hours.</p>	<p>The following conditions will be included on the permit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.05 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115]</li> <li>• {4920} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 2201, 4102, and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115]</li> </ul>
<p>A non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours shall be installed upon engine installation, or by no later than January 1, 2005, on all engines subject to all or part of the requirements of sections 93115.6, 93115.7, or 93115.8(a) unless the District determines on a case-by-case basis that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator's compliance history.</p>	<p>The following condition will be included on the permit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• {4749} This engine shall be equipped with a non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours, unless the District determines that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator's compliance history. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]</li> </ul>
<p>An owner or operator shall maintain monthly records of the following: emergency use hours of operation; maintenance and testing hours of operation; hours of operation for emission testing; initial start-up testing hours; hours of operation for all other uses; and the type of fuel used. All records shall be retained for a minimum of 36 months.</p>	<p>The following conditions will be included on the permit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• {3496} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]</li> <li>• {4263} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the type of fuel purchased. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]</li> </ul>

- |  |  |
|--|--|
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• {3475} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]</li></ul> |
|--|--|

### **California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)**

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires each public agency to adopt objectives, criteria, and specific procedures consistent with CEQA Statutes and the CEQA Guidelines for administering its responsibilities under CEQA, including the orderly evaluation of projects and preparation of environmental documents. The San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (District) adopted its *Environmental Review Guidelines* (ERG) in 2001.

The basic purposes of CEQA are to:

- Inform governmental decision-makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of proposed activities.
- Identify the ways that environmental damage can be avoided or significantly reduced.
- Prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment by requiring changes in projects through the use of alternatives or mitigation measures when the governmental agency finds the changes to be feasible.
- Disclose to the public the reasons why a governmental agency approved the project in the manner the agency chose if significant environmental effects are involved.

The District is a Responsible Agency for the project because of its discretionary approval power over the project via its Permits Rule (Rule 2010) and New Source Review Rule (Rule 2201), (CEQA Guidelines §15381). The District's engineering evaluation of the project (this document) demonstrates that compliance with District rules and permit conditions would reduce Stationary Source emissions from the project to levels below the District's significance thresholds for criteria pollutants. The District has determined that no additional findings are required (CEQA Guidelines §15096(h)).

### **Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Significance Determination**

#### District is a Responsible Agency

It is determined that another agency has prepared an environmental review document for the project. The District is a Responsible Agency for the project because of its discretionary approval power over the project via its Permits Rule (Rule 2010) and New Source Review Rule (Rule 2201), (CEQA Guidelines §15381). As a Responsible Agency, the District is limited to mitigating or avoiding impacts for which it has statutory authority. The District does not have statutory authority for regulating greenhouse gas emissions. The District has determined that the applicant is responsible for implementing greenhouse gas mitigation measures, if any, imposed by the Lead Agency.

**Indemnification Agreement/Letter of Credit Determination**

According to District Policy APR 2010 (CEQA Implementation Policy), when the District is the Lead or Responsible Agency for CEQA purposes, an indemnification agreement and/or a letter of credit may be required. The decision to require an indemnity agreement and/or a letter of credit is based on a case-by-case analysis of a particular project's potential for litigation risk, which in turn may be based on a project's potential to generate public concern, its potential for significant impacts, and the project proponent's ability to pay for the costs of litigation without a letter of credit, among other factors.

The criteria pollutant emissions and toxic air contaminant emissions associated with the proposed project are not significant, and there is minimal potential for public concern for this particular type of facility/operation. Therefore, an Indemnification Agreement and/or a Letter of Credit will not be required for this project in the absence of expressed public concern.

**IX. Recommendation:**

Compliance with all applicable prohibitory rules and regulations is expected. Issue the Authority to Construct permit subject to the conditions on the attached permit.

**X. Billing Information:**

Permit Number	Fee Schedule	Fee Description
N-9660-1-0	3020-10-F	1,195 bhp (\$900)

- Appendix A - Authority to Construct permit N-9660-1-0
- Appendix B - Engine Emissions Certification Sheet
- Appendix C - QNEC Calculations
- Appendix D - BACT Top-down Analysis
- Appendix E - RMR Summary

# **Appendix A**

Authority to Construct Permit  
N-9660-1-0

San Joaquin Valley  
Air Pollution Control District

**AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT**

**ISSUANCE DATE: DRAFT**

**PERMIT NO:** N-9660-1-0

**LEGAL OWNER OR OPERATOR:** GREAT WOLF  
**MAILING ADDRESS:** 25000 DANIELS ST  
MANTECA, CA 95337

**LOCATION:** 25000 DANIELS ST  
MANTECA, CA 95337

**EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:**  
1195 BHP KOHLER MODEL KD27V12 DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY ENGINE (TIER 2 CERTIFIED) POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR.

**CONDITIONS**

1. {98} No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102]
2. {15} No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is as dark as, or darker than, Ringelmann 1 or 20% opacity. [District Rule 4101]
3. {14} Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201]
4. {1898} The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction. [District Rule 4102]
5. {4749} This engine shall be equipped with a non-resettable hour meter with a minimum display capability of 9,999 hours, unless the District determines that a non-resettable hour meter with a different minimum display capability is appropriate in consideration of the historical use of the engine and the owner or operator's compliance history. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
6. {4258} Only CARB certified diesel fuel containing not more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight is to be used. [District Rules 2201 and 4801, and 17 CCR 93115]
7. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed any of the following limits: 4.20 g-NOx/bhp-hr, 0.42 g-CO/bhp-hr, or 0.05 g-VOC/bhp-hr. [District Rule 2201 and 17 CCR 93115]
8. Emissions from this IC engine shall not exceed 0.05 g-PM10/bhp-hr based on USEPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure. [District Rules 2201 and 4102, and 17 CCR 93115]

CONDITIONS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

**YOU MUST NOTIFY THE DISTRICT COMPLIANCE DIVISION AT (209) 557-6400 WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED AND PRIOR TO OPERATING THE EQUIPMENT OR MODIFICATIONS AUTHORIZED BY THIS AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT.** This is NOT a PERMIT TO OPERATE. Approval or denial of a PERMIT TO OPERATE will be made after an inspection to verify that the equipment has been constructed in accordance with the approved plans, specifications and conditions of this Authority to Construct, and to determine if the equipment can be operated in compliance with all Rules and Regulations of the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. Unless construction has commenced pursuant to Rule 2050, this Authority to Construct shall expire and application shall be cancelled two years from the date of issuance. The applicant is responsible for complying with all laws, ordinances and regulations of all other governmental agencies which may pertain to the above equipment.

Samir Sheikh, Executive Director / APCO

Arnaud Marjolle, Director of Permit Services

11 9660-1-0 Oct 15 2019 7:45AM -- CRUZFP Joint Inspection NOT Required

9. {4261} This engine shall be operated and maintained in proper operating condition as recommended by the engine manufacturer or emissions control system supplier. [District Rule 4702]
10. {3478} During periods of operation for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes, the permittee shall monitor the operational characteristics of the engine as recommended by the manufacturer or emission control system supplier (for example: check engine fluid levels, battery, cables and connections; change engine oil and filters; replace engine coolant; and/or other operational characteristics as recommended by the manufacturer or supplier). [District Rule 4702]
11. {3807} An emergency situation is an unscheduled electrical power outage caused by sudden and reasonably unforeseen natural disasters or sudden and reasonably unforeseen events beyond the control of the permittee. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
12. {3808} This engine shall not be used to produce power for the electrical distribution system, as part of a voluntary utility demand reduction program, or for an interruptible power contract. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
13. {3496} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of emergency and non-emergency operation. Records shall include the number of hours of emergency operation, the date and number of hours of all testing and maintenance operations, the purpose of the operation (for example: load testing, weekly testing, rolling blackout, general area power outage, etc.) and records of operational characteristics monitoring. For units with automated testing systems, the operator may, as an alternative to keeping records of actual operation for testing purposes, maintain a readily accessible written record of the automated testing schedule. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
14. {4920} This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year. [District Rules 2201, 4102, and 4702, and 17 CCR 93115]
15. {4263} The permittee shall maintain monthly records of the type of fuel purchased. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]
16. {3475} All records shall be maintained and retained on-site for a minimum of five (5) years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 4702 and 17 CCR 93115]

DRAFT



# Appendix B

## Engine Emissions Certification Sheet



# KD800

## 60 Hz. Diesel Generator Set Tier 2 EPA Certified for Stationary Emergency Applications EMISSION OPTIMIZED DATA SHEET

### ENGINE INFORMATION

Model:	KD27V12	Bore:	135 mm (5.31 in.)
Nameplate kW @ 1800 RPM:	891	Stroke:	157 mm (6.18 in.)
Type:	4-Cycle, 12-V Cylinder	Displacement:	27 L (1648 cu. in.)
Aspiration:	Turbocharged, Charge Air Cooled	EPA Family:	KLHAL45.0ESP
Compression ratio:	15:0:1	EPA Certificate:	KLHAL45.0ESP-007
Emission Control Device:	Direct Diesel Injection, Engine Control Module, Turbocharger, Charge Air Cooler		

### EXHAUST EMISSION DATA:

### EPA D2 Cycle 5-mode weighted

HC	0.07 g/kWh
NO <sub>x</sub> (Oxides of Nitrogen as NO <sub>2</sub> )	5.63 g/kWh
CO (Carbon Monoxide)	0.56 g/kWh
PM (Particular Matter)	0.06 g/kWh

### TEST METHODS AND CONDITIONS

#### Test Methods:

Steady-State emissions recorded per EPA CFR 40 Part 89, and ISO8178-1 during operation at rated engine speed (+/-2%) and stated constant load (+/-2%) with engine temperatures, pressures and emission rated stabilized.

#### Fuel Specification:

40-48 Cetane Number, 0.05 Wt. % max. Sulfur; Reference ISO8178-5, 40CFR86.1313-98 Type 2-D and ASTM D975 No. 2-D.

#### Reference Conditions:

25 °C (77 °F) Air Inlet Temperature, 40 °C (104 °F) Fuel Inlet Temperature, 100 kPa (29.53 in Hg) Barometric Pressure; 10.7 g/kg (75 grains H<sub>2</sub>O/lb.) of dry air Humidity (required for NO<sub>x</sub> correction); Intake Restriction set to maximum allowable limit for clean filter; Exhaust Back pressure set to maximum allowable limit.

Data was taken from a single engine test according to the test methods, fuel specification and reference conditions stated above and is subjected to instrumentation and engine-to-engine variability. Tests conducted with alternate test methods, instrumentation, fuel or reference conditions can yield different results.

Data and specifications subject to change without notice.



**UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
2019 MODEL YEAR  
CERTIFICATE OF CONFORMITY  
WITH THE CLEAN AIR ACT**

**OFFICE OF TRANSPORTATION  
AND AIR QUALITY  
ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48105**

Certificate Issued To: Liebherr Machines Bulle SA  
(U.S. Manufacturer or Importer)

Certificate Number: KLHAL45.0ESP-007

Effective Date: 11/06/2018

Expiration Date: 12/31/2019

  
Byron J. Bunker, Division Director  
Compliance Division

Issue Date: 11/06/2018

Revision Date: N/A

Model Year: 2019

Manufacturer Type: Original Engine Manufacturer

Engine Family: KLHAL45.0ESP

Mobile/Stationary Indicator: Stationary  
Emissions Power Category: 560<kW<=2237  
Fuel Type: Diesel  
After Treatment Devices: No After Treatment Devices Installed  
Non-after Treatment Devices: Electronic Control

Pursuant to Section 111 and Section 213 of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. sections 7411 and 7547) and 40 CFR Part 60, and subject to the terms and conditions prescribed in those provisions, this certificate of conformity is hereby issued with respect to the test engines which have been found to conform to applicable requirements and which represent the following engines, by engine family, more fully described in the documentation required by 40 CFR Part 60 and produced in the stated model year.

This certificate of conformity covers only those new compression-ignition engines which conform in all material respects to the design specifications that applied to those engines described in the documentation required by 40 CFR Part 60 and which are produced during the model year stated on this certificate of the said manufacturer, as defined in 40 CFR Part 60.

It is a term of this certificate that the manufacturer shall consent to all inspections described in 40 CFR 1068 and authorized in a warrant or court order. Failure to comply with the requirements of such a warrant or court order may lead to revocation or suspension of this certificate for reasons specified in 40 CFR Part 60. It is also a term of this certificate that this certificate may be revoked or suspended or rendered void *ab initio* for other reasons specified in 40 CFR Part 60.

This certificate does not cover engines sold, offered for sale, or introduced, or delivered for introduction, into commerce in the U.S. prior to the effective date of the certificate.

# Appendix C

## QNEC Calculations

### Quarterly Net Emissions Change (QNEC)

The Quarterly Net Emissions Change is used to complete the emission profile screen for the District's PAS database. The QNEC shall be calculated as follows:

QNEC = PE2 - PE1, where:

- QNEC = Quarterly Net Emissions Change for each emissions unit, lb/qtr
- PE2 = Post-Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr
- PE1 = Pre-Project Potential to Emit for each emissions unit, lb/qtr

Using the emission calculations in this evaluation, PE2<sub>quarterly</sub> and BE<sub>quarterly</sub> can be calculated as follows:

This calculation is required for application emission profile purposes. It is assumed that each unit's annual emissions are evenly distributed throughout the year as follows:  $\Delta PE \text{ (lb/qtr)} = PE \text{ (lb/yr)} \div 4 \text{ qtr/yr}$

N-9660-1-0:

- $\Delta PE_{NOx} = 553 \text{ lb-NOx/year} - 0 \text{ lb-NOx/year} = 553 \text{ lb/year}$
- $\Delta PE_{CO} = 55 \text{ lb-CO/year} - 0 \text{ lb-CO/year} = 55 \text{ lb/year}$
- $\Delta PE_{VOC} = 7 \text{ lb-VOC/year} - 0 \text{ lb-VOC/year} = 7 \text{ lb/year}$
- $\Delta PE_{PM10} = 7 \text{ lb-PM10/year} - 0 \text{ lb-PM10/year} = 7 \text{ lb/year}$
- $\Delta PE_{SOx} = 1 \text{ lb-SOx/year} - 0 \text{ lb-SOx/year} = 1 \text{ lb/year}$

	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4
<b>NOx</b>	138	138	138	139
<b>CO</b>	13	14	14	14
<b>VOC</b>	1	2	2	2
<b>PM<sub>10</sub></b>	1	2	2	2
<b>SOx</b>	0	0	0	1

# Appendix D

## BACT Guideline and BACT Analysis

# San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

**Best Available Control Technology (BACT) Guideline 3.1.1**  
**Last Update: 6/13/2019**  
**Emergency Diesel IC Engine**

Pollutant	Achieved in Practice or in the SIP	Technologically Feasible	Alternate Basic Equipment
CO	Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range		
NOx	Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range		
PM <sub>10</sub>	0.15 g/bhp-hr or the Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range, whichever is more stringent. (ATCM)		
SOx	Very low sulfur diesel fuel (15 ppmw sulfur or less)		
VOC	Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range		

BACT is the most stringent control technique for the emissions unit and class of source. Control techniques that are not achieved in practice or contained in a state implementation plan must be cost effective as well as feasible. Economic analysis to demonstrate cost effectiveness is required for all determinations that are not achieved in practice or contained in an EPA approved State Implementation Plan.

## Top Down BACT Analysis for the Emergency IC Engine

This application was deemed complete on July 11, 2019. Therefore, BACT Guideline 3.1.1 (June 13, 2019) was in effect at the time the project was deemed complete and will be used for this emergency diesel IC engine. In accordance with the District BACT policy, information from that guideline will be utilized without further analysis.

### 1. BACT Analysis for NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC Emissions:

#### a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies

BACT Guideline 3.1.1 identifies only the following option:

- *Latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range*

To determine the latest applicable Tier level, the following steps were taken:

- Conduct a survey of all the emergency IC engines permitted in the District to determine the latest EPA Tier certification level that has been permitted for the proposed engine size
- Conduct a survey of the major IC engine manufacturers/genset vendors to determine the latest EPA Tier certification level that is readily available for the proposed engine size and use
- Review Title 17 CCR, Section 93115 - Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Stationary Compression-Ignition (CI) Engines to determine the latest Tier certification level required in California for the proposed engine size

#### Survey of Permitted Units:

The District surveyed of all permitted emergency IC engines powering electrical generators in the horsepower range applicable to the proposed unit and found that a Tier 2 certification level is the highest certification level that has been permitted for an IC engine of the size associated with the proposed project.

#### Survey of IC Engine Manufacturers/Genset Vendors:

The District contacted the following manufacturers/vendors regarding the availability of a 1,195 bhp Tier 4F certified IC engine powering an emergency genset:

#### Cummins:

A review of their website as well as discussions with Ben Pingel of Cummins Power Systems revealed that Cummins does not offer a Tier 4F genset of the size associated with this project (1,195 bhp).

#### Caterpillar:

A review of their website as well as discussions with Dave Harris of Holt Power Systems (a Caterpillar dealer) revealed that Caterpillar does not offer a Tier 4F genset of the size associated with this project (1,195 bhp).

Kohler:

According to Robert Formicola of Bay City Electric Works (a Kohler dealer), Kohler does not offer a Tier 4F genset of the size associated with this project (1,195 bhp).

Stationary ATCM:

Table 1 of the CARB Stationary Air Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for stationary emergency standby diesel-fired IC engines requires a Tier 2 certification level for IC engines rated greater than 750 bhp. The ATCM does not require a Tier certification level higher than Tier 2 for engines rated greater than 750 bhp.

Summary:

The proposed emergency IC engine is rated at 1,195 bhp. The District has not permitted any emergency diesel-fired IC engines rated greater than 750 bhp with a tier certification level higher than Tier 2. Moreover, according to the engine manufacturers and genset vendors contacted, a Tier 2 certification level is the latest available for an 1,195 bhp emergency standby diesel-fired IC engine powering a generator.

Based on the above analysis, the District finds that a Tier 4F emergency IC engine/generator with a rating of approximately 1,195 hp is not readily available.

Consequently, the District considers a Tier 2 certification level to be the latest available Tier certification level for the proposed engine size. Furthermore, a Tier 2 certification level satisfies the stationary ATCM requirement for emergency standby IC engines rated greater than 750 bhp.

**b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options**

The control option listed in Step 1 is not technologically infeasible.

**c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness**

Ranking is not necessary since there is only one control option listed in Step 1.

**d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis**

The applicant has proposed the only control option remaining under consideration. Therefore, a cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

**e. Step 5 - Select BACT**

BACT for NO<sub>x</sub> and VOC will be the use of an EPA Tier 2 certified engine. The applicant is proposing such a unit. Therefore, BACT will be satisfied.

**2. BACT Analysis for PM<sub>10</sub> Emissions:**

**a. Step 1 - Identify all control technologies**

BACT Guideline 3.1.1 identifies only the following option:



- 0.15 g/bhp-hr or the latest EPA Tier Certification level for applicable horsepower range, whichever is more stringent. (ATCM)

**b. Step 2 - Eliminate technologically infeasible options**

The control option listed in Step 1 is not technologically infeasible.

**c. Step 3 - Rank remaining options by control effectiveness**

Ranking is not necessary since there is only one control option listed in Step 1.

**d. Step 4 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis**

The applicant has proposed the only control option remaining under consideration. Therefore, a cost effectiveness analysis is not required.

**e. Step 5 - Select BACT**

The applicant has proposed to install a 1,195 bhp Tier 2 certified IC engine with a PM<sub>10</sub> emissions rate of 0.05 g/bhp-hr. As determined above, the District considers a Tier 2 certification level to be the latest available Tier certification level for the proposed engine size. Therefore, BACT will be satisfied.

# **Appendix E**

## RMR Summary

# San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Risk Management Review and Ambient Air Quality Analysis

To: Fred Cruz – Permit Services  
 From: Diana Walker – Technical Services  
 Date: July 11, 2019  
 Facility Name: Great Wolf  
 Location: 25000 Daniels Street, Manteca  
 Application No: N-9660-1-0  
 Project No: N-1192493

---

## 1. Summary

### 1.1 RMR

Units	Prioritization Score	Acute Hazard Index	Chronic Hazard Index	Maximum Individual Cancer Risk	T-BACT Required	
					Special Permit Requirements	
1	N/A <sup>1</sup>	N/A <sup>2</sup>	0.00	4.73E-07	No	Yes
<b>Project Totals</b>	N/A <sup>1</sup>	N/A <sup>2</sup>	0.00	4.73E-07		
<b>Facility Totals</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.73E-07		

**Notes:**

- Prioritization for this unit was not conducted since it has been determined that all diesel-fired IC engines will result in a prioritization score greater than 1.0.
- Acute Hazard Index was not calculated since there is no risk factor or the risk factor is so low that it has been determined to be insignificant for this type of unit.

### 1.2 AAQA

Pollutant	Air Quality Standard (State/Federal)				
	1 Hour	3 Hours	8 Hours	24 Hours	Annual
CO	N/A <sup>2</sup>		N/A <sup>2</sup>		
NO <sub>x</sub>	N/A <sup>2</sup>				Pass
SO <sub>x</sub>	N/A <sup>2</sup>	N/A <sup>2</sup>		N/A <sup>2</sup>	Pass
PM <sub>10</sub>				N/A <sup>2</sup>	Pass <sup>3</sup>
PM <sub>2.5</sub>				N/A <sup>2</sup>	Pass <sup>4</sup>
Ozone	N/A <sup>2</sup>		N/A <sup>2</sup>		

**Notes:**

- Results were taken from the attached AAQA Report.
- The project is an intermittent source as defined in APR-1920. In accordance with APR-1920, compliance with short-term (i.e., 1-hour, 3-hour, 8-hour, and 24-hour) standards is not required.
- Modeled PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations were below the District SIL for non-fugitive sources of 1 µg/m<sup>3</sup> for the annual concentration.
- Modeled PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations were below the District SIL for non-fugitive sources of 0.2 µg/m<sup>3</sup> for the annual concentration.

### 1.3 Proposed Permit Requirements

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the following shall be included as requirements for:

#### Unit # 1-0

1. The PM<sub>10</sub> emissions rate shall not exceed 0.05 g/bhp-hr based on US EPA certification using ISO 8178 test procedure.
2. The exhaust stack shall vent vertically upward. The vertical exhaust flow shall not be impeded by a rain cap (flapper ok), roof overhang, or any other obstruction.
3. This engine shall be operated only for testing and maintenance of the engine, required regulatory purposes, and during emergency situations. Operation of the engine for maintenance, testing, and required regulatory purposes shall not exceed 50 hours per calendar year.

## 2. Project Description

Technical Services received a request on July 11, 2019 to perform a Risk Management Review (RMR) and Ambient Air Quality Analysis (AAQA) for the following:

- Unit -1-0: 1195 BHP KOHLER MODEL KD27V12 DIESEL-FIRED EMERGENCY ENGINE (TIER 2 CERTIFIED) POWERING AN ELECTRICAL GENERATOR.

## 3. RMR Report

### 3.1 Analysis

The District performed an analysis pursuant to the District's Risk Management Policy for Permitting New and Modified Sources (APR 1905, May 28, 2015) to determine the possible cancer and non-cancer health impact to the nearest resident or worksite. This policy requires that an assessment be performed on a unit by unit basis, project basis, and on a facility-wide basis. If a preliminary prioritization analysis demonstrates that:

- A unit's prioritization score is less than the District's significance threshold and;
- The project's prioritization score is less than the District's significance threshold and;
- The facility's total prioritization score is less than the District's significance threshold

Then, generally no further analysis is required.

The District's significant prioritization score threshold is defined as being equal to or greater than 1.0. If a preliminary analysis demonstrates that either the unit(s) or the project's or the facility's total prioritization score is greater than the District threshold, a screening or a refined assessment is required

If a refined assessment is greater than one in a million but less than 20 in one million for carcinogenic impacts (Cancer Risk) and less than 1.0 for the Acute and Chronic hazard indices(Non-Carcinogenic) on a unit by unit basis, project basis and on a facility-wide basis the proposed application is considered less than significant. For

unit's that exceed a cancer risk of 1 in one million, Toxic Best Available Control Technology (TBACT) must be implemented.

Toxic emissions for this project were calculated using the following methods:

- Toxic emissions for the proposed unit were calculated and provided by the processing engineer.

These emissions were input into the San Joaquin Valley APCD's Hazard Assessment and Reporting Program (SHARP). In accordance with the District's Risk Management Policy, risks from the proposed unit's toxic emissions were prioritized using the procedure in the 2016 CAPCOA Facility Prioritization Guidelines. The prioritization score for this proposed facility was greater than 1.0 (see RMR Summary Table). Therefore, a refined health risk assessment was required.

The AERMOD model was used, with the parameters outlined below and meteorological data for 2013-2017 from Stockton (rural dispersion coefficient selected) to determine the dispersion factors (i.e., the predicted concentration or X divided by the normalized source strength or Q) for a receptor grid. These dispersion factors were input into the SHARP Program, which then used the Air Dispersion Modeling and Risk Tool (ADMRT) of the Hot Spots Analysis and Reporting Program Version 2 (HARP 2) to calculate the chronic and acute hazard indices and the carcinogenic risk for the project.

The following parameters were used for the review:

Source Process Rates					
Unit Id	Process Id	Process Material	Process Units	Hourly Process Rate	Annual Process Rate
1	1	DIESEL PM10	lbs	0.13	7.0

Point Source Parameters						
Unit Id	Unit Description	Release Height (m)	Temp. (°K)	Exit Velocity (m/sec)	Stack Diameter (m)	Vertical/Horizontal/Capped Vertical
1	1195 BHP EMERGENCY DICE	3.99	743	159.26	0.15	Vertical

#### 4. AAQA Report

The District modeled the impact of the proposed project on the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) and/or California Ambient Air Quality Standard (CAAQS) in accordance with District Policy APR-1925 (Policy for District Rule 2201 AAQA Modeling) and EPA's Guideline for Air Quality Modeling (Appendix W of 40 CFR Part 51). The District uses a progressive three level approach to perform AAQAs. The first level (Level 1) uses a very conservative approach. If this analysis indicates a likely exceedance of an AAQS or Significant Impact Level (SIL), the analysis proceeds to the second level (Level 2) which implements a more refined approach. For the 1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> standard, there is also a third level that can be implemented if the Level 2 analysis indicates a likely exceedance of an AAQS or SIL.

The modeling analyses predicts the maximum air quality impacts using the appropriate emissions for each standard's averaging period. Required model inputs for a refined AAQA include background ambient air quality data, land characteristics, meteorological inputs, a receptor grid, and source parameters including emissions. These inputs are described in the sections that follow.

Ambient air concentrations of criteria pollutants are recorded at monitoring stations throughout the San Joaquin Valley. Monitoring stations may not measure all necessary pollutants, so background data may need to be collected from multiple sources. The following stations were used for this evaluation:

Monitoring Stations				
Pollutant	Station Name	County	City	Measurement Year
CO	Hazelton-HD, Stockton	San Joaquin	Stockton	2016
NOx	Hazelton-HD, Stockton	San Joaquin	Stockton	2016
PM10	Manteca	San Joaquin	Manteca	2016
PM2.5	Manteca	San Joaquin	Manteca	2016
SOx	Fresno - Garland	Fresno	Fresno	2016

Technical Services performed modeling for directly emitted criteria pollutants with the emission rates below:

Emission Rates (lbs/hour)						
Unit Id	Process	NOx	SOx	CO	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>
1	1	11.10	0.01	1.11	0.13	0.13

Emission Rates (lbs/year)						
Unit Id	Process	NOx	SOx	CO	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>
1	1	553.00	1.00	55.0	7.00	7.00

The AERMOD model was used to determine if emissions from the project would cause or contribute to an exceedance of any state of federal air quality standard. The parameters outlined below and meteorological data for 2013-2017 from Stockton (rural dispersion coefficient selected) were used for the analysis:

The following parameters were used for the review:

Point Source Parameters						
Unit Id	Unit Description	Release Height (m)	Temp. (°K)	Exit Velocity (m/sec)	Stack Diameter (m)	Vertical/Horizontal/Capped
1	1,195 BHP DICE	3.99	743	159.26	0.15	Vertical

## 5. Conclusion:

### 5.1 RMR

The cumulative acute and chronic indices for this facility, including this project, are below 1.0; and the cumulative cancer risk for this facility, including this project, is less than 20 in a million. In addition, the cancer risk for each unit in this project is less than 1.0 in a million. **In accordance with the District's Risk Management Policy, the project is approved without Toxic Best Available Control Technology (T-BACT).**

To ensure that human health risks will not exceed District allowable levels; the permit requirements listed on page 1 of this report must be included for this proposed unit.

These conclusions are based on the data provided by the applicant and the project engineer. Therefore, this analysis is valid only as long as the proposed data and parameters do not change.

### 5.2 AAQA

The emissions from the proposed equipment will not cause or contribute significantly to a violation of the State and National AAQS.

#### **Attachments**

- A. Modeling request from the project engineer
- B. Additional information from the applicant/project engineer
- C. Facility Summary
- D. AAQA results